

## CIPC Meeting notes January 5, 2011

Meeting started at 7PM. Attendees: Doug Kohen, Ted Fednishyn, Jose Garcia-Meiten, Larry Rowe, Pascal Cleve, Matt Tisdale, Eric Greece, Tom Travers, Maryanne Bilodeau, Bill Place, Ken MacLean, Bill Miles, Jim Kelly

CIPC members not in attendance: None

1. Approval of previous meeting minutes: Matt motioned, Jose second, unanimous approval
2. Questions and answers with Bill Place
  - a. Discussion of all trucks up for request
  - b. Discussion of wear and tear on trucks, replacement schedule, impact of not replacing
  - c. Discussion of decisions in FY10 to lease 4 vehicles so as to stay in budget
3. Questions and answers with Ken MacLean and Bill Miles
  - a. Car 3 and car 2 replacement
    - i. Car 3 – safety issue, gets passed down to other depts. Costs \$30K new plus \$10K to make accessible for radio equipment
  - b. Div of Occupational safety items – list of items that need to get done; implications of not doing are potentially fines and liability to employees
  - c. Stations 2 and 3 upgrades – implications of not doing are liability for lawsuits; includes other repairs and updates/upgrades to stations
  - d. Station 2 traffic light – came in way above budget in FY10; potential to get funding from a local developer.
  - e. Goodman's hill generator – potential to get funding from another source.
4. Questions and answers with Jim Kelly regarding women's shower at Atkinson's pool, doors
  - a. \$17K from Recreation Revolving Account and \$18K from Pool Enterprise Fund: total \$35K
5. Date set for next meeting: Wednesday February 16<sup>th</sup>, 7PM, at DPW building (next set of hearings)
6. Discussion of liability, insurance
7. Pascal made motion to vote to support the work on the women's showers using \$17K from Recreation Revolving Account and \$18K from Pool Enterprise Fund: total \$35K
  - a. Doug Seconded
  - b. Some discussion
  - c. 7 voted for, 0 voted against; Voted with unanimous approval
8. Matt made motion to support spending \$300K to renovate/upgrade stations 2 and 3 to support female dormitories
  - a. Pascal Seconded
  - b. Some discussion
  - c. 0 voted for, 7 voted against; voted down unanimously.
  - d. Reason that was discussed was that the plans were incomplete and the committee did not have a good handle on the scope of project
  - e. Discussion about using funds already allocated from FY09 to station designs (\$11.4K) that have not yet been spent to date.
9. Motion to adjourn, seconded, unanimous approval.