

SB19-236

SENATE FLOOR AMENDMENT

Second Reading

BY SENATOR Fenberg

1 Amend the Transportation and Energy Committee Report, dated April 16,
2 2019, page 1, line 14, strike "SYSTEMS;" and substitute "SYSTEMS
3 CONNECTED TO THE DISTRIBUTION GRID;".

4 Page 2, strike lines 1 through 5 and substitute:

5 "(b) DEVELOP A METHODOLOGY FOR EVALUATING THE COSTS AND
6 NET BENEFITS OF USING DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCES AS NON-WIRES
7 ALTERNATIVES;

8 (c) DETERMINE A THRESHOLD FOR THE SIZE OF A NEW
9 DISTRIBUTION PROJECT, WHETHER IN DOLLARS, METERS, OR ANOTHER
10 FACTOR, AS DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION, FOR WHEN A QUALIFYING
11 RETAIL UTILITY MUST CONSIDER IMPLEMENTATION OR USE OF NON-WIRES
12 ALTERNATIVES, POTENTIALLY INCLUDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES
13 UNDER UTILITY PROGRAMS FOR NEW ELECTRIC SERVICE TO ANY PLANNED
14 NEW NEIGHBORHOODS OR HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS;

15 (d) DIRECT EACH QUALIFYING RETAIL UTILITY TO FILE A
16 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PLAN;

17 (e) DETERMINE WHAT SHALL BE INCLUDED IN A DISTRIBUTION
18 SYSTEM PLAN, WHICH AT A MINIMUM MUST INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:".

19 Page 2, strike lines 11 through 19.

20 Renumber succeeding subparagraphs accordingly.

21 Page 2, strike line 39 and substitute:

22 "(VI) A PROPOSED COST-RECOVERY METHOD OR MECHANISM FOR
23 ANY NON-WIRES INVESTMENTS FOUND TO BE OUTSIDE THE ORDINARY
24 COURSE OF BUSINESS;

25 (VII) A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFYING RETAIL UTILITY'S
26 ANTICIPATED NEW DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM EXPANSION INVESTMENTS FOR
27 THE YEARS COVERED BY THE PLAN;

28 (VIII) A PROCESS TO EVALUATE THE PLAN'S FEASIBILITY AND THE
29 ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF USING NON-WIRES ALTERNATIVES FOR CERTAIN
30 PROJECTS;

31 (IX) AN ESTIMATE OF THE YEAR IN WHICH PEAK DEMAND GROWTH
32 OR DISTRIBUTED ENERGY RESOURCE GROWTH WOULD MERIT ANALYSIS OF
33 NEW NON-WIRES ALTERNATIVE PROJECTS; AND

34 (X) ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT THE COMMISSION DEEMS".

1 Page 3, strikes line 1 through 15 and substitute "UTILITY'S INVESTMENT IN
2 NON-WIRES ALTERNATIVES IF THE COMMISSION FINDS THE INVESTMENT TO
3 BE IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.

4 (3) (a) THE COMMISSION SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER A
5 QUALIFYING RETAIL UTILITY'S RATEPAYERS WOULD REALIZE BENEFITS
6 FROM A NON-WIRES ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENT AND WHETHER THE
7 ASSOCIATED COSTS ARE JUST AND REASONABLE.

8 (b) TO EVALUATE THE SUCCESS OF ANY NON-WIRES ALTERNATIVE
9 INVESTMENT AUTHORIZED".

** ** ** ** **