

FS/DFS	ADMIN	EC	ESD	HC	WR
<i>Gard</i>					
FILE					

DRAFT



**BATTLE CREEK INSTREAM FLOW STUDY  
SPECIFIED FISHERIES INVESTIGATIONS ON BATTLE CREEK  
SHASTA AND TEHAMA COUNTIES**

Prepared for:

California Department of Fish and Game  
Redding, California

Prepared by:

Thomas R. Payne & Associates  
P.O. Box 4678  
850 G Street, Suite J  
Arcata, California 95518  
(707) 822-8478

June 22, 1995

# D R A F T

## BATTLE CREEK INSTREAM FLOW STUDY SPECIFIED FISHERIES INVESTIGATIONS ON BATTLE CREEK SHASTA AND TEHAMA COUNTIES

### Battle Creek Instream Flow Study

#### INTRODUCTION

This report documents an instream flow analysis of the Battle Creek watershed, one of a series of aquatic resource studies prepared by Thomas R. Payne and Associates (TRPA) for the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). The objectives of the Battle Creek investigation are to "assess the impacts of the Battle Creek Hydroelectric Project on the stream's aquatic habitat and dependent fishery resources, and to develop recommendations for project operation which would restore and maintain Battle Creek's aquatic habitat and fishery resources". The major task in this investigation is the development of habitat vs. discharge relationships for 52 miles of Battle Creek (Figure 1), using the Instream Flow Incremental Methodology (IFIM). These weighted usable area (WUA) habitat index vs. stream discharge relationships will be used to evaluate existing flows and the potential for fisheries under alternative flow regimes. These results will be combined with results of barrier analysis, temperature simulation, stream hydrology, and hatchery interactions to determine the feasibility of re-establishing anadromous fisheries in the upper watershed.

The instream flow study was coordinated closely with CDFG and many aspects of it were based on CDFG input. Application of the IFIM begins with a scoping process to establish the objectives and analytical framework of the study (Bovee 1982). Representatives of CDFG, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), and TRPA participated in an initial scoping session on August 16, 1988. Existing conditions of the watershed, projected goals of the various tasks of the multifaceted study, and potential problem areas were addressed. Habitat mapping, macrohabitat classifications, river segmentation into reaches, number and random selec-

tion of study transects, flow control and project operation during data collection, constraints on data collection, and agency management goals in the system were also discussed. This meeting laid the groundwork for the remainder of the entire study, including habitat mapping of the entire project area, transect selection and placement, and data collection and analysis. Periodic meetings throughout the different phases of the project were held to discuss progress, problems, and to acquaint the participating parties with procedures.

This report describes the methods used to relate WUA to discharge throughout the Battle Creek system, and results of the IFIM analysis are summarized. To facilitate additional analysis by CDFG and avoid excessive report length, the hydraulic and habitat model input and output files and habitat mapping data are provided separately in computer files.

## METHODS

The IFIM was developed by the Instream Flow Group of the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) in the late 1970's to allow evaluation of alternative flow regimes for water development projects (Bovee and Milhous 1978). Improvements have subsequently been made in the process of IFIM scoping and results interpretation (Bovee 1982), in approaches to defining study reaches (Morhardt et al. 1984) and transect selection (Payne 1992), and in techniques of Physical Habitat Simulation (PHABSIM) computer modeling and analysis (Milhous et al. 1984, 1989; Milhous and Schneider 1985).

This study included the typical IFIM components of identifying study reaches; habitat mapping; transect selection; collection of hydraulic, substrate, and cover data; hydraulic model calibration; selection of habitat criteria; and development of habitat vs. discharge relationships for each reach. The methods for these steps are each discussed.

### Study Reach Identification

For ease of analysis, the Battle Creek system was segmented into seven study reaches. These reaches differ by gradient, flow (unimpaired), and channel character, and were used for all components of the Battle Creek study.

Two of the reaches were considered outside the area of interest for the instream flow study. These were Battle Creek Mouth (Reach 1),

because stream flows in this reach are unimpaired by PG&E project operations; and North Fork Battle - Reservoirs (Reach 6), because water is not diverted out-of-stream (although seasonal streamflow patterns are altered by the McCumber and North Battle reservoirs). Consequently, instream flow effects on habitat were not studied in these two reaches. Habitat mapping results for these reaches are provided, however.

The seven study reaches are as follows. As discussed below ("Development of WUA-Discharge Relationships"), some of these reaches were further divided into subreaches for the habitat analysis.

1) Battle Creek Mouth

This study reach starts at the upstream end of the backwater of the Sacramento River (approximately 1.9 miles above the Battle Creek-Sacramento River confluence - elevation 345') and ends at PG&E's Coleman Powerhouse - elevation 490'. This 6.09 mile reach is a very low gradient, meandering, even-flowing stream unconfined by the very wide valley through which it flows.

2) Mainstem Battle Creek

This study 9.06 mile long reach starts at PG&E's Coleman Powerhouse and ends at the confluence of the North and South forks. This reach is typically low gradient, with occasional short reaches of moderate gradient. The river is confined within a large valley and has cut into the bedrock. The elevation ranges from 490' to 830'.

3) North Fork Battle - Eagle

This reach starts at the confluence of the North and South forks and ends at the confluence of North Fork Battle and Digger creeks. This 5.45 mile reach has a more moderate gradient (elevation 830' to 1470') and is confined within steep canyon walls. This reach includes two major points of diversion, the Wildcat Diversion (elevation 1070'), and the Eagle Canyon Diversion (elevation 1430'). The Wildcat Subreach is between the downstream end of the reach and Wildcat Diversion, and the Eagle Canyon Subreach is between Wildcat Diversion and Eagle Canyon Diversion.



#### 4) North Fork Battle - Digger

This reach starts at the confluence of the North Fork Battle and Digger creeks and ends 4.26 miles later at the confluence of the North Fork Battle and Bailey creeks. This reach is similar to the Eagle reach in that it has a more moderate gradient and is confined within steep canyon walls. This reach includes one major point of diversion, the North Battle Feeder Diversion (elevation 2080'). Elevation ranges from 1470' to 2110'.

#### 5) North Fork Battle - Bailey

This study reach starts at the confluence of the North Fork Battle and Bailey creeks and ends 6.65 miles later at the Al Smith Diversion. This reach, typified by moderate to high gradient, is partially confined by canyon walls. Elevation ranges from 2110' to 3800'. This reach includes two major points of diversion, the Keswick Diversion (elevation 3650'), and the Al Smith Diversion.

#### 6) North Fork Battle - Reservoirs

This 12.28 mile study reach starts at the Al Smith Diversion and ends at the face of the dam for the North Fork Battle Creek Reservoir. This reach, with low to moderate gradient, is partially confined by canyon walls opening into an open plain. This reach includes two major points of storage, Macumber and North Battle Reservoirs. Elevation ranges from 3800' to 5560'.

#### 7) South Fork Battle

This reach starts at the confluence of the North and South forks and ends 14.36 miles later at the South Diversion. This reach, varying between low to occasional moderate gradient, is partially confined by steep to open canyon walls. Elevation ranges from 830' at the confluence to 2030' at South Diversion. This reach includes three subreaches defined by major points of diversion: the Coleman Subreach is between the downstream end of the reach and the Coleman Diversion (elevation 1000'), the Inskip Subreach is between Coleman and Inskip diversions (elevation 1415'), and the South Diversion Subreach is between the Inskip and South diversions.

## Habitat Mapping

Habitat mapping was used to determine how much weight should be given to different PHABSIM transects in estimating the overall WUA of each stream reach, by allowing computation of the percent abundance of any macrohabitat type within the study area. These habitat maps also allowed individual habitat units to be identified for the transect placement process. Habitat mapping also identified the accretion points, tributaries, and diversions where significant flow changes occur. Concurrently, spawning gravel mapping data was also being collected which was used in the assessment of stream sedimentation and gravel recruitment of the Battle Creek system (Kondolf and Katzel 1995).

In 1988, habitat mapping was completed on 52 miles of stream for the instream flow portion of the Battle Creek study, including the entire distance from above the confluence with the Sacramento River to the South Diversion on the South Fork and the North Battle Creek Reservoir on the North Fork. A hip chain was worn by each field crew and was used to delineate the macrohabitat units to the nearest foot. Reference points were established roughly every 500 feet (to the nearest hydraulic control) and marked by an orange painted blaze and identification flagging. Field data collected included stream reach name, 500 foot section number, macrohabitat unit classification and starting and ending distance, and whether that unit was unsuitable for modeling. (The PHABSIM hydraulic models cannot simulate habitat units with very high velocities, especially cascades, and such units are assumed to provide no fish habitat.)

Macrohabitat was categorized into six types according to the following definitions.

Riffle - Low to moderate gradient riffle not exceeding the IFG4 hydraulic simulation model's capability. May contain some whitewater and standing waves, with a fairly uniform choppy surface, a mean column water velocity in excess of 1 ft/sec, and a depth generally less than two feet.

Run/Glide - Generally deeper than a riffle and often with a lower gradient than a riffle, with a fairly smooth water surface and a mean column water velocity generally in excess of 1 ft/s. Often occurs at the tailout of a pool but may occur anywhere.

Shallow Pool - A pool or portion of pool less than three feet in depth, with a mean column water velocity of less than 1 ft/s.

Deep Pool - A pool or portion of pool deeper than three feet with a mean column water velocity of less than 1 ft/s.

Pocket Water - An area of higher gradient generally containing plunge pools with counter-currents and velocity shear zones surrounding velocity chutes. Generally contains large boulders or other obstructions to flow which create eddies or scour holes (pockets) downstream of these obstructions.

Cascade - Any higher gradient riffle or cascade containing abundant white water and very high water velocities. Exceeds the modeling capability of the IFG4 model.

The field data were entered into a database to create a sequential map of habitat units along the mapped sections of stream. (Because of the volume of data included in these maps, they accompany this report on magnetic media in ASCII format. Files for each reach can be identified by their location prefix, and all have the suffix ".MAP".)

#### Transect Selection

In the five study reaches in the instream flow analysis, 149 transects were used to model fish habitat. Of these, 14 transects were from a previous study completed by TRPA on the North Fork Battle - Digger Reach (TRPA 1986). Access to most of Battle Creek is extremely difficult and time consuming, so a completely random transect selection process, resulting in widely separated transects, would have rendered an instream flow study infeasible. Therefore, actual transect placement was accomplished by a combination of random selection and professional judgment.

The transect selection process was designed to ensure adequate simulation of complete pool units (the deep pool area, the shallow pool area and the run/glide area that often occurs in the head, mid, and tail of deep pools). The habitat mapping results were examined to locate this macrohabitat unit sequence. These sequences were identified and numbered and, using a random number generator, several were selected for on-site inspection and possible transect placement. Inaccessible reaches, and reaches too close to previously selected

reaches were not considered. In the field, the first selected unit would be located and, if judged to be modelable and reasonably typical, transects were placed that would best represent the deep pool, shallow pool, and run/glide habitat types. Additional transects in the immediate vicinity were selected for the remaining habitat types, until transects were placed in all significant habitat types.

The second randomly selected complete pool unit was then located and the process repeated until sufficient transects were placed to adequately model a stream reach (roughly 30 transects per reach). Spawning transects were not selected randomly; rather, they were placed at locations known to have supported spawning in the past or were placed across or near observed redds. PG&E and agency personnel participated in all transect selections.

Each cluster of transects is referred to in following sections as a "study site". Their approximate locations, along with flow accretion data, are presented below ("Results, Habitat Mapping").

#### Transect Weighting

For modeling how habitat in an entire reach changes with flow, a weighting factor is assigned to each transect to reflect what proportion of the entire reach is represented by it. The transects were weighted using the habitat mapping data. Within a study reach, all transects of the same habitat type were assigned the same weight. This weight was equal to the proportion of the reach length made up of that habitat type (determined from habitat mapping), divided by the number of transects of that habitat type. For example, a reach that is 20% deep pool has 4 deep-pool transects; each such transect is therefore assigned a weighting factor of 5% ( $20\%/4$ ). Cascades were not included in the habitat mapping results used for transect weighting.

The supplemental transects added to simulate spawning habitat were equally weighted within a study reach. For example, if there were four such transects each was weighted as 25%.

All the transects in a study reach were used to develop the WUA vs. discharge relationship for the reach. Some reaches include points of accretion, tributaries, or diversions that alter the flow rate; separate WUA vs. discharge relationships were developed for above and below such points ("Development of WUA-Discharge Relationships",

below). To do so, all the transects for a reach were weighted separately for above and below accretion points, using the appropriate habitat mapping results. For example, flow in the Mainstem Battle Creek reach changes at Baldwin Creek. All 32 transects in this reach were weighted twice, once using habitat mapping from downstream of Baldwin Creek and once using mapping from upstream of the creek.

#### Hydraulic Data Collection

The field data collection and recording generally followed the guidelines established in the PHABSIM field techniques manuals (Trihey and Wegner 1981; Milhouš et al. 1984), supplemented by additional quality control checks. Discharge measurement basically followed the guidelines outlined by Rantz (1982). A minimum of 20 wetted stations (velocity measurement points) per stream transect were established, with a goal of no less than 15 wetted stations at low flow. The stations along each transect were normally at even increments, but significant changes in velocity, substrate, depth, or other important stream habitat features required additional stations.

As a result of the evolution in hydraulic modeling methods recommended by the instream flow group, it was agreed that data collection for the flow study would be changed from two flows with velocity measurements and two stage-discharge measurements to three flows with velocity measurements. The change would allow a three-flow analysis with mass-balance for interpolation between measured flows and one-flow analysis for extrapolation beyond measured flows (Payne 1988).

Data collection was timed to occur when the regular PG&E operations and the natural descending flows provided the desired flows in the different reaches. However, due to rapidly falling levels of flow we were unable to obtain several target discharges. This necessitated a request to PG&E for specified flow releases from their diversions on several occasions.

In several study-reaches (especially the Digger Reach), flow levels fluctuated during the high and middle flow data collection events. Through monitoring with a portable gage and using the transects' estimates for discharge, suitable stage/discharge relationships were established which allowed for satisfactory modeling of these reaches.

The standard method for determining mean column velocity was a single measurement at six-tenths of the water depth in depths less than 2.5

feet, and a two-tenths and eight-tenths measurement for depths between 2.5 and 4.0 feet. All three points were measured in depths greater than 4.0 feet, or where the velocity distribution in the water column was abnormal and one or two points were not adequate to derive an accurate mean column water velocity.

A top-setting wading rod was used with mechanical velocity meters for water velocity measurement. The meters were vertical-axis, rotating-cup Scientific Instruments Price AA and Pygmy-type meters. These meters are accurate where flow is turbulent and shifts direction, and where air is entrained in the water column.

On one occasion, where two transects had water velocities in excess of 9.0 feet per second, the Montedoro-Whitney PVM-2A electromagnetic velocity meter was used. This meter allowed measurement of extremely high water velocities which with a mechanical meter would have been more dangerous and possibly less reliable.

Considerable effort was applied to maintain strict quality control throughout all aspects of the hydraulic data collection. The following field data checks were made routinely by trained biologists:

- 1) Daily flow meter calibration, spin tests, and comparison between meters before, during, and after field measurements;
- 2) Computation of discharge following completion of each transect to identify possible meter or measurement technique error;
- 3) Frequent monitoring of water surface elevation gages to identify changes of stage during the course of transect measurement;
- 4) Double-checking of water surface elevation and reference survey computations; and
- 5) Comparison of bottom profiles between sampling dates, in reference to both water surface elevation and bench mark, to identify possible substrate movement.

#### Substrate Data Collection and Coding

The substrate and cover coding systems used in the instream flow study and criteria curve development on Battle Creek were developed in consultation with CDFG. (The habitat criteria curves must use the

same substrate and cover coding system as the hydraulic modeling.) The systems incorporated elements from previous studies done for CDFG, plus techniques used in numerous TRPA studies.

In the field, substrate was visually inspected and described on a cell by cell basis using a 15-class, 4-category substrate descriptor similar to that used by Smith and Aceituno (1987). This method was based upon the volumetric percentages of dominant substrate, subdominant substrate, and substrate in the class adjacent to the dominant substrate; and percent fines. The coding was based on particle sizes divided into a modified Wentworth scale:

Substrate Size Class		Substrate Size Range
1	Organic debris or vegetation	
2	Mud or soft clay (<0.002")	
3	Silt (<0.002")	
4	Fine sand (0.002"-0.1")	
5	Coarse sand (0.1"-0.25")	
6	Small gravel (0.25"-1.0")	
7	Medium gravel (1.0"-2.0")	
8	Large gravel (2.0"-3.0")	
9	Small cobble (3.0"-6.0")	
10	Medium cobble (6.0"-9.0")	
11	Large cobble (9.0"-12.0")	
12	Small boulder (12.0"-24.0")	
13	Medium boulder (24.0"-79.0")	
14	Large boulder (>79.0")	
15	Bedrock or hardpan	

At the time field data was collected, it was not known which substrate coding system might be most appropriate. To ensure that adequate information was collected to allow for translation into one of several coding systems, the data recorded for each cell consisted of six descriptors: 1) dominant substrate size class, 2) percent of substrate within the dominant size class, 3) subdominant substrate size class, 4) percent of substrate within the subdominant size class, 5) either size class of substrate most prevalent and adjacent to dominant (if not subdominant), and 6) percent of substrate that was adjacent to the dominant. From this information numerous final coding systems could be derived, including the Bovee system of dominant and adjacent substrate sizes and percent adjacent, the Brusven system of dominant, subdominant, and percent fines (where signifi-

cant), the TRPA system of dominant, subdominant, and percent dominant, and others.

For the IFG4 analysis, these data were translated into the less complex Bovee code because using the 15-class descriptor to generate habitat criteria curves would have required hundreds more fish observations at different mixes of substrate type. The criteria curves generated in the Species Habitat Criteria portion of this study were developed using the identical 15-class, 4 category substrate descriptor similarly translated to the Bovee code. This method of substrate coding (Bovee 1978) uses single digits corresponding to particle sizes and abundance. The two-digit code describes the mixture of the two adjacent-sized particle classes which dominate a particular cell by assigning the number (1 through 8) of the smaller-diameter size class to the digit place and the volumetric percentage (0 through 9 for 0% to 90%) of the larger-diameter size class to the decimal place.

#### Substrate Size Code Substrate Size Range

1	Organic debris or vegetation
2	Mud or soft clay (<0.002")
3	Silt (<0.002")
4	Sand (0.002"-0.25")
5	Gravel (0.25"-3.0")
6	Cobble/Rubble (3.0"-12.0")
7	Boulder(>12.0")
8	Bedrock

The choice of the final substrate coding system depended primarily upon the sample sizes obtained during the species criteria curve development and the shape and characteristics of the substrate data. Use of a complex coding system would have resulted in few, unevenly distributed, and skewed habitat observation data, so substrate codes were consolidated into the simpler Bovee coding system to obtain realistic descriptions of substrate suitability.

#### Cover Data Collection and Coding

Four separate components of cover were evaluated for each PHABSIM cell (and used to develop the habitat criteria curves for cover). These components were depth cover, overhead cover, turbulence cover,



and object cover. The first three components were primarily intended to describe the level of protection from terrestrial or aerial predation. The object cover component describes protection from aquatic predation and the use of velocity shelters as feeding stations.

For each cover component, a subjective rating that described the protection available was made at each PHABSIM cell (and used in habitat criteria development). The rating is 1 if no protection is provided, 2 if partial protection is provided, and 3 for full protection. This rating was interpreted as follows for the four components of cover. Depth cover was rated as 1 for depths less than 0.75 feet, as 2 for depths between 0.75 and 1.5 feet, and as 3 for depths greater than 1.5 feet. Overhead cover (objects above, but within two feet of, the water surface) was rated as 1 for no overhead cover, 2 for between 0% and 25% shading of the water surface, and 3 for greater than 25% shading of the water surface. Turbulence cover was rated as 1 for no visibility limitation due to turbulence, as 2 for roiling currents that distort the stream bottom, and as 3 for entrained air that obscure the stream bottom. Object cover (i.e. cobble, boulders, organic debris, undercut banks) were rated as 1 for no protection from velocity or predators, 2 if some cover is available but not abundant or close, and 3 if cover is abundant and close.

Cover was recorded for each cell by type (object cover, overhead cover, depth cover, and turbulence cover) and by rating for each type (no cover, partial cover, and full cover). The descriptive code used in the instream flow analysis was based on the highest rating for all of the cover types. That is, if any of the four cover types provided full cover, the cell would be coded as having full cover. The data collected under this method were adaptable to the eastern Sierra Nevada system previously used by CDFG, to the TRPA system as used in several studies, or to some intermediate system.

#### Hydraulic Model Calibration

Raw field data on water depths and surface elevations were transcribed from the data sheets for the three full sets of depth and velocity measurements, along with any additional stage/discharge measurements. An elevation relevant to an established benchmark was developed for every station along every transect. The elevations and point velocities from the three flows were entered into IFG4 hydraulic simulation input files for calibration.

The goal in calibration is to achieve a "reasonable" simulation over a range of flows, with compromises at the calibration flow to achieve more realistic results at other flows. The process is subjective and different modelers may be satisfied with slightly different methods. In the final habitat analysis, such modifications typically have little practical effect.

Calibration modifications were limited to strengthening stage-discharge relationships and flow-velocity relationships within cells. The standard procedures of calibration modification of stage-discharge relationships included altering water surface elevations, hydraulic controls, and best estimates of discharge. Graphics software was used in the establishment of these log-log linear relationships.

Cell velocity calibration modifications were limited to allowing occasional edge cell velocities to "float" with a specified water velocity of zero, specifying a near-zero water velocity where no detectable velocity was observed, taking the absolute value of some cell velocities (necessary when negative velocities are predicted), and removing the angular component of any velocities that were not perpendicular to the transect, after stage/discharge relationships had been established. All of these modifications allowed the model to better simulate velocities over a wider range of flows. All calibration modifications made to the original data set have been recorded and will be made available upon request.

The three-flow together with the one-flow option of the IFG4 model was employed in this study to simulate depths and velocities at those transects where individual cell velocities were measured. The three-flow data set was used for interpolation between the lowest and the highest measured flows. The low-flow one-flow data set was used for simulations that extrapolate from the lowest measured flow down to 40% of the lowest measured flow. The high-flow one-flow data set was used for extrapolation from the highest measured flow up to 250% of the highest measured flow.

In several transects, the bottom profile changed between the three field measurements. Although the changes that occurred were not sufficient to invalidate the stage/discharge relationship for the transect, they necessitated the transect be simulated with three one-flow calibrations with three different bottom profile sets.

The IFG4 in the self-calibrating mode was used on the deep pool transects where cell velocities were not measured due to great depth and very low water velocity. Deep pool velocities were simulated by allowing the IFG4 model to distribute discharge across all cells on the basis of depth and a specified Manning's  $n$  value.

Computer simulations were performed with the IFG4 hydraulic simulation model and the habitat (HABTAT) model of the USFWS Physical Habitat Simulation System (PHABSIM). The TRPA PHABSIM microcomputer software, version 5-1 of October 1985, as written by the USFWS and translated to microcomputer by TRPA, was used for all computations. This microcomputer version of PHABSIM is identical to the mainframe programs and has been verified as accurate against the USFWS version on the Control Data Corporation Cyber Series computer at Humboldt State University, Arcata, California.

The velocity adjustment factors (VAFs; indicators of simulation quality and the range of flows over which extrapolation is acceptable) were generated for each simulated discharge from the ratio of the simulated discharge to the discharge resulting from predicting velocities using the roughness factors obtained at the calibration flow. (At the calibration flow, the VAF should be approximately 1.0, except in deep pool transects where the IFG4 model was used in the self-calibrating mode. No standard is set for velocity adjustment factors in the self-calibrating mode.) The VAF compensates for the effect of changing roughness with changing flow by either increasing or decreasing simulated velocities so that the flow calculated with simulated velocities equals the flow the user wants.

#### Habitat Criteria Selection

Habitat criteria curves for some lifestages of chinook salmon (fall and spring fry, and fall and spring juvenile) and rainbow trout (fry, juvenile, and adult) were developed specifically for Battle Creek in another portion of this investigation (see TRPA 1995a).

Other criteria curves were taken from the literature. Rainbow trout spawning; winter steelhead fry, juvenile, and spawning; and spring chinook spawning curves were taken from Bovee (1978). Fall chinook spawning depth and velocity criteria specific to Battle Creek were taken from Vogel (1982), while substrate criteria for spring chinook from Bovee (1978) were used for fall chinook spawning. Smallmouth bass fry, juvenile, adult, and spawning criteria were taken from

Edwards, et. al (1983). Sacramento squawfish criteria for juvenile and adult lifestages were taken from Moyle and Baltz (1985). Appendix A contains the criteria curve file used in this analysis.

#### Development of WUA-Discharge Relationships

Curves of WUA vs. discharge were generated for each reach (or sub-reach) using HABTAT with the approved criteria curves and transect weightings. The WUA index was computed with the standard option (multiplication of each variable's suitability), and without velocity scanning (testing for adjacent velocity differentials). These results were generated only for the fish species inhabiting each stream reach. Under some circumstances, although the habitat may be appropriate for a certain species, it may not be available given certain flow conditions, fish ladder operations, etc. (TRPA 1995b). Fish habitat was modeled for those reaches that were appropriate but may not always be accessible to that particular species. Conversely, if habitat was not appropriate even though assessible, it was not modeled. For example, Sacramento squawfish habitat was not simulated for the Bailey reach of the North Fork of Battle Creek because no squawfish have ever been observed there (TRPA 1995c) and habitat there is not suitable for squawfish.

Two methods were used to deal with significant changes in flow within a study reach. Major tributaries or diversions required dividing a reach into subreaches and developing separate WUA-discharge relationships for the subreaches. In reaches with smaller rates of accretion, the WUA-discharge results were adjusted if necessary to reflect the effect of flow accretion. As discussed above ("Transect Weighting"), all transects from a reach were used, with separate weightings, to simulate habitat both upstream and downstream of a point of accretion. For example, if the upper end of the Bailey Reach were at a level of 43 cfs, approximately 4 cfs accretion entered the stream midway between the middle and upper study sites, resulting in an observed flow of 47 cfs in the Middle and Lower Bailey study sites. Therefore, weighted results (based upon distance represented) from a modeled flow of 43 cfs for the habitat units upstream of the accretion point were combined with results from a modeled flow of 47 cfs for habitat units downstream. This approach was used to account for flow accretion, rather than assuming that flows are uniform throughout the stream reach, which assumption would make habitat simulations inaccurate. (The subreaches and accretion points are identified below under "Results, Habitat Mapping".)

## RESULTS

The habitat mapping data (\*.MAP files), the species criteria curves (file BATFISH.COD, Appendix A), and the IFG4 data decks (\*.IFG) used in the analysis for all study reaches are presented on magnetic media and accompany this report. This will allow reanalysis of any reach using alternative criteria curves or alternative weighting of transects.

### Habitat Mapping

The following habitat mapping results were used to weight transects in the PHABSIM analysis. They are listed by study reach. Because cascade habitat is not modelable using IFG4, the percent of habitat in each of the other types was calculated without cascades and used to weight transects. Also identified with habitat mapping results are (1) the points of accretion, tributaries, and diversions used to modify the WUA-discharge relationships; (2) the subreaches for which the WUA-discharge relations were calculated separately due to major changes in flow; and (3) the PHABSIM study site locations (study sites are described in detail below under "Transect Selection").

#### 1) Battle Creek Mouth Study Reach

A total of 32,162 feet (6.09 miles) of stream were habitat mapped on 10/26/88 to 10/27/88, yielding the following breakdown of habitat types. (No habitat modeling was done for this reach.)

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	7,135'	22.2	22.4
Run/Glide	16,155'	50.2	50.6
Shallow Pool	1,491'	4.6	4.7
Deep Pool	6,752'	21.0	21.2
Pocket Water	377'	1.2	1.2
Cascade	252'	0.8	-
Total	32,162'	100.0	100.0

## 2) Mainstem Battle Creek Study Reach

A total of 47,855 feet (9.06 miles) of stream were habitat mapped from 8/30/88 to 9/2/88. Approximately 4 cfs enters the mainstem from Baldwin Creek. The WUA was adjusted for this difference, requiring habitat percentages above and below this point to be tallied separately.

Study site and accretion point locations in this reach are:

Site	Location Upstream (Coleman Powrh.=0')
Spawning study site	9,443'
Lower Mainstem study site	3,208'
Middle Mainstem study site	18,424'
Upper Mainstem study site	35,230'
Baldwin Creek accretion	41,593'
North and South fork confluence	47,855'.

Habitat types above and below Baldwin Creek are:

Macrohabitat Type	Below Baldwin Total Linear Distance	% of Reach Without Cascades	Above Baldwin Total Linear Distance	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	2,915'	7.5	280'	4.8
Run/Glide	9,826'	25.3	1,684'	28.9
Shallow Pool	4,771'	12.3	1,212'	20.8
Deep Pool	10,276'	26.4	1,499'	25.7
Pocket Water	11,100'	28.5	1,161'	19.9
Cascade	2,705'	--	426'	-
Total	41,593'	100.0	6,262'	100.1

## 3) North Fork Battle - Eagle Study Reach

A total of 28,758 feet (5.45 miles) of stream were habitat mapped from 9/6/88 to 9/8/88. Two subreaches were necessary due to flow changes at the Wildcat Diversion and Eagle Canyon Diversion. Because we lack information on accretion and the contribution of Digger Creek on the upstream end of the reach between the confluence of Digger Creek and Eagle Canyon Diversion, we were unable to model this 805-foot section.

Subreach and study site locations are:

Site	Location Upstream (NF & SF conflu.=0')	Subreach
Lower Eagle study site	2,265'	Wildcat
Middle Eagle study site	7,296'	Wildcat
Wildcat Diversion	13,110'	Wildcat
Upper Eagle study site	20,724'	Eagle Canyon
Eagle Canyon Diversion	27,953'	Eagle Canyon
Digger Creek	28,758'	(not modeled).

The habitat types measured in the entire reach are:

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	1,894'	6.6	7.3
Run/Glide	7,186'	25.0	27.8
Shallow Pool	7,994'	27.8	30.9
Deep Pool	3,405'	11.8	13.1
Pocket Water	5,395'	18.8	20.9
Cascade	2,884'	10.0	-
Total	28,758'	100.0	100.0

Habitat percentages between the confluence of the North and South forks and Wildcat Diversion (Wildcat subreach), and between Wildcat Diversion and the diversion at Eagle Canyon (Eagle Canyon subreach) were summed separately. They are:

Macrohabitat Type	Eagle Subreach Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade	Wildcat Subreach Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade
Riffle	1,360'	10.2	534'	4.5
Run/Glide	4,037'	30.2	3,093'	25.9
Shallow Pool	3,885'	29.1	4,083'	34.2
Deep Pool	1,455'	10.9	1,679'	14.1
Pocket Water	2,627'	19.7	2,547'	21.3
Cascade	1,479'	-	1,174'	-
Total	14,843'	100.1	13,110'	100.0

#### 4) North Fork Battle - Digger Study Reach

A total of 22,492 feet (4.26 miles) of stream were habitat mapped on 8/18/88 to 8/25/88. Because we lack information on accretion and the contribution of Bailey Creek on the upper end of the reach between the confluence of Bailey Creek and North Battle Feeder Diversion, we were unable to model this section. No significant points of accretion or diversion occur within the modeled reach.

The study site locations are:

Site	Location Upstream (Digger Ck. conflu.=0')
Lower Digger study site	2,588'
Middle Digger study site	13,424'
Old Digger study site	14,334'
Upper Digger study site	15,680'
North Battle Feeder Diversion	21,449'
Bailey Creek	22,492' (not modeled).

The habitat types measured in the Digger Reach are:

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	3,657'	16.3	17.5
Run/Glide	5,871'	26.1	28.1
Shallow Pool	5,372'	23.9	25.7
Deep Pool	2,395'	10.6	11.5
Pocket Water	3,588'	16.0	17.2
Cascade	1,609'	7.2	-
Total	22,492'	100.1	100.0.

The following habitat percentages in the modeled reach between the confluence of Digger Creek and the Feeder were used for transect weighting:



Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	3,564'	16.6	17.8
Run/Glide	5,574'	26.0	27.9
Shallow Pool	5,259'	24.5	26.3
Deep Pool	2,225'	10.4	11.2
Pocket Water	3,362'	15.7	16.8
Cascade	1,465'	6.8	-
Total	21,449'	100.0	100.0

#### 5) North Fork Battle - Bailey Study Reach

A total of 35,134 feet (6.65 miles) of stream were habitat mapped on 9/15/88 to 9/29/88. Flow accretion between the Keswick and Al Smith diversions (a distance of 7,224') is unknown so only that portion of the stream reach between the confluence of Bailey Creek and Keswick Diversion was modeled. An accretion difference of approximately 4 cfs during the low flow period was measured on 5/18/89 between the upper and middle study sites; habitat was modeled separately upstream and downstream of this point.

The study site and accretion point locations are:

Site	Location Upstream (Bailey Conflu.=0')
Lower Bailey study site	3,660'
Middle Bailey study site	4,607'
Point of accretion	9,737'
Upper Bailey study site	15,025'
Keswick Diversion	27,910'
Al Smith Diversion	35,134' (not modeled).

The habitat types measured for the entire reach are:

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	1,788'	5.1	6.7
Run/Glide	2,107'	6.0	7.9
Shallow Pool	6,414'	18.3	23.9
Deep Pool	1,733'	4.9	6.5

Pocket Water	14,760'	42.0	55.1
Cascade	8,332'	23.7	-
Total	35,134'	100.0	100.1

Habitat percentages were tallied above and below the point of accretion between Keswick Diversion and the Bailey Creek confluence:

Macrohabitat Type	Keswick Diver-Accretion pt.	% of Reach	Accretion pt-Bailey Confl	% of Reach
	Total Linear Distance	Without Cascades	Total Linear Distance	Without Cascades
Riffle	1,175'	8.5	126'	1.8
Run/Glide	674'	4.9	981'	13.8
Shallow Pool	4,093'	29.5	1,783'	25.0
Deep Pool	780'	5.6	919'	12.9
Pocket Water	7,151'	51.6	3,308'	46.5
Cascade	4,300'	-	2,620'	-
Total	18,173'	100.1	9,737'	100.0

#### 6) North Fork Battle - Reservoirs Study Reach

A total of 64,850 feet (12.28 miles) of stream were habitat mapped on 9/29/88 to 10/27/88. (No habitat modeling was done for this reach.)

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	10,052'	15.5	17.4
Run/Glide	7,008'	10.8	12.2
Shallow Pool	16,259'	25.1	28.2
Deep Pool	1,011'	1.6	1.8
Pocket Water	23,322'	36.0	40.4
Cascade	7,198'	11.1	-
Total	64,850'	100.1	100.0

#### 7) South Fork Battle Study Reach

A total of 75,797 feet (14.36 miles) of stream were habitat mapped on 9/9/88 to 9/20/88. An accretion difference of approximately 15 cfs

during the low flow period was measured on 6/8/89 at Soap Creek between the study sites 8 and 10; flow changes within this reach also occur at the Coleman Diversion and the Inskip Diversion. Therefore, three different subreaches used in the instream flow analysis, and habitat was weighted separately upstream and downstream of Soap Creek in the South Diversion subreach.

Study site and flow change sites are:

Site	Location Upstream (NF & SF conflu.=0')	Subreach
Spawning study site	10,697'	Coleman
South Fork 2 study site	12,100'	Coleman
Coleman Diversion	13,423'	Coleman
South Fork 4 study site	19,677'	Inskip
South Fork 6 study site	28,831'	Inskip
Inskip Diversion	42,045'	Inskip
South Fork 8 study site	45,970'	South Diversion
Soap Creek accretion point	61,471'	South Diversion
South Fork 10 study site	71,372'	South Diversion
South Diversion	75,797'	South Diversion.

The habitat types for the entire reach are:

Macrohabitat Type	Total Linear Distance	% of Reach	% of Reach Without Cascades
Riffle	5,824'	7.7	8.8
Run/Glide	6,582'	8.7	9.9
Shallow Pool	16,061'	21.2	24.1
Deep Pool	11,836'	15.6	17.8
Pocket Water	26,263'	34.6	39.4
Cascade	9,231'	12.2	-
Total	75,797'	100.0	100.0

The habitat weightings for each subreach are (habitat weights are also separated for upstream and downstream of Soap Creek in the South Diversion subreach):

Macrohabitat Type	Coleman Subreach		Inskip Subreach	
	Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade	Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade
Riffle	1,147'	9.2	1,077'	4.3
Run/Glide	1,458'	11.6	2,334'	9.2
Shallow Pool	4,537'	36.2	6,599'	26.1
Deep Pool	1,419'	11.3	4,692'	18.6
Pocket Water	3,971'	31.7	10,535'	41.7
Cascade	891'	-	3,385'	-
Total	13,423'	100.0	28,622'	99.9

Macrohabitat Type	South Div Subreach Below Soap Ck		South Div Subreach Above Soap Ck	
	Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade	Total Linear Distance	% of Subreach w/o Cascade
Riffle	2,394'	14.3	1,206'	10.0
Run/Glide	2,289'	13.6	501'	4.2
Shallow Pool	2,532'	15.1	2,393'	19.9
Deep Pool	4,023'	24.0	1,702'	14.2
Pocket Water	5,550'	33.1	6,207'	51.7
Cascade	2,638'	-	2,317'	-
Total	19,426'	100.1	14,326'	100.0

#### Transect Selection

For each study reach, the PHABSIM hydraulic transects were placed as follows. (Study site locations were identified in the previous section and in Figure 1. The actual location of each transect in each study site is documented in the following section, along with the weighting factors.)

- 1) Battle Creek Mouth Study Reach (no transects)
- 2) Mainstem Battle Creek Study Reach

Transects were placed in the randomly selected habitat units in three sites. The first of these sites is upstream of the Coleman Powerhouse approximately 0.5 miles, at the lower end of the study reach.

This study site is referred to as the Lower Mainstem Study Site and contains eleven transects. The second study site is approximately 3.5 miles upstream from Coleman Powerhouse. This site is identified as the Middle Mainstem Study Site and contains nine transects. The third site is approximately 6.5 miles upstream from Coleman Powerhouse. This site is identified as the Upper Mainstem Study Site and contains nine transects. In addition to the first four transects of the Lower Mainstem Study Site, three supplemental transects were selected for spawning approximately 2 miles above the Coleman Powerhouse.

### 3) North Fork Battle - Eagle Study Reach

In the Eagle Reach of the North Fork of Battle Creek, transects were placed in the randomly selected habitat units in three study sites. The first of these sites is approximately 0.4 miles upstream of the North Fork and South Fork confluence, at the lower end of the study reach. This study site is referred to as the Lower Eagle Study Site. This site contains nine transects. The second study site, approximately 1.4 miles upstream from the confluence, is identified as the Middle Eagle Study Site and contains nine transects. The third site is approximately 4.0 miles upstream from the confluence and is identified as the Upper Eagle Study Site and contains ten transects. In addition, two supplemental transects were selected for spawning: the first within the Lower Eagle site, and the second 0.8 miles above the North Fork and South Fork confluence.

### 4) North Fork Battle - Digger Study Reach

TRPA completed a previous instream flow study on this reach. With CDFG, it was agreed to use the previous study and new transects in the assessment of this study reach. Three new study sites were randomly selected for transect placement. The first of these sites is approximately 0.5 miles upstream of the Digger Creek confluence, at the lower end of the study reach. This study site is referred to as the Lower Digger Study Site and contains seven transects. The second site, approximately 2.6 miles upstream from the confluence, is identified as the Middle Digger Study Site and contains seven transects. The third study site is approximately 3.0 miles upstream from the confluence and is identified as the Upper Digger Study Site and contains six transects. The previously completed study included fourteen transects, most in proximity to the Middle Digger Study Site. No supplemental transects were selected for spawning.

#### 5) North Fork Battle - Bailey Study Reach

Transects were placed in the randomly selected habitat units in three study sites. The first of these sites is approximately 0.7 miles upstream of the Bailey Creek confluence, at the lower end of the study reach. This is referred to as the Lower Bailey Study Site and contains seven transects. The second site is approximately 0.9 miles upstream from the confluence and is identified as the Middle Bailey Study Site, containing seven transects. The third study site is approximately 2.8 miles upstream from the confluence and is identified as the Upper Bailey Study Site, with eight transects. No supplemental transects were selected for spawning.

#### 6) North Fork Battle - Reservoirs Study Reach (no transects)

#### 7) South Fork Battle Study Reach

Transects were placed in the randomly selected habitat units in five study sites. The first of these is approximately 2.3 miles upstream of the North and South Fork confluence, at the lower end of the study reach. This site is referred to as South Fork 2 Study Site and contains three transects. The second is approximately 3.7 miles upstream from the confluence and is identified as the South Fork 4 Study Site and contains eight transects. The third site, approximately 5.5 miles upstream from the confluence, is identified as the South Fork 6 Study Site and contains six transects. The South Fork 8 Study Site is approximately 8.7 miles upstream from the confluence and contains six transects. The South Fork 10 Study Site is approximately 13.5 miles upstream from the confluence and contains five transects. In addition, three supplemental transects were selected for use in modeling spawning and to augment the other 28 transects.

#### Transect Weighting

The weighting factors for the IFG4 transects, as calculated from habitat mapping results, are presented for each study reach or subreach, including upstream and downstream of accretion points where appropriate. The location of each transect is also presented, by the study sites that transects were grouped in. The habitat mapping results ("% of Reach") are without cascades. Weightings for spawning are shown only for those transects used to simulate spawning WUA.

1) Battle Creek Mouth Study Reach (no transects)

2) Mainstem Battle Creek Study Reach

This reach is divided by accretion from the Baldwin Creek tributary. Seven transects were used for spawning habitat analysis, including three that were added specifically for spawning but also used for the rest of the PHABSIM analysis.

#### Weighting Calculation Below Baldwin Creek Confluence

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	7.5	6	1.25
Run/Glide	25.3	7	3.61
Shallow Pool	12.3	6	2.04
Deep Pool	26.4	6	4.40
Pocket Water	28.5	7	4.08
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	32	

#### Weighting Calculation Above Baldwin Creek Confluence

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	4.8	6	0.80
Run/Glide	28.9	7	4.12
Shallow Pool	20.8	6	3.46
Deep Pool	25.7	6	4.28
Pocket Water	19.9	7	2.84
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.1	32	

### Lower Mainstem Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location	Habitat Type	Below	Above	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Clmn Pwh=0')		Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	
1	5,895'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	14.3
2	5,960'	Riffle	1.25	0.80	14.3
3	2,815'	Riffle	1.25	0.80	14.3
4	2,855'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	14.3
5	2,958'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46	
6	3,019'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28	
7	3,119'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84	
8	3,254'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84	
9	3,344'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	
10	3,507'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46	
11	3,602'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28	

### Middle Mainstem Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location	Habitat Type	Below	Above	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Clmn Pwh=0')		Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	
1	5,895'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	14.3
12	18,869'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	
13	18,919'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46	
14	18,959'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28	
15	18,554'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84	
16	18,224'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28	
17	18,174'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46	
18	18,125'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	
19	18,088'	Riffle	1.25	0.80	
20	17,888'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84	

### Upper Mainstem Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location	Habitat Type	Below	Above	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Clmn Pwh=0')		Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	
21	34,790'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12	
22	34,840'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46	



23	34,864'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28
24	34,937'	Shallow Pool	2.04	3.46
25	34,986'	Run/Glide	3.61	4.12
26	35,005'	Deep Pool	4.40	4.28
27	35,163'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84
28	35,415'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84
29	35,671'	Riffle	1.25	0.80

#### Mainstem Spawning Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Below	Above	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Clmn Pwh=0')	Habitat Type	Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	Baldwin IFG4 Weighting	
1	9,605'	Pocket Water	4.08	2.84	14.3
2	9,575'	Riffle	1.25	0.80	14.3
3	9,281'	Riffle	1.25	0.80	14.3

#### 3) North Fork Battle - Eagle Study Reach

This reach is divided by the Wildcat Diversion into the Wildcat and Eagle Canyon subreaches. It includes 30 transects, two of which were added specifically for spawning.

#### Weighting Calculation for Wildcat Subreach

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	4.5	5	0.89
Run/Glide	25.9	7	3.70
Shallow Pool	34.2	6	5.70
Deep Pool	14.1	6	2.34
Pocket Water	21.3	6	3.56
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	30	

# Weighting Calculation for Eagle Canyon Subreach

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	10.2	5	2.04
Run/Glide	30.2	7	4.32
Shallow Pool	29.1	6	4.85
Deep Pool	10.9	6	1.81
Pocket Water	19.7	6	3.28
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.1	30	

## Lower Eagle Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Wildcat		Eagle	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Confl N&S=0')	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	Subreach IFG4 Weighting		
1	1,921'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32		
2	1,927'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85		
3	1,945'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81		
4	1,992'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32		
5	1,998'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85		
6	2,012'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28		
7	2,216'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81		
8	2,233'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28		
9	2,610'	Riffle	0.89	2.04		

## Middle Eagle Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Wildcat		Eagle	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Confl N&S=0')	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	Subreach IFG4 Weighting		
0	6,930'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81		
1	7,283'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28		
2	7,294'	Riffle	0.89	2.04		
3	7,313'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32		
4	7,320'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85		
5	7,335'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81		
6	7,370'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28		

7	7,626'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32
8	7,662'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85

#### Upper Eagle Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Wildcat	Eagle	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Confl N&S=0')	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	
0	20,001'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81	
1	20,072'	Riffle	0.89	2.04	
2	20,082'	Riffle	0.89	2.04	
3	21,228'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85	
4	21,255'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28	
5	21,314'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32	
6	21,376'	Pocket Water	3.56	3.28	
7	21,414'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32	
8	21,436'	Shallow Pool	5.70	4.85	
9	21,446'	Deep Pool	2.34	1.81	

#### Eagle Spawning Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Wildcat	Eagle	Spawning Weighting
	Upstream (Confl N&S=0')	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	Subreach IFG4 Weighting	
1	2,093'	Run/Glide	3.70	4.32	50.00
2	4,476'	Riffle	0.89	2.04	50.00

#### 4) North Fork Battle - Digger Study Reach

The Digger reach has 34 transects, including 14 from the previous TRPA PHABSIM study. No transects were used for spawning habitat.

#### Weighting Calculations

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	17.8	6	2.97
Run/Glide	27.9	7	3.98
Shallow Pool	26.3	9	2.92
Deep Pool	11.2	6	1.86

Pocket Water	16.8	6	2.80
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	34	

#### Previous Study Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location Upstream (Digger confl.=0')	Habitat Type	IFG4 Weighting by Reach (%)
1	10,994'	Riffle	2.97
2	13,847'	Run/Glide	3.98
3	13,851'	Run/Glide	3.98
4	13,873'	Shallow Pool	2.92
5	13,938'	Shallow Pool	2.92
6	13,949'	Riffle	2.97
7	14,094'	Shallow Pool	2.92
8	14,162'	Pocket Water	2.80
9	14,339'	Shallow Pool	2.92
10	14,363'	Run/Glide	3.98
11	14,545'	Shallow Pool	2.92
12	14,570'	Shallow Pool	2.92
13	14,745'	Riffle	2.97
14	14,822'	Run/Glide	3.98

#### Lower Digger Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location Upstream (Digger confl.=0')	Habitat Type	IFG4 Weighting by Reach (%)
1	2,414'	Pocket Water	2.80
2	2,503'	Deep Pool	1.86
3	2,582'	Riffle	2.97
4	2,706'	Run/Glide	3.98
5	2,718'	Shallow Pool	2.92
6	2,735'	Deep Pool	1.86
7	2,761'	Deep Pool	1.86

### Middle Digger Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location (Digger confl.=0')	Upstream	Habitat Type	IFG4 Weighting by Reach (%)
1	→	13,706'	Deep Pool	1.86
2		13,664'	Shallow Pool	2.92
3		13,646'	Run/Glide	3.98
4		13,639'	Riffle	2.97
5		13,552'	Pocket Water	2.80
6		13,143'	Deep Pool	1.86
7		13,986'	Pocket Water	2.80

### Upper Digger Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location (Digger confl.=0')	Upstream	Habitat Type	IFG4 Weighting by Reach (%)
1		16,159'	Deep Pool	1.86
2		16,117'	Shallow Pool	2.92
3		16,071'	Run/Glide	3.98
4		16,049'	Riffle	2.97
5		15,647'	Pocket Water	2.80
6		15,200'	Pocket Water	2.80

### 5) North Fork Battle - Bailey Study Reach

This reach is divided by a point of accretion at 9,737' upstream of the Bailey Creek confluence. The reach has 22 transects, none selected for spawning habitat.

### Weighting Calculation for Keswick Diversion to Point of Accretion

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	8.5	4	2.82
Run/Glide	4.9	3	1.22
Shallow Pool	29.5	6	4.92
Deep Pool	5.6	3	1.87
Pocket Water	51.6	6	8.59
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.1	22	

Weighting Calculation for Point of Accretion to Bailey Creek Confluence

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	1.8	4	0.59
Run/Glide	13.8	3	3.44
Shallow Pool	25.0	6	4.18
Deep Pool	12.9	3	4.30
Pocket Water	46.5	6	7.75
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	22	

Lower Bailey Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Keswick-	Bailey-	Spawning
	Upstream	Habitat	Accretion IFG4 Weighting	Accretion IFG4 Weighting	
	(Bailey Conf=0')	Type			Weighting
1	3,617'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75	
2	3,638'	Run/Glide	1.22	3.44	
3	3,640'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18	
4	3,649'	Deep Pool	1.87	4.30	
5	3,665'	Run/Glide	1.22	3.44	
6	3,672'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75	
7	3,702'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18	

Middle Bailey Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Keswick-	Bailey-	Spawning
	Upstream	Habitat	Accretion IFG4 Weighting	Accretion IFG4 Weighting	
	(Bailey Conf=0')	Type			Weighting
1	4,529'	Run/Glide	1.22	3.44	
2	4,532'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18	
3	4,541'	Deep Pool	1.87	4.30	
4	4,557'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75	
5	4,626'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75	
6	4,651'	Riffle	2.82	0.59	
7	4,685'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18	

#### Upper Bailey Study Site Transect Weightings

2	14,964'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75
3	14,981'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18
4	15,041'	Riffle	2.82	0.59
5	15,045'	Run/Glide	1.22	3.44
6	15,053'	Shallow Pool	4.92	4.18
7	15,067'	Deep Pool	1.87	4.30
8	15,104'	Pocket Water	8.59	7.75

6) North Fork Battle - Reservoirs Study Reach (no transects)

7) South Fork Battle Study Reach

This reach is divided into three subreaches; in addition, accretion from Soap Creek in the South Diversion Subreach results in transects being weighted separately upstream and downstream of this creek. For the Coleman and Inskip subreaches, the 20 transects from study sites South Fork 2, 4, and 6 were used to calculate WUA. For the South Diversion subreach, the 11 transects from study sites South Fork 8 and 10 were used. Therefore, each study site's transects were weighted twice.

Three transects were added for spawning WUA; these were applied to the Coleman and Inskip subreaches.

#### Weighting Calculations for Coleman Subreach

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	9.2	3	3.07
Run/Glide	11.6	5	2.32
Shallow Pool	36.2	5	7.24
Deep Pool	11.3	4	2.83
Pocket Water	31.7	3	10.56
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	20	

### Weighting Calculations for Inskip Subreach

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	4.3	3	1.43
Run/Glide	9.2	5	1.84
Shallow Pool	26.1	5	5.22
Deep Pool	18.6	4	4.65
Pocket Water	41.7	3	13.90
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	99.9	20	

### Weighting Calculations for South Diversion Subreach below Soap Creek

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	14.3	2	7.15
Run/Glide	13.6	3	4.53
Shallow Pool	15.1	2	7.55
Deep Pool	24.0	2	12.00
Pocket Water	33.1	2	16.55
Total	100.1	11	

### Weighting Calculations for South Diversion Subreach above Soap Creek

Macrohabitat Type	% of Reach	Number of Transects	IFG4 Transect Weighting by Reach (%)
Riffle	10.0	2	5.00
Run/Glide	4.2	3	1.40
Shallow Pool	19.9	2	9.95
Deep Pool	14.2	2	7.10
Pocket Water	51.7	2	25.85
Cascade	-	0	-
Total	100.0	11	



### South Fork 2 Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Coleman		Inskip	
	Upstream	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4	Weighting	Subreach IFG4	Weighting
	Number (N&S Fk Conf=0')					
1	12,072'	Run/Glide	2.32		1.84	
2	12,085'	Shallow Pool	7.24		5.22	
3	12,127'	Deep Pool	2.83		4.65	

### South Fork 4 Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Coleman		Inskip	
	Upstream	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4	Weighting	Subreach IFG4	Weighting
	Number (N&S Fk Conf=0')					
1	19,149'	Run/Glide	2.32		1.84	
2	19,163'	Shallow Pool	7.24		5.22	
3	19,212'	Deep Pool	2.83		4.65	
4	19,466'	Shallow Pool	7.24		5.22	
5	19,478'	Deep Pool	2.83		4.65	
6	19,724'	Run/Glide	2.32		1.84	
7	19,882'	Riffle	3.07		1.43	
8	20,205'	Pocket Water	10.56		13.90	

### South Fork 6 Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		Coleman		Inskip	
	Upstream	Habitat Type	Subreach IFG4	Weighting	Subreach IFG4	Weighting
	Number (N&S Fk Conf=0')					
1	29,046'	Run/Glide	2.32		1.84	
2	29,066'	Shallow Pool	7.24		5.22	
3	29,110'	Deep Pool	2.83		4.65	
4	28,958'	Pocket Water	10.56		13.90	
5	28,739'	Pocket Water	10.56		13.90	
6	28,552'	Riffle	3.07		1.43	

### South Fork Spawning Transect Weightings

	Location		Coleman	Inskip	
Transect	Upstream	Habitat	Subreach IFG4	Subreach IFG4	Spawning
Number	(N&S Fk Conf=0')	Type	Weighting	Weighting	Weighting
1	11,303'	Run/Glide	2.32	1.84	33.33
2	10,137'	Riffle	3.07	1.43	33.33
3	10,091'	Shallow Pool	7.24	5.22	33.33

### South Fork 8 Study Site Transect Weightings

Transect Number	Location		South Diversion Subreach		Spawning
	Upstream	Habitat	Below Soap Ck	Above Soap Ck	
	(N&S Fk Conf=0')	Type	IFG Weighting	IFG Weighting	Weighting
1	46,087'	Run/Glide	4.53	1.40	
2	46,130'	Shallow Pool	7.55	9.95	
3	46,153'	Deep Pool	12.00	7.10	
4	45,938'	Run/Glide	4.53	1.40	
5	45,836'	Riffle	7.15	5.00	
6	45,790'	Pocket Water	16.55	25.85	

### South Fork 10 Study Site

Transect Number	Location		South Diversion Subreach		Spawning
	Upstream	Habitat	Below Soap Ck	Above Soap Ck	
	(N&S Fk Conf=0')	Type	IFG Weighting	IFG Weighting	Weighting
1	71,395'	Run/Glide	4.53	1.40	
2	71,419'	Shallow Pool	7.55	9.95	
3	71,467'	Deep Pool	12.00	7.10	
4	71,323'	Riffle	7.15	5.00	
5	71,278'	Pocket Water	16.55	25.85	

### Hydraulic, Substrate, and Cover Data

The depth, velocity, substrate, and cover measurements are recorded in the IFG4 input files submitted on a computer diskette with this report.

A minimum of three complete sets of depths and velocity measurements with some additional stage/discharge data were collected for all

transects, except deep pools (Table 1). Data collection for deep pools included measurement of the bottom profile and a minimum of three levels of stage.

#### Hydraulic Model Calibration

Transect rating curves with mean errors are located in Appendix B. For the 149 study cross-sections, only one had a slope value of 1.94, slightly outside the preferred range of 2.00 to 5.00. All rating curve mean errors were less than the maximum acceptable standard of 10%, with only six slightly more than 5%. The VAFs for all transects at all simulated flows were within acceptable limits for the standard three-flow and one-flow mode IFG4 simulations.

#### WUA - Discharge Relationships

The WUA in each study reach or subreach was calculated for a range of flows, using the transect weighting factors and habitat criteria described above. The following general results are noted (Figures 2-21; wetted area and weighted usable area by discharge results in tabular form are in Appendix C).

- 1) Battle Creek Mouth Study Reach (no analysis)
- 2) Mainstem Battle Creek Study Reach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile and adult WUA maximize with an increase in flow to 24 and 41 cfs, respectively (Figure 2). Rainbow trout spawning habitat maximizes at 61 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter.

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile WUA climbs sharply with increases in flow to 71 cfs, thereafter WUA falls off (Figure 3). Fall chinook spawning habitat for all transects slowly maximizes to 86 cfs while spring chinook spawning habitat maximizes at 46 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter. Fall chinook spawning habitat derived from only those designated as spawning transects reaches a maximum in the range of 100 to 105 cfs (Figure 4).

Smallmouth bass fry and juvenile habitat decrease with an increase in flow, while adult WUA reaches a peak at 18 cfs and decreases as flow increases in excess of that amount (Figure 5). Spawning maximizes at a flow of 91 cfs, thereafter decreasing.

Sacramento squawfish juvenile habitat decreases with any increase in flow modeled, while adult WUA has bimodal peaks with the greater at 66 cfs and the secondary at a flow of 136 cfs (Figure 5).

### 3) North Fork Battle - Eagle Study Reach, Wildcat Subreach

Rainbow trout fry habitat generally decreases with an increase in discharge, while juvenile WUA is at a maximum at 18 cfs and adult WUA at 30 cfs (Figure 6). Spawning habitat area is at a maximum at 30 cfs.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 12 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 30 cfs and steelhead spawning at 60 cfs (Figure 6).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge above 6 cfs, while juvenile habitat optimizes at 40 cfs (Figure 7). Fall chinook salmon spawning utilizing all transects peaks in the 40 to 45 cfs range while spring chinook salmon spawning peaks at 25 cfs. Fall chinook salmon spawning using only the two transects specifically selected for spawning yielded bimodal results with the lesser peak occurring in the 18 to 20 cfs range and greater peak at 180 cfs (Figure 4).

Sacramento squawfish fry WUA maximizes at a flow of 6 cfs while adult habitat increases slowly to 40 cfs and generally maintains at this level with any increase in discharge (Figure 8).

### 3) North Fork Battle - Eagle Study Reach, Eagle Canyon Subreach

Rainbow trout fry habitat generally decreases with an increase in discharge, while juvenile WUA maximizes in the 16 to 18 cfs range and adult WUA at 30 cfs (Figure 9). Spawning habitat area is also at a maximum at 30 cfs.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 12 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 30 cfs and steelhead spawning at 60 cfs (Figure 9).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge above 6 cfs, while juvenile habitat optimizes at 40 cfs (Figure 10). Fall chinook salmon spawning utilizing all transects peaks at 40 cfs while spring chinook salmon spawning peaks at 25 cfs.

#### 4) North Fork Battle - Digger Study Reach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile and adult WUA maximize at 17 and 25 cfs, respectively (Figure 11). Rainbow trout spawning habitat slowly maximizes at 60 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 25 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 45 cfs and spawning peaks in the 100 to 120 cfs range (Figure 11).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge above 7 cfs, while juvenile habitat optimizes at 50 cfs (Figure 12). Fall chinook salmon spawning utilizing all transects peaks at 80 cfs while spring chinook salmon spawning peaks at 60 cfs.

#### 5) North Fork Battle - Bailey Study Reach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to slowly decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile and adult WUA slowly maximize with an increase in flow to 10 and 25 cfs, respectively (Figure 13). Rainbow trout spawning habitat slowly maximizes at 66 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at 10 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 26 to 31 cfs and steelhead spawning reaches the maximum WUA at 46 cfs (Figure 13).

#### 6) North Fork Battle - Reservoirs Study Reach (no analysis)

#### 7) South Fork Battle Study Reach, - Coleman Subreach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile WUA maximizes with an increase in flow to 25 cfs (Figure 14). The adult habitat to flow relationship is bimodal with the maximum WUA at 65 cfs being slightly greater than at 35 cfs. Rainbow trout spawning habitat maximizes at 80 cfs.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 20 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 45 cfs and steelhead spawning at 120 cfs (Figure 14).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease past 10 cfs while juvenile WUA climbs sharply with increases in flow to a peak at

55 cfs (Figure 15). Fall chinook spawning habitat for all transects slowly maximizes at 90 cfs while spring chinook spawning habitat maximizes at 50 to 55 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter. Fall chinook spawning habitat derived from only those designated as spawning transects quickly reaches a pronounced maximum at 80 cfs (Figure 4).

Sacramento squawfish juvenile habitat reaches a maximum value at 18 cfs and slowly decreases with any increase in flow modeled. Adult WUA is bimodal with the smaller of the two peaks at 30 cfs and with the greater at 70 cfs (Figure 16).

#### 7) South Fork Battle Study Reach, Inskip Subreach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge while juvenile WUA maximizes with an increase in flow to 25 cfs (Figure 17). Adult rainbow trout habitat is slightly bimodal and peaks first at 40 and then at 65 cfs. Rainbow trout spawning habitat versus flow relationship is also bimodal and maximizes at 60 cfs and then 80 cfs and slowly decreases thereafter.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 18 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 40 cfs and steelhead spawning at 120 cfs (Figure 17).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge above 10 cfs, while juvenile habitat optimizes at 55 cfs (Figure 18). Fall chinook salmon spawning utilizing all transects peaks at 80 cfs while spring chinook salmon spawning peaks in the 40 to 45 cfs range. Both spawning indices decrease slowly at flows above the optimum.

Sacramento squawfish juvenile habitat reaches a maximum value at 18 cfs and slowly decreases with any increase in flow modeled. Adult habitat is bimodal with the smaller of the two peaks at 30 cfs and with the greater at between 90 and 100 cfs (Figure 19).

#### 7) South Fork Battle Study Reach, South Diversion Subreach

Rainbow trout fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge. Juvenile WUA maximizes in the 10 cfs to 20 cfs range; thereafter, WUA falls off only slightly (Figure 20). Adult rainbow trout habitat peaks at 30 and thereafter remains almost flat. Rainbow trout spawning habitat versus flow relationship maximizes at 75 cfs.

Steelhead fry WUA peaks at a flow of 15 cfs while juvenile habitat maximizes at 30 cfs and steelhead spawning at 105 cfs (Figure 20).

Fall/spring chinook salmon fry WUA tends to decrease with an increase in discharge above 6 cfs, while juvenile habitat optimizes at 45 cfs (Figure 21). Fall chinook salmon spawning utilizing all transects peaks at 75 cfs while spring chinook salmon spawning peaks at 65 cfs.

## Literature Cited

- Bovee, K.D. 1978. Probability-of-use criteria for the family Salmonidae. Instream Flow Information Paper 4. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Report FWS/OBS-78-07. 79pp.
- Bovee, K.D. 1982. A guide to stream habitat analysis using the Instream Flow Incremental Methodology. Instream Flow Information Paper 12. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Report FWS/OBS-82/26. 248pp.
- Bovee, K.D. 1986. Development and evaluation of habitat suitability criteria for use in the Instream Flow Incremental Methodology. Instream Flow Information Paper 21. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 86(7). 235pp.
- Bovee, K.D., and R.T. Milhous. 1978. Hydraulic simulation in instream flow studies: theory and techniques. Instream Flow Information Paper 5. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Report FWS/OBS-78/33. 129pp.
- Edwards, E.A., G. Gebhart, and O.E. Maughan. 1983. Habitat suitability information: smallmouth bass. United States Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-82/10.36 47pp.
- Kondolf, G.M., and Katzel, M. 1995. Spawning gravel resources of Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties. Specified Fisheries Investigations on Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties, California. Document prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game.
- Milhous, R.T., and D.M. Schneider. 1985. Unpublished memorandum. Dated 4 October 1985. Cooperative Instream Flow Group, Ft. Collins, Colorado. 3pp.
- Milhous, R.T., D.L. Wegner, and T. Waddle. 1984. User's guide to the Physical Habitat Simulation System (PHABSIM). Instream Flow Information Paper 11. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Report FWS/OBS-81/43. v.p.
- Milhous, R.T., M.A. Updike, and D.M. Schneider. 1989. Physical Habitat Simulation System Reference Manual - Version II. Instream Flow Information Paper No. 26. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 89(16). v.p.
- Morhardt, J.E., D.F. Hanson, and P.J. Coulston. 1984. Instream flow analysis: increased accuracy using habitat mapping. Ecological Analysts, Inc., Lafayette, California. 8pp.
- Moyle, P.B., and D.M. Baltz. 1985. Microhabitat use by an assemblage of California stream fishes: developing criteria for instream flow determinations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114:695-704.



- Payne, T.R. 1988. A comparison of weighted usable area calculations using four variations of the IFG4 hydraulic model. Paper presented at AFS Bioengineering Symposium, October 24-27, 1988, Portland, Oregon.
- Payne, T.R. 1992. Stratified random selection process for the placement of Physical Habitat Simulation (PHABSIM) transects. Paper presented at AFS Western Division Meeting, July 13-16, 1992, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Rantz, S.E. 1982. Measurement and computation of streamflow: Volume 1. Measurements of stage and discharge. United States Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2175. 284pp.
- Smith, G.E. and M.E. Aceituno. 1987. Habitat preference criteria for brown, brook, and rainbow trout in Eastern Sierra Nevada streams, final report. California Department of Fish and Game Stream Evaluation Report 87-2. Sacramento, California. 103pp.
- Thomas R. Payne & Associates. 1986. Instream flow assessment for North Fork Battle Creek, North Fork Battle Creek Hydroelectric Project, Tehama County, California. Document prepared for Baccarat Battle Creek by Thomas R. Payne & Associates, Arcata, California.
- Thomas R. Payne & Associates. 1995a. Habitat suitability criteria for Chinook salmon and rainbow trout in Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties. Specified Fisheries Investigations on Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties, California. Document prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game.
- Thomas R. Payne & Associates. 1995b. Anadromous fish migration barrier survey of Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties. Specified Fisheries Investigations on Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties, California. Document prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game.
- Thomas R. Payne & Associates. 1995c. Species abundance report for Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties. Specified Fisheries Investigations on Battle Creek, Shasta and Tehama Counties, California. Document prepared for the California Department of Fish and Game.
- Trihey, E.W., and D.L. Wegner. 1981. Field data collection for use with the Physical Habitat Simulation system of the Instream Flow Group. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Report. 151pp.
- Vogel, D.A. 1982. Preferred spawning velocities, depths, and substrates for fall chinook salmon in Battle Creek, California. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Red Bluff Fisheries Assistance Office Unpublished MS. 8pp.

Table 1. Study sites within each study reach, the number of transects within each study site, the levels of discharge at which data was gathered, and the type of data gathered.

		Q measured	data type
Mainstem Battle Creek			
Lower site	11 transects	228 cfs	Full D&V
		50 cfs	Full D&V
		28 cfs	Full D&V
Middle site	9 transects	227 cfs	Full D&V
		48 cfs	Full D&V
		27 cfs	Full D&V
Upper site	9 transects	217 cfs	Full D&V
		45 cfs	Full D&V
		25 cfs	Full D&V
Spawning	3 transects	180 cfs	Full D&V
		62 cfs	Full D&V
		30 cfs	Full D&V
North Fork Battle Creek - Eagle Reach - (Confluence to Digger Creek)			
Lower site	9 transects	90 cfs	Full D&V
		28 cfs	Full D&V
		13 cfs	Full D&V
		12 cfs	Stage/Q
Middle site	9 transects	127 cfs	Full D&V
		28 cfs	Full D&V
		10 cfs	Full D&V
		9 cfs	Stage/Q
Upper site	10 transects	108 cfs	Full D&V
		40 cfs	Full D&V
		8 cfs	Full D&V
Spawning	2 transects	80 cfs	Full D&V
		18 cfs	Full D&V
		12 cfs	Full D&V

Table 1. Study sites within each study reach, the number of transects within each study site, the levels of discharge at which data was gathered, and the type of data gathered. (continued)

		Q measured	data type
North Fork Battle Creek - Digger Reach - (Digger Creek to Bailey Creek)			
Lower site	7 transects	85 cfs	Full D&V
		44 cfs	Full D&V
		7 cfs	Full D&V
Middle site	7 transects	80 cfs	Full D&V
		40 cfs	Full D&V
		6 cfs	Full D&V
Upper site	6 transects	80 cfs	Full D&V
		38 cfs	Full D&V
		6 cfs	Full D&V
Prior study	14 transects	128 cfs	Full D&V
		48 cfs	Full D&V
		7 cfs	Full D&V
North Fork Battle Creek - Bailey Reach - (Bailey Creek to Al Smith Diversion)			
Lower site	7 transects	47 cfs	Full D&V
		21 cfs	Full D&V
		8 cfs	Full D&V
Middle site	7 transects	47 cfs	Full D&V
		21 cfs	Full D&V
		8 cfs	Full D&V
Upper site	8 transects	41 cfs	Full D&V
		12 cfs	Full D&V
		4 cfs	Full D&V

Table 1. Study sites within each study reach, the number of transects within each study site, the levels of discharge at which data was gathered, and the type of data gathered.  
(continued)

		Q measured	data type
<b>South Fork Battle Creek</b>			
Site 2	3 transects	164 cfs	Stage/Q
		102 cfs	Full D&V
		50 cfs	Full D&V
		10 cfs	Full D&V
Site 4	8 transects	140 cfs	Full D&V
		31 cfs	Full D&V
		10 cfs	Full D&V
Site 6	6 transects	130 cfs	Full D&V
		32 cfs	Full D&V
		8 cfs	Full D&V
Site 8	6 transects	98 cfs	Full D&V
		43 cfs	Full D&V
		22 cfs	Full D&V
Site 10	5 transects	83 cfs	Full D&V
		25 cfs	Full D&V
		8 cfs	Full D&V
Spawning	3 transects	164 cfs	Full D&V
		27 cfs	Full D&V
		10 cfs	Full D&V

# Appendix A. Fish criteria curves.

FISH CRITERIA CURVES - SITE SPECIFIC AND BOOK CURVES - BATTLE CREEK - CALIFORNIA													
H 10000 26 19 2 0 RAINBOW TROUT													
FRY												1/91	
V	10000	.00	1.00	.03	1.00	.08	.80	.13	.62	.17	.48	.22	.37
V	10000	.28	.28	.32	.21	.38	.16	.43	.12	.47	.09	.52	.07
V	10000	.57	.06	.63	.05	.68	.05	.73	.05	.77	.05	.82	.05
V	10000	.88	.05	.93	.04	.98	.04	1.13	.02	1.33	.02	1.48	.02
V	10000	1.52	.00	100.00	.00								
D	10000	.00	.00	.05	.00	.15	.50	.25	.82	.35	.97	.45	1.00
D	10000	.55	.94	.65	.82	.75	.68	.85	.52	.95	.39	1.05	.27
D	10000	1.25	.17	1.45	.12	1.85	.06	2.25	.04	3.75	.04	3.85	.00
D	10000	100.00	.00										
S 10000 1.00 .23 2.00 1.00													
H 10001 31 57 2 0 RAINBOW TROUT													
JUVENILE												1/91	
V	10001	.00	.03	.05	.28	.15	.65	.25	.87	.35	.98	.45	1.00
V	10001	.55	.96	.65	.89	.75	.79	.85	.69	.95	.58	1.05	.48
V	10001	1.15	.39	1.25	.31	1.35	.25	1.45	.20	1.55	.16	1.65	.13
V	10001	1.75	.11	1.85	.10	1.95	.09	2.05	.08	2.15	.07	2.25	.07
V	10001	2.35	.06	2.45	.05	2.55	.04	2.65	.03	3.75	.03	3.85	.00
V	10001	100.00	.00										
D	10001	.00	.00	.15	.00	.25	.01	.35	.25	.45	.45	.55	.61
D	10001	.65	.74	.75	.84	.85	.91	.95	.96	1.05	.99	1.15	1.00
D	10001	1.25	1.00	1.35	.98	1.45	.96	1.55	.93	1.65	.89	1.75	.85
D	10001	1.85	.80	1.95	.75	2.05	.70	2.15	.65	2.25	.60	2.35	.56
D	10001	2.45	.51	2.55	.47	2.65	.43	2.75	.39	2.85	.36	2.95	.33
D	10001	3.05	.30	3.15	.28	3.25	.26	3.35	.24	3.45	.23	3.55	.22
D	10001	3.65	.20	3.75	.19	3.85	.19	3.95	.18	4.05	.17	4.15	.16
D	10001	4.25	.16	4.35	.15	4.45	.14	4.55	.13	4.65	.12	4.75	.11
D	10001	4.85	.10	4.95	.08	5.05	.07	5.15	.05	5.45	.03	6.00	.03
D	10001	6.35	.03	6.45	.00	100.00	.00						
S 10001 1.00 .12 2.00 1.00													
H 10002 22 50 2 0 RAINBOW TROUT													
ADULT												1/91	
V	10002	.00	.54	.05	.65	.15	.82	.25	.93	.35	.99	.45	1.00
V	10002	.55	.98	.65	.93	.75	.86	.85	.78	.95	.68	1.05	.58
V	10002	1.15	.49	1.25	.39	1.35	.30	1.45	.22	1.55	.15	1.65	.09
V	10002	1.85	.06	3.05	.06	3.15	.00	100.00	.00				
D	10002	.00	.00	.69	.00	.75	.07	.85	.18	.95	.29	1.05	.38
D	10002	1.15	.47	1.25	.56	1.35	.63	1.45	.69	1.55	.75	1.65	.81
D	10002	1.75	.85	1.85	.89	1.95	.92	2.05	.95	2.15	.97	2.25	.98
D	10002	2.35	.99	2.45	1.00	2.55	1.00	2.65	1.00	2.75	.99	2.85	.98
D	10002	2.95	.96	3.05	.94	3.15	.92	3.25	.89	3.35	.87	3.45	.83
D	10002	3.55	.80	3.65	.77	3.75	.73	3.85	.69	3.95	.66	4.05	.62
D	10002	4.15	.58	4.25	.54	4.35	.50	4.45	.46	4.55	.41	4.65	.38
D	10002	4.75	.34	4.85	.30	4.95	.26	5.05	.23	5.35	.19	6.55	.19
D	10002	6.65	.00	100.00	.00								
S 10002 1.00 .06 2.00 1.00													
H 10010 18 22 10 00 RAINBOW TROUT													
SPAWNING												78/01/18	
V	10010	0.00	0.00	.50	0.00	.60	.06	.80	.42	1.10	.65	1.20	.77
V	10010	1.30	.95	1.40	.98	1.50	1.00	1.90	1.00	2.00	.98	2.10	.95
V	10010	2.30	.68	2.50	.59	2.80	.39	3.10	.07	3.20	0.00	100.00	0.00

Appendix A. Fish criteria curves. (continued)

D	10010	0.00	0.00	.30	0.00	.45	.31	.50	.50	.55	.80	.60	.95
D	10010	.65	.98	.70	1.00	.85	1.00	.90	.98	.95	.96	1.05	.87
D	10010	1.40	.49	1.50	.39	1.60	.31	1.75	.24	1.90	.17	2.05	.12
D	10010	2.25	.07	2.50	.03	3.20	0.00	100.00	0.00				
S	10010	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	4.30	.54	4.50	.76	5.00	1.00	5.30	.82
S	10010	5.50	.50	5.60	.30	6.00	0.00	100.00	0.00				
H	10101	18	21	21	00	WINTER STEELHEAD				FRY		78/01/18	
V	10101	0.00	.05	.10	.12	.20	.30	.30	.90	.50	1.00	.60	1.00
V	10101	.70	.96	.80	.82	.90	.76	1.00	.72	1.20	.64	1.75	.42
V	10101	1.90	.34	2.10	.20	2.20	.14	2.50	.04	2.70	0.00	100.00	0.00
D	10101	0.00	0.00	.10	.10	.20	.80	.30	.96	.40	.98	.60	1.00
D	10101	.70	1.00	1.00	.96	1.10	.80	1.20	.64	1.30	.56	1.40	.46
D	10101	1.50	.42	1.70	.30	1.90	.20	2.00	.16	2.20	.10	2.40	.06
D	10101	2.70	.02	6.00	.02	100.00	0.00						
S	10101	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	4.00	0.03	4.50	0.10	4.75	0.16	4.90	0.24
S	10101	5.00	0.30	5.10	0.38	5.25	0.60	5.40	0.88	5.50	0.97	5.60	1.00
S	10101	6.20	1.00	6.40	0.98	6.60	0.82	6.80	0.46	7.00	0.30	7.10	0.24
S	10101	7.40	0.12	8.00	0.00	100.00	0.00						
H	10102	17	16	24	00	WINTER STEELHEAD				JUVENILE		78/01/18	
V	10102	0.00	0.00	.10	0.00	.20	.20	.30	.90	.50	.98	.70	1.00
V	10102	1.10	1.00	1.40	.98	1.50	.96	1.70	.84	2.00	.64	2.30	.42
V	10102	2.50	.16	3.00	.04	3.50	.02	4.30	0.00	100.00	0.00		
D	10102	0.00	0.00	.10	.06	.30	.20	.60	.66	1.00	.96	1.25	1.00
D	10102	1.50	.88	2.00	.64	2.20	.58	2.50	.48	3.00	.34	3.50	.24
D	10102	4.00	.14	4.50	.08	5.00	0.00	100.00	0.00				
S	10102	0.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	4.50	0.27	4.75	0.34	4.85	0.38	5.00	0.44
S	10102	5.20	0.50	5.30	0.54	5.50	0.62	5.70	0.74	5.90	0.90	6.00	0.96
S	10102	6.10	1.00	6.80	1.00	6.90	0.98	6.95	0.96	7.00	0.90	7.20	0.58
S	10102	7.30	0.44	7.50	0.28	7.70	0.14	7.90	0.03	8.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
H	10103	18	19	22	00	WINTER STEELHEAD				SPAWNING		78/01/18	
V	10103	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.15	.08	1.40	.40	1.50	.60	1.60	.74
V	10103	1.65	.80	1.85	.96	2.00	1.00	2.20	.96	2.30	.90	2.50	.76
V	10103	2.70	.64	3.10	.32	3.25	.22	3.40	.14	3.70	0.00	100.00	0.00
D	10103	0.00	0.00	.40	0.00	.50	.20	.70	.40	.90	.62	1.00	.92
D	10103	1.15	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.40	.88	1.50	.76	1.75	.52	1.90	.40
D	10103	2.20	.22	2.25	.20	2.60	.08	2.80	.04	3.00	.02	3.50	0.00
D	10103	100.00	0.00										
S	10103	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	4.30	0.40	4.60	0.60	4.80	0.72	5.05	0.84
S	10103	5.15	0.90	5.25	0.94	5.35	0.97	5.50	1.00	5.60	1.00	5.70	0.97
S	10103	5.75	0.92	5.90	0.46	5.95	0.32	6.00	0.26	6.10	0.18	6.20	0.12
S	10103	6.30	0.07	6.40	0.04	6.50	0.00	100.00	0.00				
H	20000	30	33	2	0	FALL/SPRING CHINOOK				FRY		1/91	
V	20000	.00	.61	.02	.68	.07	.81	.12	.90	.17	.96	.22	.99
V	20000	.27	1.00	.32	.98	.37	.95	.42	.91	.47	.85	.52	.78
V	20000	.57	.71	.62	.64	.67	.56	.72	.49	.77	.42	.82	.35
V	20000	.87	.29	.92	.23	.97	.18	1.02	.14	1.07	.11	1.12	.08
V	20000	1.17	.06	1.22	.05	1.27	.05	1.82	.05	1.87	.00	100.00	.00
D	20000	.00	.00	.05	.10	.15	.29	.25	.45	.35	.59	.45	.71
D	20000	.55	.80	.65	.88	.75	.93	.85	.97	.95	.99	1.05	1.00

Appendix A. Fish criteria curves. (continued)

D	20000	1.15	.99	1.25	.98	1.35	.95	1.45	.91	1.55	.86	1.65	.81
D	20000	1.75	.75	1.85	.68	1.95	.61	2.05	.54	2.15	.47	2.25	.40
D	20000	2.35	.33	2.45	.27	2.55	.20	2.65	.15	2.75	.10	2.85	.06
D	20000	3.45	.06	3.55	.00	100.00	.00						
S	20000	1.00	.15	2.00	1.00								
H	20001	34 36	2 0	FALL/SPRING CHINOOK						JUVENILE 1/91			
V	20001	.00	.00	.14	.00	.15	.03	.25	.25	.35	.44	.45	.60
V	20001	.55	.73	.65	.83	.75	.90	.85	.95	.95	.99	1.05	1.00
V	20001	1.15	1.00	1.25	.98	1.35	.94	1.45	.89	1.55	.84	1.65	.77
V	20001	1.75	.70	1.85	.63	1.95	.55	2.05	.47	2.15	.39	2.25	.32
V	20001	2.35	.25	2.45	.19	2.55	.13	2.65	.09	2.75	.05	2.85	.03
V	20001	2.95	.03	3.05	.03	3.15	.00	100.00	.00				
D	20001	.00	.00	.51	.00	.55	.10	.65	.32	.75	.51	.85	.66
D	20001	.95	.78	1.05	.87	1.15	.93	1.25	.98	1.35	1.00	1.45	1.00
D	20001	1.55	.99	1.65	.96	1.75	.93	1.85	.88	1.95	.82	2.05	.76
D	20001	2.15	.70	2.25	.63	2.35	.57	2.45	.50	2.55	.43	2.65	.37
D	20001	2.75	.31	2.85	.25	3.05	.19	3.25	.17	4.95	.17	5.05	.17
D	20001	5.15	.16	5.25	.14	5.35	.11	5.45	.06	5.55	.00	100.00	.00
S	20001	1.00	.20	2.00	1.00								
H	20010	13 16	33 0	CHINOOK						FALL SPWNG 6/89			
V	20010	.00	.00	.70	.00	.75	.03	.95	.22	1.15	.75	1.31	1.00
V	20010	1.58	1.00	1.95	.73	2.45	.48	2.75	.25	3.65	.04	4.30	.00
V	20010	100.00	.00										
D	20010	.00	.00	.31	.00	.45	.07	.75	.52	.84	1.00	.99	1.00
D	20010	1.15	.85	1.35	.65	1.55	.50	1.75	.33	1.94	.20	2.15	.13
D	20010	2.75	.05	4.00	.02	5.00	.00	100.00	.00				
S	20010	.00	.00	4.50	.00	4.60	.05	4.65	.08	4.70	.11	4.75	.15
S	20010	4.80	.20	4.85	.27	4.90	.34	4.95	.45	5.00	.66	5.05	.75
S	20010	5.10	.81	5.15	.86	5.20	.89	5.25	.92	5.30	.94	5.40	.98
S	20010	5.45	1.00	5.50	1.00	5.55	.88	5.60	.74	5.65	.61	5.70	.51
S	20010	5.75	.42	5.80	.35	5.90	.24	6.00	.16	6.10	.11	6.20	.08
S	20010	6.30	.05	6.50	0.00	100.00	.00						
H	20011	29 25	33 0	CHINOOK						SPR SPWNG 78/01/13			
V	20011	.00	.00	.30	.00	.40	.06	.55	.18	.60	.24	.65	.35
V	20011	.75	.53	.85	.85	.90	.95	.95	.98	1.05	1.00	1.70	1.00
V	20011	1.75	.99	1.80	.98	1.85	.95	1.90	.77	1.95	.60	2.00	.49
V	20011	2.10	.36	2.15	.31	2.25	.24	2.35	.19	2.45	.14	2.55	.11
V	20011	2.75	.06	2.90	.04	3.10	.03	4.00	.00	100.00	.00		
D	20011	.00	.00	.20	.00	.25	.10	.30	.16	.35	.21	.45	.26
D	20011	.50	.31	.55	.50	.60	.62	.80	.82	.90	1.00	1.00	1.00
D	20011	1.05	.76	1.15	.54	1.25	.43	1.35	.34	1.50	.24	1.65	.18
D	20011	1.75	.15	1.95	.12	2.05	.09	2.15	.07	2.25	.04	2.35	.00
D	20011	100.00	.00										
S	20011	.00	.00	4.50	.00	4.60	.05	4.65	.08	4.70	.11	4.75	.15
S	20011	4.80	.20	4.85	.27	4.90	.34	4.95	.45	5.00	.66	5.05	.75
S	20011	5.10	.81	5.15	.86	5.20	.89	5.25	.92	5.30	.94	5.40	.98
S	20011	5.45	1.00	5.50	1.00	5.55	.88	5.60	.74	5.65	.61	5.70	.51
S	20011	5.75	.42	5.80	.35	5.90	.24	6.00	.16	6.10	.11	6.20	.08
S	20011	6.30	.05	6.50	.00	100.00	.00						

Appendix A. Fish criteria curves. (continued)

H	20100	7	15	18	0	SMALLMOUTH BASS					FRY		83/03/01	
V	20100	.00	.85	.10	1.00	.20	.65	.30	.20	.50	.10	.60	.00	
V	20100	100.00	.00											
D	20100	.00	.00	.10	.00	.60	.48	1.10	.78	3.10	.98	3.60	1.00	
D	20100	4.10	.49	4.60	.29	6.10	.26	6.60	.10	9.10	.10	9.60	.06	
D	20100	10.10	.05	11.60	.00	100.00	.00							
S	20100	.00	.00	2.00	.00	2.70	.04	3.10	.09	3.60	.19	4.10	.34	
S	20100	4.50	.56	4.95	.74	5.10	.98	5.30	1.00	5.70	1.00	5.93	.76	
S	20100	6.04	.71	6.40	.42	6.70	.12	7.00	.00	10.00	.00	100.00	.00	
H	20101	8	7	8	0	SMALLMOUTH BASS					JUVENILES		83/03/01	
V	20101	.00	1.00	.38	.53	.79	.27	1.00	.21	1.57	.10	1.91	.08	
V	20101	2.47	.00	100.00	.00									
D	20101	.00	.00	.59	.20	1.09	.50	1.56	.70	2.00	.90	2.43	1.00	
D	20101	100.00	1.00											
S	20101	.00	.00	4.00	.00	5.00	.20	5.50	.50	6.00	1.00	7.00	1.00	
S	20101	8.00	.00	100.00	.00									
H	20102	7	9	8	0	SMALLMOUTH BASS					ADULT		83/03/01	
V	20102	.00	1.00	.38	.60	.59	.20	.76	.12	1.00	.08	2.00	.00	
V	20102	100.00	.00											
D	20102	.00	.00	.82	.00	1.17	.05	2.00	.10	2.70	.40	3.18	.70	
D	20102	3.59	.90	4.00	1.00	100.00	1.00							
S	20102	.00	.00	4.00	.00	5.00	.20	5.50	.50	6.00	1.00	7.00	1.00	
S	20102	8.00	.00	100.00	.00									
H	20103	13	23	25	0	SMALLMOUTH BASS					SPAWNING		83/03/01	
V	20103	.00	1.00	1.11	1.00	1.19	.98	1.24	.92	1.37	.68	1.55	.43	
V	20103	1.75	.28	1.96	.16	2.14	.09	2.34	.04	2.53	.02	2.75	.00	
V	20103	100.00	.00											
D	20103	.00	.00	.22	.00	.50	.02	.74	.05	1.10	.12	1.32	.22	
D	20103	1.53	.34	1.70	.54	1.90	.90	2.05	.97	2.18	.99	2.40	1.00	
D	20103	4.72	1.00	5.00	.95	5.22	.80	5.44	.60	5.83	.40	6.00	.30	
D	20103	6.39	.20	6.72	.12	7.22	.06	8.00	.00	100.00	.00			
S	20103	.00	.00	2.91	.00	3.27	.02	3.50	.06	3.74	.12	3.90	.18	
S	20103	4.10	.27	4.28	.42	4.50	.92	4.58	.96	4.70	.98	4.98	1.00	
S	20103	5.31	.99	5.50	.97	5.62	.92	5.77	.83	5.89	.64	6.00	.50	
S	20103	6.05	.34	6.20	.22	6.39	.13	6.66	.05	6.91	.01	7.00	.00	
S	20103	10.00	.00											
H	70001	18	36	30	0	SACRAMENTO SQUAWFISH					JUVENILE		1985	
V	70001	.00	1.00	.15	1.00	.16	.85	.48	.85	.49	.65	.81	.65	
V	70001	.82	.52	1.14	.52	1.15	.24	1.47	.24	1.48	.17	1.79	.17	
V	70001	1.80	.11	2.12	.11	2.13	.04	2.45	.04	2.46	.00	100.00	.00	
D	70001	.00	.00	.15	.00	.16	.60	.48	.60	.49	1.00	.81	1.00	
D	70001	.82	.90	1.14	.90	1.15	.90	1.47	.90	1.48	.50	1.79	.50	
D	70001	1.80	1.00	2.12	1.00	2.13	.90	2.45	.90	2.46	.75	2.78	.75	
D	70001	2.79	.45	3.11	.45	3.12	.15	3.44	.15	3.45	.35	3.76	.35	
D	70001	3.77	.00	4.09	.00	4.10	.00	4.42	.00	4.43	.10	4.75	.10	
D	70001	4.76	.00	6.39	.00	6.40	.05	6.72	.05	6.73	.00	100.00	.00	
S	70001	.00	.00	1.74	.00	1.75	.02	2.24	.02	2.25	.00	2.74	.00	
S	70001	2.75	.17	3.24	.17	3.25	.07	3.74	.07	3.75	.23	4.24	.23	
S	70001	4.25	.02	4.74	.02	4.75	.04	5.24	.04	5.25	.23	5.74	.23	
S	70001	5.75	1.00	6.24	1.00	6.25	.67	6.74	.67	6.75	.37	7.24	.37	



Appendix A. Fish criteria curves. (continued)

S	70001	7.25	.02	7.74	.02	7.75	.13	8.24	.13	8.25	.00100.00	.00
H	70002	28	40	26	0	SACRAMENTO SQUAWFISH				ADULT	1985	
V	70002	.00	.28	.15	.28	.16	.67	.48	.67	.49	1.00	.81 1.00
V	70002	.82	.56	1.14	.56	1.15	.75	1.47	.75	1.48	.28	1.79 .28
V	70002	1.80	.40	2.12	.40	2.13	.14	2.45	.14	2.46	.14	2.78 .14
V	70002	2.79	.14	3.11	.14	3.12	.05	3.43	.05	3.44	.00	4.42 .00
V	70002	4.43	.05	4.75	.05	4.76	.00100.00	.00				
D	70002	.00	.00	.81	.00	.82	.10	1.14	.10	1.15	.20	1.47 .20
D	70002	1.48	.10	1.79	.10	1.80	.10	2.12	.10	2.13	.10	2.45 .10
D	70002	2.46	.53	2.78	.53	2.79	.28	3.11	.28	3.12	.37	3.43 .37
D	70002	3.44	.20	3.76	.20	3.77	.53	4.09	.53	4.10	.43	4.42 .43
D	70002	4.43	1.00	4.75	1.00	4.76	.10	5.08	.10	5.09	.20	5.40 .20
D	70002	5.41	.00	5.73	.00	5.74	.00	6.09	.00	6.10	.20	6.39 .20
D	70002	6.40	.10	6.72	.10	6.73	.00100.00	.00				
S	70002	.00	.00	2.74	.00	2.75	.07	3.24	.07	3.25	.00	3.74 .00
S	70002	3.75	.13	4.24	.13	4.25	.07	4.74	.07	4.75	.07	5.24 .07
S	70002	5.25	.00	5.74	.00	5.75	.67	6.24	.67	6.25	1.00	6.74 1.00
S	70002	6.75	.76	7.24	.76	7.25	.00	7.74	.00	7.75	.13	8.24 .13
S	70002	8.25	.00100.00	.00								

Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect.

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
<b>Lower Mainstem Battle Creek Study Site</b>			
1	Run/Glide	Q = 31.0161(STAGE-94.13) 2.4423	0.81
2	Riffle	Q = 13.4358(STAGE-94.13) 2.7684	0.59
3	Riffle	Q = 59.9123(STAGE-92.20) 2.6442	4.28
4	Run/Glide	Q = 61.9422(STAGE-92.58) 2.5762	0.22
5	Shallow Pool	Q = 51.9357(STAGE-92.58) 2.4008	0.43
6	Deep Pool	Q = 51.4727(STAGE-95.76) 2.4084	0.59
7	Pocket Water	Q = 38.3655(STAGE-95.76) 2.4487	1.11
8	Pocket Water	Q = 17.1089(STAGE-96.00) 2.7944	0.73
9	Run/Glide	Q = 34.9719(STAGE-96.85) 2.3443	0.97
10	Shallow Pool	Q = 34.2056(STAGE-94.28) 2.1729	1.37
11	Deep Pool	Q = 31.6120(STAGE-94.28) 2.1967	0.02
<b>Middle Mainstem Battle Creek Study Site</b>			
12	Run/Glide	Q = 9.5259(STAGE-94.94) 3.2911	3.72
13	Shallow Pool	Q = 8.8494(STAGE-94.94) 3.2478	5.86
14	Deep Pool	Q = 8.8136(STAGE-94.94) 3.1913	4.02
15	Pocket Water	Q = 15.0528(STAGE-90.84) 3.2311	2.65
16	Deep Pool	Q = 8.9844(STAGE-96.80) 3.4438	2.97
17	Shallow Pool	Q = 9.4370(STAGE-96.80) 3.3870	1.44
18	Run/Glide	Q = 9.2798(STAGE-96.80) 3.4320	1.18
19	Riffle	Q = 20.6112(STAGE-96.70) 2.9330	1.64
20	Pocket Water	Q = 20.2628(STAGE-95.74) 2.8260	2.90
<b>Upper Mainstem Battle Creek Study Site</b>			
21	Run/Glide	Q = 24.4578(STAGE-96.72) 2.8242	1.67
22	Shallow Pool	Q = 22.9487(STAGE-96.72) 2.8279	0.46
23	Deep Pool	Q = 21.4132(STAGE-96.72) 2.8699	0.49
24	Shallow Pool	Q = 18.4217(STAGE-96.46) 2.6144	1.88
25	Run/Glide	Q = 17.2222(STAGE-96.46) 2.6605	0.29
26	Deep Pool	Q = 18.4503(STAGE-96.46) 2.4301	1.06
27	Pocket Water	Q = 20.6096(STAGE-94.80) 2.9590	3.06
28	Pocket Water	Q = 16.6959(STAGE-93.17) 2.5848	1.77
29	Riffle	Q = 14.1519(STAGE-93.90) 2.2825	1.55

Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect. (continued)

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------

**Spawning Mainstem Battle Creek Study Site**

1	Pocket Water	Q = 31.5582 (STAGE-97.20) 2.8868	3.49
2	Riffle	Q = 23.5630 (STAGE-96.50) 2.4964	0.27
3	Riffle	Q = 15.5464 (STAGE-96.10) 2.7873	0.94

**North Fork Battle Creek - Lower Eagle Study Site**  
(Confluence to Digger Creek)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 0.6668 (STAGE-95.77) 4.1861	2.87
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 2.2176 (STAGE-96.19) 3.3067	1.96
3	Deep Pool	Q = 2.0208 (STAGE-96.19) 3.3421	1.43
4	Run/Glide	Q = 2.4818 (STAGE-96.19) 2.9567	1.34
5	Shallow Pool	Q = 2.3881 (STAGE-96.19) 2.9637	1.31
6	Pocket Water	Q = 5.9802 (STAGE-96.70) 2.5743	0.55
7	Deep Pool	Q = 1.0788 (STAGE-93.06) 3.2140	3.31
8	Pocket Water	Q = 7.0695 (STAGE-97.19) 2.9147	4.57
9	Riffle	Q = 9.8415 (STAGE-94.80) 2.9534	2.09

**North Fork Battle Creek - Spawning Eagle Study Site**  
(Confluence to Digger Creek)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 5.7551 (STAGE-98.63) 2.4828	2.38
2	Riffle	Q = 12.7851 (STAGE-96.91) 2.3783	0.82

**North Fork Battle Creek - Middle Eagle Study Site**  
(Confluence to Digger Creek)

0	Deep Pool	Q = 6.1034 (STAGE-96.51) 2.8153	1.07
1	Pocket Water	Q = 11.5459 (STAGE-96.69) 2.2809	3.97
2	Riffle	Q = 19.5090 (STAGE-97.40) 2.0162	1.16
3	Run/Glide	Q = 18.6030 (STAGE-97.40) 2.0700	0.28
4	Shallow Pool	Q = 18.1932 (STAGE-97.40) 2.0858	0.41
5	Deep Pool	Q = 18.0588 (STAGE-97.40) 2.0813	0.22
6	Pocket Water	Q = 18.3829 (STAGE-97.50) 2.1114	0.66
7	Run/Glide	Q = 19.3328 (STAGE-95.72) 2.3906	0.14
8	Shallow Pool	Q = 11.3201 (STAGE-95.82) 2.6702	0.86

Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect. (continued)

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------

**North Fork Battle Creek - Upper Eagle Study Site**  
(Confluence to Digger Creek)

0 Deep Pool	Q =	5.4979 (STAGE-97.15)	2.9183	6.14
1 Riffle	Q =	38.3027 (STAGE-98.60)	2.6782	3.92
2 Riffle	Q =	36.8846 (STAGE-98.70)	2.5470	2.84
3 Shallow Pool	Q =	19.6072 (STAGE-94.53)	1.9370	3.01
4 Pocket Water	Q =	5.2362 (STAGE-94.96)	3.2660	2.60
5 Run/Glide	Q =	17.7200 (STAGE-96.96)	2.3600	0.08
6 Pocket Water	Q =	30.6386 (STAGE-97.70)	2.3957	1.46
7 Run/Glide	Q =	29.1987 (STAGE-98.11)	2.1096	1.24
8 Shallow Pool	Q =	26.4458 (STAGE-98.11)	2.1744	2.61
9 Deep Pool	Q =	25.4655 (STAGE-98.11)	2.2262	0.74

**North Fork Battle Creek - Lower Digger Study Site**  
(Digger Creek to Bailey Creek)

1 Pocket Water	Q =	4.9864 (STAGE-94.74)	2.7864	0.53
2 Deep Pool	Q =	6.5339 (STAGE-95.65)	3.7258	1.60
3 Riffle	Q =	5.6460 (STAGE-96.28)	3.0929	2.10
4 Run/Glide	Q =	16.8940 (STAGE-94.47)	2.3911	1.73
5 Shallow Pool	Q =	17.0927 (STAGE-94.47)	2.4174	1.08
6 Deep Pool	Q =	16.1893 (STAGE-94.47)	2.4136	2.63
7 Deep Pool	Q =	8.2304 (STAGE-95.23)	2.4393	2.46

**North Fork Battle Creek - Middle Digger Study Site**  
(Digger Creek to Bailey Creek)

1 Deep Pool	Q =	8.2303 (STAGE-96.66)	3.3747	2.65
2 Shallow Pool	Q =	8.5491 (STAGE-96.66)	3.4178	1.71
3 Run/Glide	Q =	8.7270 (STAGE-96.66)	3.4985	3.98
4 Riffle	Q =	10.9152 (STAGE-96.66)	3.7432	0.76
5 Pocket Water	Q =	5.9406 (STAGE-96.33)	3.2298	1.94
6 Deep Pool	Q =	28.9268 (STAGE-92.62)	2.3132	4.27
7 Pocket Water	Q =	18.5357 (STAGE-94.43)	2.8118	2.26

**Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect. (continued)**

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------

**North Fork Battle Creek - Upper Digger Study Site  
(Digger Creek to Bailey Creek)**

1	Deep Pool	Q = 18.2043 (STAGE-97.17)	2.4078	2.17
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 20.6417 (STAGE-97.17)	2.5643	0.53
3	Run/Glide	Q = 22.2394 (STAGE-97.17)	2.5756	3.46
4	Riffle	Q = 16.9396 (STAGE-96.74)	3.2120	3.29
5	Pocket Water	Q = 9.0720 (STAGE-96.20)	3.0057	1.23
6	Pocket Water	Q = 25.4172 (STAGE-97.28)	2.5338	1.57

**North Fork Battle Creek - Prior Digger Study Site  
(Digger Creek to Bailey Creek)**

1	Riffle	Q = 6.2817 (STAGE-93.60)	4.3333	5.76
2	Run/Glide	Q = 8.7263 (STAGE-94.90)	3.3618	3.41
3	Run/Glide	Q = 8.1622 (STAGE-94.90)	3.4928	3.45
4	Shallow Pool	Q = 8.9457 (STAGE-94.90)	3.1863	3.60
5	Shallow Pool	Q = 9.5046 (STAGE-94.90)	2.9945	4.91
6	Riffle	Q = 16.4199 (STAGE-95.30)	2.4456	3.78
7	Shallow Pool	Q = 20.2192 (STAGE-94.21)	2.5224	4.00
8	Pocket Water	Q = 5.4246 (STAGE-95.77)	3.0302	4.98
9	Shallow Pool	Q = 10.9855 (STAGE-93.90)	2.7645	1.07
10	Run/Glide	Q = 23.8073 (STAGE-95.24)	2.3353	0.58
11	Shallow Pool	Q = 24.3719 (STAGE-95.83)	2.4810	1.43
12	Shallow Pool	Q = 22.8586 (STAGE-95.83)	2.5170	1.59
13	Riffle	Q = 26.2412 (STAGE-92.75)	2.5556	2.58
14	Run/Glide	Q = 20.9530 (STAGE-93.53)	3.1546	2.59

**North Fork Battle Creek - Lower Bailey Study Site  
(Bailey Creek to Al Smith Diversion)**

1	Pocket Water	Q = 10.6785 (STAGE-91.22)	3.2798	3.59
2	Run/Glide	Q = 5.9649 (STAGE-92.15)	3.4712	2.09
3	Shallow Pool	Q = 6.1547 (STAGE-92.15)	3.2340	0.04
4	Deep Pool	Q = 6.0507 (STAGE-92.15)	3.1441	0.59
5	Run/Glide	Q = 5.5656 (STAGE-94.50)	3.2392	2.25
6	Pocket Water	Q = 9.0880 (STAGE-94.76)	3.0771	2.74
7	Shallow Pool	Q = 3.6506 (STAGE-95.66)	3.3920	2.29

Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect. (continued)

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------

**North Fork Battle Creek - Middle Bailey Study Site**  
(Bailey Creek to Al Smith Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 7.7093 (STAGE-95.58)	3.3113	0.60
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 7.1525 (STAGE-95.58)	3.4336	0.32
3	Deep Pool	Q = 7.3171 (STAGE-95.58)	3.2592	2.07
4	Pocket Water	Q = 6.1979 (STAGE-99.20)	3.1748	3.25
5	Pocket Water	Q = 12.3131 (STAGE-97.10)	3.4841	0.08
6	Riffle	Q = 4.3345 (STAGE-97.40)	3.5304	0.94
7	Shallow Pool	Q = 4.0527 (STAGE-99.59)	3.5770	1.00

**North Fork Battle Creek - Upper Bailey Study Site**  
(Bailey Creek to Al Smith Diversion)

1	Riffle	Q = 10.9805 (STAGE-93.98)	2.6756	1.04
2	Pocket Water	Q = 8.9798 (STAGE-94.88)	2.6679	0.69
3	Shallow Pool	Q = 5.9568 (STAGE-96.20)	3.0397	3.46
4	Riffle	Q = 9.6990 (STAGE-99.30)	2.1989	2.57
5	Run/Glide	Q = 9.4603 (STAGE-99.34)	2.2573	1.19
6	Shallow Pool	Q = 9.2703 (STAGE-99.34)	2.2486	2.59
7	Deep Pool	Q = 9.1854 (STAGE-99.34)	2.2399	1.89
8	Pocket Water	Q = 9.4955 (STAGE-103.45)	3.1861	1.54

**South Fork Battle Creek - Spawning Study Site**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 27.3271 (STAGE-97.06)	3.0753	0.79
2	Riffle	Q = 42.1555 (STAGE-97.90)	2.8769	1.08
3	Shallow Pool	Q = 26.3515 (STAGE-97.40)	2.5806	2.72

**South Fork Battle Creek - Study Site Number 2**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 5.1135 (STAGE-95.60)	3.2923	1.84
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 5.1678 (STAGE-95.60)	3.2019	2.01
3	Deep Pool	Q = 5.1678 (STAGE-95.60)	3.2019	2.01

Appendix B. Habitat type, stage-discharge relationship and mean error by transect. (continued)

Tran- sect#	Habitat Type	Stage-Discharge Relationship	Mean Error
----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	------------

**South Fork Battle Creek - Study Site Number 4**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 40.6729(STAGE-95.80)	2.1148	3.24
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 32.5065(STAGE-95.80)	2.4608	5.56
3	Deep Pool	Q = 30.7345(STAGE-95.80)	2.3490	5.31
4	Shallow Pool	Q = 14.3240(STAGE-95.78)	2.4796	2.86
5	Deep Pool	Q = 14.1680(STAGE-95.78)	2.4784	4.40
6	Run/Glide	Q = 32.5816(STAGE-96.00)	2.2163	1.73
7	Riffle	Q = 27.9227(STAGE-94.48)	2.4954	4.78
8	Pocket Water	Q = 24.4453(STAGE-92.49)	2.0991	1.03

**South Fork Battle Creek - Study Site Number 6**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 14.2691(STAGE-95.63)	2.7133	2.05
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 13.5996(STAGE-95.63)	2.7100	0.98
3	Deep Pool	Q = 14.1547(STAGE-95.63)	2.5999	0.60
4	Pocket Water	Q = 16.1427(STAGE-95.62)	2.7456	1.00
5	Pocket Water	Q = 17.1566(STAGE-92.79)	2.5250	3.26
6	Riffle	Q = 11.5700(STAGE-94.80)	3.6299	2.90

**South Fork Battle Creek - Study Site Number 8**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 3.3949(STAGE-96.50)	3.6602	1.03
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 3.3245(STAGE-96.50)	3.6956	0.21
3	Deep Pool	Q = 3.3023(STAGE-96.50)	3.6681	0.48
4	Run/Glide	Q = 6.5111(STAGE-95.83)	3.4681	1.53
5	Riffle	Q = 12.2265(STAGE-95.10)	3.9186	0.29
6	Pocket Water	Q = 8.0673(STAGE-95.86)	3.0606	5.40

**South Fork Battle Creek - Study Site Number 10**  
(Confluence to South Diversion)

1	Run/Glide	Q = 13.4074(STAGE-97.27)	4.2505	2.50
2	Shallow Pool	Q = 9.3380(STAGE-97.27)	4.0370	3.68
3	Deep Pool	Q = 9.0588(STAGE-97.27)	4.1021	4.72
4	Riffle	Q = 9.5089(STAGE-95.90)	3.9773	1.27
5	Pocket Water	Q = 15.9970(STAGE-95.04)	2.6458	0.18

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for all Mainstem Study Reaches of Battle Creek.

TOTAL MAINSTEM

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	FALL/SPRING FRY	CHINOOK JUVENILE	FALL SPAW	SPRING SPAW
8	40009.86	4612.27	13056.70	9122.98	266.30	15577.42	4951.72	130.33	614.98
10	40585.35	4289.54	13667.70	9728.00	364.83	15410.91	5858.00	200.10	761.60
12	41091.54	4035.90	14128.02	10253.11	458.80	15181.16	6704.73	275.77	897.95
14	41520.30	3809.16	14464.77	10711.28	565.26	14928.81	7488.45	358.81	1034.54
16	41914.25	3588.96	14722.92	11113.88	680.82	14661.05	8211.50	435.23	1177.36
18	42308.44	3409.46	14899.43	11459.39	778.70	14371.35	8879.50	513.79	1312.89
20	42670.96	3246.09	15008.64	11757.96	868.45	14082.55	9490.31	597.66	1444.11
22	43007.35	3108.56	15073.39	12016.61	937.15	13801.10	10052.14	684.92	1569.17
24	43486.18	3106.20	15109.88	12252.12	955.07	13522.99	10572.89	770.04	1687.96
26	43813.08	3017.38	15064.58	12438.86	1002.08	13115.41	11205.03	846.28	1800.97
31	44706.54	2779.55	14833.53	12779.05	1130.19	12375.69	12373.28	1090.52	1994.56
36	45366.24	2602.31	14555.52	12992.91	1221.73	11681.07	13328.50	1328.48	2062.99
41	45875.73	2477.83	14209.21	13073.59	1286.36	11028.57	14080.61	1511.89	2102.17
46	46464.62	2339.44	13821.50	13049.17	1337.27	10418.42	14650.98	1668.26	2148.08
51	46950.72	2207.37	13419.77	12942.18	1378.31	9906.73	15039.61	1804.88	2128.44
56	47451.38	2086.46	13032.14	12778.29	1397.89	9434.44	15312.61	1889.70	2099.11
61	47897.21	1991.41	12643.90	12568.62	1403.19	9009.93	15482.99	1955.18	2052.86
66	48423.94	1895.77	12267.00	12337.29	1392.67	8625.72	15594.55	1991.43	1990.31
71	48882.74	1824.06	11890.80	12079.79	1378.17	8271.12	15656.39	2009.21	1922.15
76	49318.38	1778.79	11522.30	11808.10	1366.19	7947.00	15652.78	2027.93	1844.82
81	49757.47	1758.31	11167.56	11529.67	1350.57	7646.64	15606.33	2036.84	1781.21
86	50108.82	1741.51	10816.89	11254.01	1334.57	7370.87	15542.76	2040.43	1724.09
91	50507.29	1717.76	10485.27	10981.37	1323.37	7114.58	15448.67	2032.97	1672.60
96	50875.66	1698.56	10171.85	10703.66	1312.57	6885.15	15324.40	2021.53	1641.65
116	51992.99	1690.69	9020.17	9645.83	1242.52	6044.96	14676.26	1876.14	1517.17
136	53038.71	1621.72	8082.52	8719.87	1175.13	5429.02	13815.78	1760.75	1402.82
156	54006.06	1506.19	7355.74	7947.56	1071.99	4972.18	12873.87	1623.53	1268.80
176	54878.46	1442.07	6741.82	7300.59	997.85	4597.43	11898.81	1536.03	1203.48
196	55835.08	1321.09	6375.76	6844.00	914.92	4327.08	11039.48	1446.16	1115.04
221	57010.53	1332.20	5913.05	6385.16	796.91	4092.68	10064.52	1313.57	976.82
246	58877.57	1359.66	5527.87	6042.64	714.81	3936.36	9237.59	1213.44	905.91
271	60068.61	1549.05	5176.03	5785.75	647.24	3846.61	8527.15	1121.83	819.46
296	60635.84	1709.81	4874.06	5563.47	580.20	3795.06	7902.99	1009.80	741.04
321	61204.41	1813.12	4618.72	5367.77	525.65	3736.54	7335.58	899.96	645.62
346	61676.66	1860.90	4413.95	5224.47	487.37	3678.78	6852.39	796.08	555.40

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	SMALLMOUTH FRY	BASS JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	SACRAMENTO JUVENILE	SQUAWFISH ADULT
8	40009.86	6528.48	8721.63	1963.78	5354.50	11978.17	1120.99
10	40585.35	6020.10	8644.98	2010.70	5535.44	11866.38	1336.95
12	41091.54	5514.39	8549.66	2044.99	5705.49	11727.03	1561.82
14	41520.30	5034.09	8445.87	2066.76	5860.36	11818.41	1667.51
16	41914.25	4564.86	8339.37	2079.58	5999.10	11713.15	1743.91
18	42308.44	4138.25	8227.44	2085.16	6127.92	11494.19	1829.77
20	42670.96	3747.09	8116.92	2079.45	6246.73	11400.21	1819.36
22	43007.35	3397.85	8008.44	2066.83	6356.75	11282.53	1923.54
24	43486.18	3113.77	7902.48	2045.77	6459.78	11170.34	1970.46
26	43813.08	2814.18	7692.92	1994.98	6562.04	11045.45	2000.38
31	44706.54	2371.59	7393.68	1896.98	6794.40	10640.35	2196.18
36	45366.24	2051.83	7113.81	1755.17	7008.89	10080.31	2207.55
41	45875.73	1809.18	6861.57	1600.84	7204.83	9778.96	2313.97
46	46464.62	1605.74	6631.73	1441.28	7381.62	9337.83	2478.51
51	46950.72	1412.91	6412.20	1283.05	7543.27	9064.31	2528.23
56	47451.38	1259.24	6205.61	1139.13	7687.55	8680.52	2507.78
61	47897.21	1148.17	6012.70	1035.92	7814.02	8512.77	2633.90
66	48423.94	1066.87	5826.92	954.36	7933.57	8186.12	2682.87
71	48882.74	1002.01	5649.05	890.64	8046.20	7952.15	2639.59
76	49318.38	949.63	5483.06	839.52	8143.11	7649.08	2581.08
81	49757.47	905.11	5324.58	801.18	8216.51	7402.70	2531.82
86	50108.82	860.79	5178.65	770.31	8266.03	7208.82	2503.70
91	50507.29	816.66	5047.88	740.99	8288.64	6958.00	2467.92
96	50875.66	783.63	4925.18	713.94	8286.91	6728.89	2472.79
116	51992.99	695.60	4488.82	633.97	8169.56	6178.38	2557.06
136	53038.71	663.93	4119.11	586.51	7930.74	5545.40	2615.83
156	54006.06	619.84	3783.05	544.53	7459.05	5019.36	2415.67
176	54878.46	583.96	3489.47	507.84	6861.21	4513.31	2273.81
196	55835.08	574.24	3245.51	469.45	6287.80	4209.48	2185.65
221	57010.53	567.84	2995.22	421.75	5568.55	3825.30	1996.57
246	58877.57	605.66	2818.99	384.69	4932.42	3527.03	1991.76
271	60068.61	663.22	2666.16	353.19	4360.72	3384.59	1930.88
296	60635.84	735.64	2529.73	334.92	3878.03	3122.49	1767.53
321	61204.41	801.49	2416.91	323.34	3466.34	3064.10	1629.15
346	61676.66	867.66	2320.73	313.91	3137.19	2916.28	1564.31



Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for fall chinook salmon spawning in the Mainstem, North and South Forks of Battle Creek.

DISCHARGE	MAINSTEM		NORTH FORK		SOUTH FORK	
	WETTED AREA	CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNING	WETTED AREA	CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNING	WETTED AREA	CHINOOK SALMON SPAWNING
4						
6			11516.99	765.00	29996.72	38.90
8			12243.95	845.07	35389.38	176.30
10			13361.13	910.23	39519.34	560.38
12	37611.34	543.85	13908.83	959.36	42448.19	1017.40
14	39036.93	839.07	14211.10	1047.25	44891.80	1224.45
16	40130.04	1136.47	14389.24	1195.58	46358.41	1849.39
18	41146.99	1506.51	14564.76	1347.75	47602.32	2564.00
20	41904.64	1869.06	14915.09	1413.88	48381.50	3248.90
22	42848.90	2201.71	15679.62	1414.30	49106.66	3848.10
24	43582.25	2555.16				
25			17541.49	1379.96	51299.70	5172.64
26	44320.08	2916.38				
28	45677.02	3221.55				
30	46313.09	3682.08	20131.53	1217.38	53866.69	6571.61
35	48910.02	4727.95	21644.64	1034.98	55190.18	7723.85
40	50181.46	5819.53	23240.07	899.60	55873.46	8861.51
45	51037.95	6422.93	26640.81	787.27	56375.19	9896.29
50	52873.95	6928.92	28037.31	686.81	56748.14	10894.71
55	53767.90	7352.67			57048.00	11787.68
60	55161.13	7670.58	30089.44	549.31	57301.96	12642.41
65	56197.95	7911.64			57542.66	13353.80
70	57500.93	8004.15	32859.77	476.01	57771.80	14107.63
75	58743.48	8102.63			58151.96	14683.10
80	60256.44	8270.88	35670.51	471.10	58567.42	14975.55
85	61438.12	8362.52				
90	62367.55	8416.35	38166.53	499.15	59332.91	14836.31
95	64165.47	8439.33				
100	65400.64	8502.79	39997.46	543.61	60707.41	14508.02
105	67116.00	8506.74				
110	67749.16	8469.02			61573.47	13998.38
115	68361.76	8456.61				
120	68944.18	8452.88	44368.32	848.77	62078.54	13101.53
125	69419.11	8446.85				
130	69770.11	8474.53				
140	70428.12	8320.18	44993.96	1250.83	63482.77	11165.82
160	71765.78	7703.27	45568.87	1786.97	68576.60	9324.00
180	72784.73	7033.60	46157.07	2093.65	70437.19	7707.29
200	74448.45	6380.84	46955.96	1961.40	71232.42	6473.71
220			47708.76	1730.25	71978.45	5529.92
225	75770.19	5748.55				
240			48422.02	1497.68	72495.59	4796.33
250	77816.41	5247.32				
260					72857.73	4140.71
275	78407.05	4774.51				
300	78863.99	4244.70				
325	79319.20	3723.26				
350	79684.09	3252.00				

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge in the Wildcat Study Subreach of the North Fork of Battle Creek.

WILDCAT STUDY SUBREACH - NORTH FORK BETWEEN WILDCAT DIVERSION AND CONFLUENCE OF NORTH AND SOUTH FORKS

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING
4	19177.57	3702.25	5199.54	3260.46	103.35	3062.40	3140.98	13.95
6	20007.01	3207.90	6069.20	3934.94	263.98	3512.84	4365.71	41.95
8	20801.37	2865.01	6558.54	4466.60	435.78	3631.67	5263.46	77.82
10	21502.96	2433.73	6849.68	4846.79	614.43	3806.74	6266.12	123.00
12	21939.53	2211.37	7153.26	5245.00	805.03	3853.24	6789.70	166.85
14	22374.23	2045.93	7357.37	5611.64	894.80	3807.35	7210.33	209.71
16	22765.49	1942.47	7423.83	5889.07	955.72	3754.76	7588.56	265.84
18	23117.35	1857.06	7427.81	6114.86	998.89	3645.85	7809.17	326.74
20	23753.52	1796.03	7370.60	6288.09	1032.60	3566.39	8046.86	391.69
25	24893.58	1699.76	7232.28	6549.51	1110.28	3382.74	8523.45	562.17
30	25990.54	1713.39	6940.30	6646.18	1140.25	3132.51	8776.14	740.51
35	26723.46	1782.25	6631.25	6626.04	1119.32	2861.15	8714.78	902.63
40	27511.39	1781.33	6321.41	6507.22	1087.85	2638.48	8590.26	1041.97
45	28328.77	1813.94	6051.53	6338.92	1062.90	2438.15	8437.51	1144.05
50	29199.96	1846.93	5788.39	6141.66	1040.52	2303.36	8279.03	1216.75
60	30349.42	1747.10	5436.32	5734.52	924.36	2214.20	7936.33	1261.48
70	31432.48	1573.23	5297.94	5394.71	812.04	2235.91	7783.26	1247.31
80	32443.31	1429.51	5201.73	5108.05	706.27	2323.12	7661.47	1176.47
90	33240.03	1346.24	5116.50	4888.29	601.44	2309.01	7570.12	1067.38
100	33949.04	1302.27	4986.05	4772.37	554.53	2264.40	7404.26	953.85
120	35330.63	1379.39	4679.80	4542.59	483.32	2048.60	6981.32	848.46
140	36445.90	1423.89	4385.94	4364.12	414.53	1737.63	6560.73	711.44
160	37399.89	1427.78	4150.09	4193.91	357.27	1520.67	6114.66	593.67
180	38054.60	1458.48	4033.43	4039.49	307.30	1362.37	5651.81	494.09
200	38555.44	1465.86	3931.22	3911.32	277.43	1244.29	5238.71	407.20
220	39011.51	1412.14	3868.04	3809.14	264.44	1207.24	4952.07	341.40
240	39396.54	1327.27	3820.03	3716.71	267.80	1204.82	4745.46	289.51

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	FALL/SPRING CHINOOK FRY	JUVENILE FALL	SPAW SPRNG	SPAW	SACRAMENTO JUVENILE	SQUAWFISH ADULT
4	19177.57	8079.00	1419.83	47.53	195.10	4405.22	339.49
6	20007.01	8177.46	2308.52	87.86	384.85	4465.85	432.22
8	20801.37	8042.42	3058.33	179.29	546.39	4435.79	565.66
10	21502.96	7822.90	3688.61	285.54	677.72	4280.64	622.07
12	21939.53	7554.20	4465.95	383.22	823.98	4196.02	701.58
14	22374.23	7409.18	4904.75	450.76	913.65	4078.62	721.50
16	22765.49	7205.90	5328.28	519.88	947.56	3910.41	774.17
18	23117.35	6978.34	5704.03	592.76	959.18	3844.08	833.88
20	23753.52	6737.42	6050.91	663.86	964.54	3674.00	878.40
25	24893.58	6247.88	6741.00	837.85	976.02	3598.14	1012.70
30	25990.54	5786.74	7169.76	934.85	960.55	3555.16	1126.60
35	26723.46	5412.26	7391.93	989.07	927.29	3375.17	1192.26
40	27511.39	5103.76	7450.00	1014.09	866.99	3295.62	1204.34
45	28328.77	4859.14	7378.02	1014.39	822.54	3131.29	1150.50
50	29199.96	4729.95	7257.95	996.27	768.07	3141.70	1208.28
60	30349.42	4618.32	6956.12	924.54	661.73	3035.49	1172.49
70	31432.48	4537.80	6604.80	823.38	568.49	2902.07	1225.34
80	32443.31	4425.13	6321.99	689.88	462.36	2779.83	1272.36
90	33240.03	4310.77	6119.65	595.84	396.71	2714.54	1203.32
100	33949.04	4072.36	5964.37	566.80	387.19	2608.67	1192.21
120	35330.63	3802.30	5822.14	536.15	345.91	2482.50	1302.86
140	36445.90	3676.25	5619.65	446.23	309.53	2334.76	1238.83
160	37399.89	3681.38	5403.78	382.51	265.83	2319.04	1198.33
180	38054.60	3663.76	5122.05	332.51	243.33	2292.87	1134.19
200	38555.44	3581.81	4813.66	294.28	211.00	2272.13	1098.43
220	39011.51	3560.43	4597.98	265.37	179.53	2229.14	1100.15
240	39396.54	3546.70	4449.36	242.95	162.90	2192.16	1024.16

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for the Eagle Canyon Study Subreach of the North Fork of Battle Creek.

EAGLE CANYON SUBREACH - NORTH FORK BATTLE CREEK BETWEEN EAGLE CANYON DIVERSON AND WILDCAT DIVERSION

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING
4	18883.44	3527.15	4927.94	2905.59	161.70	3340.29	3182.89	25.13
6	19800.94	3050.69	5750.84	3526.81	369.81	3795.97	4365.30	68.29
8	20662.37	2736.90	6206.99	4014.68	602.45	3914.05	5229.42	121.29
10	21493.86	2280.91	6443.57	4349.60	858.65	4125.67	6219.64	184.67
12	21955.00	2094.70	6728.66	4718.48	1161.16	4183.93	6727.11	257.09
14	22413.28	1961.13	6914.36	5059.02	1310.58	4137.91	7128.82	327.83
16	22831.01	1884.39	6968.23	5315.88	1413.84	4071.12	7477.03	413.10
18	23196.71	1818.25	6969.56	5525.46	1489.13	3947.86	7685.98	503.61
20	23817.53	1770.60	6918.86	5687.86	1549.03	3853.24	7917.00	599.35
25	25004.94	1684.67	6825.33	5944.34	1671.95	3645.77	8411.48	848.13
30	26105.17	1720.24	6571.84	6045.87	1708.22	3381.21	8692.51	1108.49
35	26893.62	1811.45	6286.99	6038.56	1673.58	3096.32	8641.03	1339.62
40	27741.63	1823.99	6007.69	5941.34	1614.59	2857.76	8520.42	1532.76
45	28679.82	1865.83	5771.78	5798.57	1559.20	2642.96	8359.62	1667.47
50	29585.63	1911.27	5541.64	5627.16	1506.87	2499.08	8193.97	1750.62
60	30780.77	1795.85	5259.77	5274.06	1327.49	2395.76	7863.21	1775.06
70	31891.46	1590.71	5203.33	4985.16	1169.31	2384.89	7745.32	1736.59
80	32909.78	1415.21	5166.93	4738.94	1020.16	2453.24	7666.72	1640.76
90	33736.53	1316.55	5110.84	4560.05	888.95	2412.12	7610.35	1508.96
100	34459.56	1276.27	5001.49	4446.82	843.17	2315.31	7433.64	1501.99
120	35890.69	1359.11	4690.79	4313.85	738.35	2072.78	7008.63	1206.39
140	36953.20	1409.83	4413.90	4194.08	639.96	1732.79	6573.18	1006.58
160	37853.29	1409.13	4185.01	4071.33	537.79	1500.64	6116.78	837.19
180	38483.93	1428.14	4063.19	3952.49	442.40	1335.07	5646.64	694.54
200	38988.56	1428.30	3954.36	3855.28	375.00	1202.90	5217.84	571.83
220	39451.79	1375.97	3882.62	3776.17	336.59	1143.35	4911.16	474.51
240	39845.97	1298.12	3828.56	3699.32	325.68	1125.39	4682.63	396.53

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	FALL/SPRING FRY	CHINOOK JUVENILE	FALL SPAW	SPRNG SPAW
4	18883.44	7658.85	1393.61	71.82	283.47
6	19800.94	7754.17	2248.22	128.55	516.66
8	20662.37	7627.63	2966.69	241.97	718.40
10	21493.86	7427.05	3573.16	369.29	898.42
12	21955.00	7127.27	4324.42	502.57	1102.09
14	22413.28	7000.76	4738.59	605.62	1236.61
16	22831.01	6815.60	5133.80	710.24	1296.27
18	23196.71	6608.18	5487.63	821.01	1326.72
20	23817.53	6390.15	5814.66	929.47	1345.71
25	25004.94	5960.21	6481.29	1190.91	1385.15
30	26105.17	5551.96	6902.65	1343.76	1364.94
35	26893.62	5217.40	7126.34	1413.36	1300.58
40	27741.63	4948.07	7191.20	1426.69	1206.92
45	28679.82	4738.30	7128.75	1405.60	1132.39
50	29585.63	4643.46	7021.75	1364.86	1043.44
60	30780.77	4590.32	6755.42	1251.10	892.02
70	31891.46	4552.05	6446.09	1123.59	768.40
80	32909.78	4453.22	6214.04	955.87	647.39
90	33736.53	4321.25	6072.15	843.49	568.14
100	34459.56	4069.79	6034.51	805.84	516.58
120	35890.69	3808.05	5860.83	723.23	442.23
140	36953.20	3676.92	5683.62	601.93	392.52
160	37853.29	3666.82	5480.50	515.90	334.13
180	38483.93	3639.93	5202.54	445.81	296.66
200	38988.56	3557.17	4888.32	380.95	243.42
220	39451.79	3534.88	4652.52	327.71	196.40
240	39845.97	3521.08	4478.12	286.86	168.59

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for the Digger Study Reach of the North Fork of Battle Creek.

DIGGER REACH - BETWEEN NORTH BATTLE FEEDER AND DIGGER CREEK CONFLUENCE - NORTH FORK BATTLE CREEK

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW TROUT			SPAWNING	STEELHEAD		
		FRY	JUVENILE	ADULT		FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING
3	19977.93	4426.54	5344.72	3143.65	31.52	3824.59	3362.48	17.76
5	21252.42	3991.18	6671.84	3816.48	91.06	4833.20	5355.39	34.23
7	22253.04	3712.99	7411.38	4318.75	175.03	5449.57	6785.52	50.91
9	23315.49	3402.21	7953.86	4715.26	211.19	5783.22	7784.81	69.99
11	24177.78	3157.79	8226.20	5042.30	263.79	5937.49	8464.72	98.68
13	24982.85	2800.39	8436.58	5306.49	309.46	6144.23	9088.53	134.96
15	25794.08	2595.49	8564.82	5522.57	342.99	6372.01	9647.71	171.47
17	26375.24	2433.52	8627.26	5695.56	370.97	6495.06	10115.23	218.82
19	26968.09	2317.03	8574.88	5806.93	412.31	6524.71	10439.95	259.57
21	27351.74	2194.46	8541.69	5899.09	458.45	6579.64	10765.95	311.47
25	27984.59	1990.36	8425.95	5978.61	571.63	6602.75	11377.97	423.00
30	28706.58	1848.64	8176.34	5960.74	718.88	6498.65	11848.95	567.01
35	29546.64	1726.72	7895.47	5876.74	831.72	6275.16	12088.57	717.10
40	30119.40	1648.11	7626.67	5741.95	955.22	6056.22	12246.54	850.03
45	30588.83	1613.10	7345.44	5562.84	1031.49	5872.07	12318.05	965.67
50	31340.84	1603.50	7082.34	5394.89	1074.71	5649.96	12293.06	1084.80
60	32270.21	1582.37	6657.08	5070.86	1133.63	5211.86	11953.21	1346.30
70	33030.30	1583.33	6279.96	4798.68	1123.00	4796.13	11359.71	1600.83
80	33618.58	1517.68	5946.79	4572.71	1102.82	4376.23	10753.13	1807.23
90	34294.13	1338.60	5608.00	4298.81	1039.28	4136.66	10266.56	1937.51
100	34845.92	1321.39	5376.78	4157.75	970.12	3853.22	9746.31	2012.08
120	35660.83	1270.91	4992.75	3934.89	826.07	3394.42	8844.46	2016.57
140	36560.11	1205.08	4704.15	3755.83	693.22	2974.25	8035.64	1823.28
160	37250.22	1211.00	4429.59	3588.61	546.34	2676.47	7415.00	1539.30
180	37842.84	1228.20	4199.51	3460.32	425.81	2466.95	6915.97	1253.09
200	38359.27	1215.39	4011.78	3382.99	334.89	2291.32	6519.76	1015.81

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	FALL/SPRING CHINOOK		FALL SPAW	SPRNG SPAW
		FRY	JUVENILE		
3	19977.93	8706.22	1260.31	17.39	78.98
5	21252.42	9079.03	2324.54	42.51	192.90
7	22253.04	9105.29	3380.95	97.12	317.08
9	23315.49	8983.49	4236.44	160.06	402.43
11	24177.78	8784.38	4971.93	215.74	519.50
13	24982.85	8515.99	5627.14	280.24	626.01
15	25794.08	8298.53	6215.21	345.29	688.19
17	26375.24	8115.16	6706.32	418.84	749.41
19	26968.09	7870.89	7106.39	482.40	822.97
21	27351.74	7662.96	7515.07	535.32	896.52
25	27984.59	7222.55	8171.49	618.96	1040.48
30	28706.58	6739.86	8753.72	745.70	1203.99
35	29546.64	6364.76	9133.47	862.20	1284.48
40	30119.40	6038.67	9368.17	1010.62	1336.68
45	30588.83	5761.11	9467.69	1128.36	1413.76
50	31340.84	5555.81	9492.14	1222.42	1486.74
60	32270.21	5263.57	9264.49	1382.64	1516.61
70	33030.30	4989.42	8855.19	1457.70	1417.46
80	33618.58	4719.85	8421.97	1516.54	1267.14
90	34294.13	4347.43	8094.10	1497.92	1131.58
100	34845.92	4155.99	7660.25	1418.13	992.07
120	35660.83	3911.33	6933.79	1192.57	767.80
140	36560.11	3723.40	6366.88	963.81	579.27
160	37250.22	3582.99	5918.23	777.10	423.57
180	37842.84	3464.61	5577.22	630.96	314.91
200	38359.27	3361.92	5310.72	506.68	250.96

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge in the  
Bailey Study Reach of the North Fork of Battle Creek.

BAILEY STUDY REACH - NORTH FORK BETWEEN KESWICK DIVERSION AND CONFLUENCE WITH BAILEY CREEK

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING
6	15186.26	1686.89	5523.52	3268.55	236.02	3247.92	5059.87	92.44
8	16157.75	1588.09	5694.78	3534.99	274.46	3490.83	5821.35	140.61
10	16884.13	1549.02	5777.86	3738.01	302.42	3620.04	6263.95	190.97
12	17469.87	1532.95	5739.05	3866.32	322.55	3614.69	6541.38	236.34
14	18279.63	1470.24	5693.82	3949.39	346.94	3597.99	6727.29	272.04
16	18959.65	1408.72	5642.41	3985.18	359.34	3625.69	6951.12	307.15
21	19939.31	1353.17	5519.75	3954.08	375.62	3539.96	7252.35	366.78
26	20869.17	1243.39	5444.86	3860.66	389.60	3544.07	7382.20	412.76
31	21829.28	1187.06	5416.24	3814.44	403.79	3504.91	7386.30	466.35
36	22561.45	1165.65	5403.93	3796.39	419.35	3397.93	7274.84	523.80
41	23184.10	1179.42	5325.23	3795.91	455.04	3185.75	7094.73	580.82
46	23721.20	1176.13	5131.72	3769.15	506.14	3030.35	6929.41	632.93
51	24354.61	1169.46	5069.21	3755.68	540.69	2917.10	6899.30	622.77
56	24927.19	1181.19	4996.65	3738.11	577.55	2807.08	6886.39	609.62
66	25674.15	1164.57	4831.74	3714.81	614.85	2656.64	6825.61	578.40
76	26215.95	1071.14	4655.69	3684.23	597.97	2595.66	6727.03	553.43
86	26719.17	960.99	4493.46	3657.62	560.26	2555.27	6589.01	541.40
96	27120.44	834.29	4344.15	3632.89	512.89	2466.86	6426.70	538.60
106	27531.79	736.77	4193.67	3605.59	454.82	2355.10	6299.43	536.15

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for the Coleman Study Subreach of the South Fork of Battle Creek.

COLEMAN SUBREACH - SOUTH FORK OF BATTLE CREEK BETWEEN COLEMAN DIVERSION AND THE NORTH AND SOUTH FORK CONFLUENCE

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	FALL/SPRING FRY	CHINOOK JUVENILE	FALL SPAW	SPRNG SPAW
4	23087.43	3017.60	3824.55	1976.09	89.83	6578.00	535.58	15.41	256.64
6	24895.86	2761.93	4873.16	2279.54	218.32	6960.55	1210.54	65.49	552.33
8	26381.73	2664.89	5597.32	2563.04	377.56	7092.10	1917.06	195.79	842.68
10	27545.68	2388.31	6152.94	2827.97	557.85	7165.30	2607.26	347.87	1149.56
12	28499.77	2123.01	6552.41	3075.84	680.07	7002.30	3330.21	474.98	1485.40
14	29334.94	1982.75	6816.33	3280.81	845.75	6876.32	3995.91	687.37	1801.71
16	29928.56	1861.27	7001.33	3455.22	985.74	6736.97	4611.60	914.47	2125.91
18	30423.49	1752.57	7127.66	3598.72	1111.83	6559.01	5175.82	1137.24	2381.81
20	30869.37	1656.65	7215.91	3706.31	1252.47	6391.48	5714.90	1374.15	2555.53
25	32028.50	1456.02	7232.12	3898.62	1588.34	5921.81	6796.92	1823.87	2842.13
30	33187.71	1395.54	7107.62	4005.87	1954.17	5556.63	7657.28	2136.43	2984.55
35	34067.57	1431.81	6904.95	4027.63	2302.02	5285.88	8336.20	2352.68	3097.17
40	34889.25	1474.57	6645.36	4007.72	2612.49	5034.23	8847.83	2551.39	3186.83
45	35641.51	1502.69	6404.81	3953.84	2853.16	4864.90	9168.40	2705.74	3282.55
50	36273.78	1529.82	6182.70	3886.44	3035.57	4694.90	9353.74	2906.68	3325.47
55	36806.92	1529.51	5985.79	3802.00	3173.10	4586.54	9433.71	3097.88	3325.56
60	37316.58	1471.21	5819.33	3698.94	3270.67	4539.99	9405.28	3247.26	3296.77
65	38008.70	1356.33	6062.66	4071.43	3117.42	4920.55	9088.17	3177.13	2940.04
70	38596.93	1233.58	5848.53	3844.93	3232.58	4662.49	9142.39	3289.65	2992.44
80	39125.95	1128.42	5475.41	3355.05	3368.78	4327.22	8882.26	3552.68	3134.57
90	39627.97	1021.02	5290.16	3113.90	3301.41	4110.17	8542.65	3592.60	3050.48
100	40259.56	959.40	5219.74	2993.86	3207.14	3994.93	8190.70	3499.32	2828.36
110	40784.98	947.38	4983.99	2943.65	3092.59	3726.72	7852.78	3349.07	2503.83
120	41216.18	892.74	4725.55	2932.32	2934.91	3268.71	7598.71	3118.55	2258.00
140	42285.71	787.05	4218.50	2906.81	2690.68	3020.26	6963.75	2755.51	1946.61
160	44454.52	825.01	3950.49	2922.10	2366.15	3053.02	6466.03	2508.16	1711.02
180	45351.47	1068.61	3741.20	2918.72	2095.71	3186.58	5957.15	2229.22	1471.63
200	45948.56	1126.59	3591.81	2907.90	1797.30	3177.33	5472.86	1960.33	1226.61
220	46524.28	1102.69	3639.13	2905.60	1526.54	3147.77	5052.41	1669.40	1053.34
240	47053.01	1088.77	3657.93	2883.06	1336.00	3137.74	4720.92	1435.15	917.08
260	47433.95	1017.54	3653.84	2816.36	1257.01	3122.42	4501.42	1231.55	743.28

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING	SACRAMENTO JUVENILE	SQUAWFISH ADULT
4	23087.43	5805.12	2816.97	0.69	4528.32	239.15
6	24895.86	6950.68	4354.27	5.49	4639.13	316.85
8	26381.73	7396.75	5441.93	31.36	4566.06	325.40
10	27545.68	7978.19	6434.15	86.13	4856.16	374.19
12	28499.77	8612.58	7382.90	121.76	4817.97	389.45
14	29334.94	8844.78	8044.65	202.17	4886.38	467.79
16	29928.56	9064.19	8630.58	303.32	5020.42	500.48
18	30423.49	9165.15	9138.14	410.79	5131.27	560.82
20	30869.37	9179.09	9564.88	549.42	4936.40	619.02
25	32028.50	8937.79	10397.00	942.71	4567.55	764.65
30	33187.71	8476.58	10955.11	1438.74	4376.11	841.09
35	34067.57	7956.21	11284.37	1878.31	4304.40	797.30
40	34889.25	7502.55	11443.86	2263.70	4250.64	721.58
45	35641.51	7169.69	11467.33	2588.81	4257.67	787.26
50	36273.78	6799.74	11372.12	2860.26	3991.71	815.91
55	36806.92	6581.46	11250.14	3096.64	3783.08	876.01
60	37316.58	6446.72	11099.31	3301.72	3772.67	952.91
65	38008.70	6585.02	10901.31	3458.12	4009.32	942.81
70	38596.93	6540.57	10798.16	3639.68	3733.88	955.98
80	39125.95	6173.73	10489.15	3973.15	3458.24	932.54
90	39627.97	6035.00	10203.51	4315.58	3510.32	929.41
100	40259.56	5682.59	9832.76	4537.95	3244.09	920.48
110	40784.98	5315.67	9433.26	4645.06	3048.02	871.01
120	41216.18	4743.26	9121.46	4855.39	2745.14	789.23
140	42285.71	4217.45	8281.74	4620.72	2636.47	788.53
160	44454.52	3824.56	7568.62	4218.37	2580.18	760.45
180	45351.47	3673.02	6871.72	3807.98	2656.65	762.00
200	45948.56	3622.79	6315.45	3464.52	2745.52	726.68
220	46524.28	3628.02	5984.24	3108.50	2654.72	743.16
240	47053.01	3684.87	5859.28	2786.71	2650.39	783.27
260	47433.95	3671.97	5749.81	2465.40	2601.29	733.82

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for the  
Inskip Study Subreach of the South Fork of Battle Creek.

INSKIP SUBREACH - SOUTH FORK OF BATTLE CREEK BETWEEN INSKIP DIVERSION AND COLEMAN DIVERSION

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	FALL/SPRING FRY	CHINOOK JUVENILE	FALL SPAW	SPRING SPAW
4	23381.54	3131.04	3673.80	2228.95	72.51	6494.50	445.32	14.54	214.10
6	25150.78	2910.73	4739.76	2512.97	172.29	6896.64	1111.78	56.59	457.36
8	26512.05	2843.60	5496.07	2794.54	296.93	7048.29	1792.46	159.00	689.91
10	27541.37	2523.20	6101.62	3065.06	442.88	7147.57	2469.49	283.90	945.45
12	28403.28	2234.50	6551.16	3317.18	546.35	7006.14	3198.64	396.16	1238.96
14	29125.60	2071.73	6857.93	3528.55	680.19	6894.39	3875.78	575.55	1527.24
16	29650.88	1937.12	7082.64	3712.23	788.28	6770.03	4510.30	762.87	1827.52
18	30104.62	1811.04	7239.72	3863.41	881.19	6621.37	5087.00	948.41	2041.38
20	30518.81	1698.51	7354.63	3980.01	984.44	6471.19	5649.57	1158.66	2147.30
25	31590.02	1474.52	7387.72	4194.56	1225.34	6008.11	6773.72	1552.88	2322.05
30	32681.81	1392.71	7265.35	4335.13	1504.88	5654.08	7679.74	1807.76	2404.67
35	33548.30	1411.02	7069.97	4385.10	1761.28	5394.69	8389.49	1968.45	2447.65
40	34395.81	1441.48	6817.86	4393.26	1965.20	5172.98	8901.25	2100.75	2476.57
45	35120.28	1475.29	6586.88	4367.80	2114.20	5031.64	9199.52	2197.32	2498.94
50	35734.58	1512.22	6375.68	4323.89	2224.85	4883.95	9353.10	2355.44	2495.90
55	36241.00	1526.89	6189.15	4255.41	2302.73	4799.00	9393.83	2493.87	2472.48
60	36767.13	1479.78	6033.55	4164.76	2355.32	4776.72	9322.15	2573.00	2430.22
65	37449.03	1386.26	6184.33	4418.72	2225.88	5077.07	9026.76	2486.08	2145.55
70	38114.86	1271.93	6013.83	4231.29	2294.97	4901.53	9006.15	2531.63	2163.45
80	38620.48	1168.30	5730.88	3817.82	2368.41	4625.27	8696.63	2656.28	2237.41
90	39117.47	1049.10	5568.34	3609.15	2306.01	4405.86	8306.79	2647.94	2189.00
100	39692.10	965.70	5479.18	3506.38	2248.95	4254.52	7928.59	2560.16	2079.41
110	40175.67	938.73	5240.67	3461.06	2187.67	3955.71	7593.84	2445.97	1891.45
120	40579.19	859.24	4760.15	3394.50	2140.86	3464.38	7328.45	2321.68	1843.45
140	41546.02	802.75	4471.50	3411.32	1987.88	3170.07	6789.86	2084.81	1602.85
160	43415.80	816.38	4157.98	3415.05	1762.96	3142.29	6384.14	1969.31	1470.24
180	44247.42	987.07	3926.30	3389.02	1575.61	3219.32	5919.94	1800.45	1265.09
200	44792.05	1029.64	3748.96	3354.16	1358.81	3151.19	5450.19	1596.15	1053.40
220	45305.90	1011.16	3740.41	3339.90	1157.18	3075.11	5024.13	1365.53	885.91
240	45784.99	1011.08	3698.56	3302.59	1004.42	3035.16	4673.40	1177.80	735.59
260	46150.35	960.56	3637.44	3226.62	932.98	2985.24	4435.79	1009.98	568.03

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING	SACRAMENTO JUVENILE	SQUAWFISH ADULT
4	23381.54	5594.32	2713.01	0.93	4655.17	270.02
6	25150.78	6659.65	4150.47	6.20	4768.84	353.68
8	26512.05	7067.60	5251.67	30.04	4734.16	380.99
10	27541.37	7692.04	6274.68	78.47	5050.92	421.34
12	28403.28	8290.97	7250.38	114.72	4995.73	436.24
14	29125.60	8450.73	7922.62	188.19	5076.85	520.95
16	29650.88	8599.55	8521.04	275.78	5134.65	545.05
18	30104.62	8654.04	9042.45	370.35	5229.92	628.02
20	30518.81	8617.34	9473.97	489.70	5002.76	706.49
25	31590.02	8317.21	10314.98	811.20	4618.38	924.13
30	32681.81	7804.75	10841.63	1246.50	4434.76	1046.96
35	33548.30	7262.21	11123.02	1613.98	4346.02	996.59
40	34395.81	6810.86	11222.49	1929.77	4233.85	862.73
45	35120.28	6480.64	11190.70	2189.80	4273.17	923.96
50	35734.58	6121.79	11046.89	2389.58	3929.09	954.84
55	36241.00	5900.63	10891.22	2562.64	3688.64	1023.40
60	36767.13	5815.79	10708.18	2709.98	3690.32	1054.67
65	37449.03	5918.92	10475.23	2827.45	3865.55	1051.46
70	38114.86	5876.64	10329.41	2957.50	3644.64	1051.38
80	38620.48	5586.86	9949.77	3169.89	3394.48	1082.30
90	39117.47	5483.73	9626.82	3378.34	3505.15	1120.91
100	39692.10	5190.98	9256.96	3486.57	3232.61	1124.70
110	40175.67	4872.68	8870.82	3517.05	3020.45	1069.42
120	40579.19	4335.44	8585.50	3652.82	2790.12	925.28
140	41546.02	3819.23	7844.50	3450.79	2683.09	883.66
160	43415.80	3408.05	7235.94	3162.41	2592.04	886.43
180	44247.42	3266.43	6595.99	2897.18	2602.50	880.50
200	44792.05	3233.13	6066.40	2697.79	2626.91	836.76
220	45305.90	3185.39	5743.83	2464.94	2502.99	860.51
240	45784.99	3206.37	5608.01	2242.93	2526.50	923.69
260	46150.35	3183.92	5472.36	2006.63	2488.73	862.16

Appendix C. Composite weighted usable area by discharge for the South Diversion Study Subreach of South Fork Battle Creek.

SOUTH DIVERSION SUBREACH - SOUTH FORK OF BATTLE CREEK BETWEEN SOUTH DIVERSION AND INSKIP DIVERSION

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	RAINBOW FRY	TROUT JUVENILE	ADULT	SPAWNING	FALL/SPRING CHINOOK FRY	JUVENILE	FALL SPAW	SPRNG SPAW
4	24683.89	1335.97	3853.66	2184.71	223.21	4170.18	2667.70	250.57	480.90
6	25537.81	1236.19	4112.48	2334.66	256.76	4230.80	3068.18	319.09	550.49
8	26385.05	1127.48	4326.96	2435.33	286.33	4222.54	3432.39	398.99	589.90
10	26799.32	1106.11	4529.04	2356.62	353.40	3843.12	3844.71	473.77	710.06
15	27876.60	834.68	4526.09	2433.29	453.72	3651.58	4587.33	579.58	780.31
20	28671.24	763.54	4568.75	2488.15	514.56	3400.00	5151.48	625.01	804.43
25	29426.76	734.68	4461.04	2525.12	570.38	3186.76	5578.05	674.89	857.99
30	30060.46	712.44	4372.90	2571.55	634.42	3028.47	5890.74	718.34	879.69
35	30707.42	700.82	4279.84	2564.52	696.77	2947.12	6051.47	758.57	896.83
40	31219.82	667.21	4197.25	2552.08	755.62	2870.94	6134.53	802.45	907.28
45	31711.27	632.60	4115.02	2538.20	810.75	2826.95	6171.25	859.80	920.62
50	32178.62	607.40	4059.54	2514.15	857.40	2798.72	6160.90	902.51	936.24
55	32636.58	572.25	3997.24	2491.28	896.96	2752.08	6134.59	942.33	960.63
65	33349.83	532.58	3878.43	2437.21	952.42	2687.02	6013.98	1029.37	1004.74
75	34063.85	537.65	3733.96	2398.22	1006.52	2642.47	5852.19	1112.26	989.84
85	34845.96	546.12	3711.00	2416.34	963.40	2653.83	5650.82	1099.23	868.57
105	36330.33	572.07	3639.13	2416.55	865.28	2701.69	5262.70	1060.29	680.81
125	37374.74	619.84	3532.09	2345.18	759.95	2662.97	4984.26	924.53	560.90
145	38181.58	606.52	3469.92	2235.07	655.43	2569.73	4828.17	794.08	473.00
165	38571.32	594.33	3426.80	2152.41	567.83	2456.47	4765.60	674.31	426.00
185	38874.62	569.10	3365.00	2124.74	494.66	2335.03	4719.10	578.82	394.44
205	39133.98	515.93	3283.82	2118.11	431.02	2204.76	4688.22	510.73	389.58

DISCHARGE	WETTED AREA	STEELHEAD FRY	JUVENILE	SPAWNING
4	24683.89	5179.44	5228.42	143.14
6	25537.81	5427.67	5750.13	176.15
8	26385.05	5580.25	6236.19	216.54
10	26799.32	5873.88	6828.80	296.50
15	27876.60	6032.96	8184.03	534.55
20	28671.24	5791.35	8704.76	654.99
25	29426.76	5481.57	8944.80	733.27
30	30060.46	5189.33	8996.22	814.85
35	30707.42	4892.49	8896.89	873.96
40	31219.82	4732.59	8817.32	914.90
45	31711.27	4551.06	8717.79	954.51
50	32178.62	4351.60	8595.07	990.65
55	32636.58	4186.58	8479.86	1023.73
65	33349.83	3863.58	8227.81	1086.62
75	34063.85	3585.19	7943.86	1198.76
85	34845.96	3456.63	7586.89	1222.86
105	36330.33	3144.47	7077.26	1308.10
125	37374.74	2966.28	6681.83	1297.19
145	38181.58	2879.87	6412.04	1209.32
165	38571.32	2696.62	6214.86	1061.31
185	38874.62	2498.14	6031.90	905.21
205	39133.98	2396.04	5890.64	771.18