



Desktop Support Benchmark

In-house/Insourced Desktop Support Organizations

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Report Contents

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| ■ Benchmarking Overview | Page 2 |
| ■ KPI Statistics and Quartiles | Page 9 |
| ■ Benchmarking Scorecard and Rankings | Page 16 |
| ■ Detailed Benchmarking Data | Page 37 |
| □ Cost Metrics | Page 38 |
| □ Quality Metrics | Page 45 |
| □ Productivity Metrics | Page 52 |
| □ Service Level Metrics | Page 65 |
| □ Technician Metrics | Page 74 |
| □ Ticket Handling Metrics | Page 87 |
| □ Workload Metrics | Page 94 |
| ■ About MetricNet | Page 103 |






Benchmarking Overview

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

The Benchmarking Methodology



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Read MetricNet's whitepaper on **Desktop Support Benchmarking**. Go to www.metricnet.com to receive your copy!



Summary of Included Benchmarking Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Cost

- Cost per Ticket
- Cost per Incident
- Cost per Service Request

Quality

- Customer Satisfaction
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- % Resolved Level 1 Capable

Ticket Handling

- Average Incident Work Time (minutes)
- Average Service Request Work Time (minutes)
- Average Travel Time per Ticket (minutes)

Service Level

- Mean Time to Resolve Incidents (working hours)
- % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Working Hours
- Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests (working days)
- % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Working Hours

Technician

- Technician Job Satisfaction
- New Technician Training Hours
- Annual Technician Training Hours
- Annual Technician Turnover
- Daily Technician Absenteeism
- Technician Tenure (months)

Productivity


- Technician Utilization
- Tickets per Technician per Month
- Incidents per Technician per Month
- Service Requests per Technician per Month
- Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount

Workload

- Tickets per Seat per Month
- Incidents per Seat per Month
- Service Requests per Seat per Month
- Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume



Tickets, Incidents, and Service Requests



- Unplanned work that requires a physical touch to a device
 - Hardware break/fix
 - Device failure
 - Connectivity failure
- Planned work that requires a physical touch to one or more devices
 - Move/Add/Change
 - Hardware or software upgrade
 - Device refresh
 - Device set-up

Incident Volume + Service Request Volume = Ticket Volume



MetricNet's Benchmarking Database is Global

More than 900 Desktop Support Benchmarks

Global Database

28 Key Performance Indicators

Nearly 80 Industry Best Practices




Characteristics of World-Class Desktop Support

- Desktop Support consistently exceeds customer expectations
 - Result is high levels of customer satisfaction
 - Mean Time to Resolve is below average for Incidents and Service Requests
- Costs are managed at or below industry average levels
 - Cost per Ticket, per Incident, and per Service Request is below average
 - Minimizes Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) for End User support
- Desktop Support follows industry best practices
 - Industry best practices are defined and documented
 - Desktop Support follows industry best practices
- Every transaction adds value
 - A positive customer experience
 - Drives a positive view of IT overall



The Goal of Benchmarking





KPI Statistics and Quartiles

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Benchmarking KPI Performance Summary

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Metric Type | Desktop Support KPI's | Benchmarking Statistics | | | |
|-----------------|--|-------------------------|---------|----------|----------|
| | | Average | Min | Median | Max |
| Cost | Cost per Ticket | \$141.02 | \$22.57 | \$106.65 | \$430.91 |
| | Cost per Incident | \$113.60 | \$22.32 | \$86.97 | \$445.66 |
| | Cost per Service Request | \$204.97 | \$22.28 | \$173.82 | \$593.29 |
| Quality | Customer Satisfaction | 27.8% | 6.2% | 30.3% | 48.3% |
| | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | 24.1% | 4.1% | 23.0% | 47.9% |
| | % Resolved Level 1 Capable | 27.0% | 2.2% | 30.8% | 48.7% |
| Productivity | Technician Utilization | 26.8% | 2.4% | 28.0% | 46.0% |
| | Tickets per Technician per Month | 245.8 | 54.9 | 265.7 | 393.4 |
| | Incidents per Technician per Month | 106.2 | 24.5 | 105.5 | 181.2 |
| | Service Requests per Technician per Month | 168.9 | 21.0 | 185.1 | 299.5 |
| | Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount | 49.6% | 30.7% | 49.5% | 69.6% |
| Service Level | Mean Time to Resolve Incidents (working hours) | 13.5 | 10.0 | 12.5 | 28.0 |
| | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 working hours | 49.7% | 30.1% | 49.6% | 67.8% |
| | Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests (working days) | 11.5 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 16.3 |
| | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 working hours | 49.7% | 30.4% | 48.1% | 69.9% |
| Technician | Technician Job Satisfaction | 48.9% | 30.0% | 47.8% | 69.2% |
| | New Technician Training Hours | 46 | 12 | 36 | 160 |
| | Annual Technician Training Hours | 18 | 12 | 13 | 59 |
| | Annual Technician Turnover | 51.6% | 30.0% | 51.0% | 69.2% |
| | Daily Technician Absenteeism | 48.4% | 30.5% | 46.9% | 69.4% |
| | Technician Tenure (months) | 22.9 | 2.7 | 22.7 | 84.9 |
| Ticket Handling | Average Incident Work Time (minutes) | 9.4 | 2.3 | 7.5 | 31.4 |
| | Average Service Request Work Time (minutes) | 23.9 | 2.0 | 20.4 | 80.1 |
| | Average Travel Time per Ticket (minutes) | 19 | 3 | 15 | 111 |
| Workload | Tickets per Seat per Month | 1.31 | 0.02 | 1.18 | 4.16 |
| | Incidents per Seat per Month | 0.80 | 0.09 | 0.68 | 3.14 |
| | Service Requests per Seat per Month | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 1.10 |
| | Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume | 50.2% | 30.3% | 50.5% | 68.2% |



Quartile Rankings: Cost and Productivity Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Cost Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|----------|---------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Cost per Ticket | \$22.57 | \$69.53 | \$106.65 | \$197.78 |
| | \$69.53 | \$106.65 | \$197.78 | \$430.91 |
| Cost per Incident | \$22.32 | \$52.08 | \$86.97 | \$133.40 |
| | \$52.08 | \$86.97 | \$133.40 | \$445.66 |
| Cost per Service Request | \$22.28 | \$93.88 | \$173.82 | \$285.98 |
| | \$93.88 | \$173.82 | \$285.98 | \$593.29 |

| Productivity Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|---|------------|-------|-------|---------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Technician Utilization | 46.0% | 33.2% | 28.0% | 21.5% |
| | 33.2% | 28.0% | 21.5% | 2.4% |
| Tickets per Technician per Month | 393.4 | 328.2 | 265.7 | 160.2 |
| | 328.2 | 265.7 | 160.2 | 54.9 |
| Incidents per Technician per Month | 181.2 | 142.6 | 105.5 | 75.2 |
| | 142.6 | 105.5 | 75.2 | 24.5 |
| Service Requests per Technician per Month | 299.5 | 244.6 | 185.1 | 78.5 |
| | 244.6 | 185.1 | 78.5 | 21.0 |
| Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount | 69.6% | 59.8% | 49.5% | 39.2% |
| | 59.8% | 49.5% | 39.2% | 30.7% |



Quartile Rankings: Service Level and Quality Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Service Level Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Mean Time to Resolve Incidents (work hours) | 10.00 10.70 | 10.70 12.50 | 12.50 14.13 | 14.13 28.00 |
| % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | 67.8% 60.0% | 60.0% 49.6% | 49.6% 39.8% | 39.8% 30.1% |
| Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests (Working Days) | 10.0 10.6 | 10.6 11.2 | 11.2 12.2 | 12.2 16.3 |
| % of Service Requests Resolved in 24 Working Hours | 69.9% 56.6% | 56.6% 48.1% | 48.1% 41.9% | 41.9% 30.4% |

| Quality Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Customer Satisfaction | 48.3% 38.1% | 38.1% 30.3% | 30.3% 17.0% | 17.0% 6.2% |
| Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | 47.9% 31.4% | 31.4% 23.0% | 23.0% 16.0% | 16.0% 4.1% |
| % Resolved Level 1 Capable | 2.2% 17.4% | 17.4% 30.8% | 30.8% 37.8% | 37.8% 48.7% |



Quartile Rankings: Technician Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Technician Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Technician Job Satisfaction | 69.2% 58.0% | 58.0% 47.8% | 47.8% 40.2% | 40.2% 30.0% |
| New Technician Training Hours | 160 61 | 61 36 | 36 20 | 20 12 |
| Annual Technician Training Hours | 59 20 | 20 13 | 13 12 | 12 12 |
| Annual Technician Turnover | 30.0% 41.2% | 41.2% 47.3% | 47.3% 53.8% | 53.8% 61.3% |
| Daily Technician Absenteeism | 30.5% 37.7% | 37.7% 46.9% | 46.9% 58.0% | 58.0% 69.4% |
| Technician Tenure (months) | 84.9 29.5 | 29.5 22.7 | 22.7 11.2 | 11.2 9.3 |



Quartile Rankings: Workload and Ticket Handling Metrics


| Workload Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Tickets per Seat per Month | 0.02 0.66 | 0.66 1.18 | 1.18 1.70 | 1.70 4.16 |
| Incidents per Seat per Month | 0.09 0.35 | 0.35 0.68 | 0.68 1.11 | 1.11 3.14 |
| Service Requests per Seat per Month | 0.02 0.14 | 0.14 0.26 | 0.26 0.49 | 0.49 1.10 |
| Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume | 68.2% 62.6% | 62.6% 50.5% | 50.5% 37.6% | 37.6% 30.3% |

| Ticket Handling Metrics | Quartile | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 1 (Top) | 2 | 3 | 4 (Bottom) |
| Average Incident Work Time (minutes) | 2.3 4.9 | 4.9 7.5 | 7.5 11.4 | 11.4 31.4 |
| Average Service Request Work Time (minutes) | 2.0 11.8 | 11.8 20.4 | 20.4 30.2 | 30.2 80.1 |
| Average Travel Time per Ticket (minutes) | 3 8 | 8 15 | 15 22 | 22 111 |



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Cost vs. Quality for North American Desktop Support





Benchmarking Scorecard and Rankings

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

The Desktop Support Scorecard: An Overview

- The Desktop Support scorecard employs a methodology that produces a single, all-inclusive measure of Desktop Support performance
- It combines cost, quality, productivity, service level, and technician metrics into an overall performance indicator for Desktop Support
- Each Desktop Support score will range between 0 and 100%, and can be compared directly to the scores of other Desktop Support organizations in the benchmark
- By computing an overall score on a monthly or quarterly basis, a Desktop Support organization can track and trend its performance over time
- Charting and tracking the Desktop Support score is an ideal way to ensure continuous improvement for Desktop Support!



The Desktop Support Scorecard*

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Performance Metric | Metric Weighting | Performance Range | | Your Actual Performance | Metric Score | Balanced Score |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | | Worst Case | Best Case | | | |
| Cost per Incident | 15.0% | \$445.66 | \$22.32 | \$113.60 | 78.4% | 11.8% |
| Cost per Service Request | 15.0% | \$593.29 | \$22.28 | \$204.97 | 68.0% | 10.2% |
| Customer Satisfaction | 20.0% | 6.2% | 48.3% | 27.8% | 51.3% | 10.3% |
| Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | 10.0% | 4.1% | 47.9% | 24.1% | 45.6% | 4.6% |
| Technician Utilization | 10.0% | 2.4% | 46.0% | 26.8% | 56.0% | 5.6% |
| % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | 10.0% | 30.1% | 67.8% | 49.7% | 52.0% | 5.2% |
| % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Hours | 10.0% | 30.4% | 69.9% | 49.7% | 48.7% | 4.9% |
| Technician Job Satisfaction | 10.0% | 30.0% | 69.2% | 48.9% | 48.2% | 4.8% |
| Total | 100.0% | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 57.3% |

Step 1
Eight critical performance metrics have been selected for the scorecard

Step 3
For each performance metric, the highest and lowest performance levels in the benchmark are recorded

Step 5
Your score for each metric is then calculated: $(\text{worst case} - \text{actual performance}) / (\text{worst case} - \text{best case}) \times 100$

Step 2
Each metric has been weighted according to its relative importance

Step 4*
Your actual performance for each metric is recorded in this column

Step 6
Your balanced score for each metric is calculated: $\text{metric score} \times \text{weighting}$


Database averages have been used in the "Your Actual Performance" column to illustrate the mechanics of how the Scorecard is calculated for each Desktop Support Group.




MetricNet

Desktop Support Balanced Score Rankings

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE! The Desktop Scorecard Can Be Used to Track and Trend Performance*



Scorecard Summary Data

- The next three pages illustrate the Balanced Scorecard performance for each Desktop Support group in the Benchmark
- The Desktop Support Groups are listed in rank order, from best (Desktop Support group #14) to worst (Desktop Support group #50) based upon their balanced scores



Desktop Support Rankings by Balanced Score

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Overall Ranking | Data Record | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 14 | \$59.03 | \$213.37 | 40.3% | 40.5% | 33.6% | 47.4% | 63.8% | 62.8% | 76.8% |
| 2 | 31 | \$29.50 | \$176.54 | 41.3% | 35.0% | 46.0% | 60.1% | 54.1% | 40.4% | 76.0% |
| 3 | 1 | \$25.66 | \$122.09 | 45.6% | 31.4% | 43.0% | 51.0% | 30.4% | 62.6% | 75.4% |
| 4 | 19 | \$89.40 | \$152.36 | 43.8% | 39.3% | 29.2% | 45.1% | 69.9% | 47.2% | 74.6% |
| 5 | 33 | \$46.57 | \$139.88 | 34.8% | 38.1% | 38.4% | 30.5% | 53.4% | 64.1% | 70.3% |
| 6 | 30 | \$76.01 | \$171.09 | 25.2% | 31.2% | 36.4% | 50.7% | 55.6% | 63.8% | 67.7% |
| 7 | 6 | \$29.32 | \$204.53 | 33.7% | 18.0% | 37.1% | 61.4% | 45.9% | 53.7% | 67.4% |
| 8 | 15 | \$82.56 | \$66.15 | 29.8% | 20.3% | 27.3% | 45.2% | 67.9% | 55.7% | 67.4% |
| 9 | 39 | \$134.67 | \$40.99 | 35.9% | 38.6% | 30.5% | 32.0% | 53.6% | 50.8% | 65.6% |
| 10 | 46 | \$76.02 | \$59.98 | 29.5% | 38.3% | 26.1% | 36.4% | 67.0% | 40.1% | 64.9% |
| 11 | 24 | \$40.69 | \$24.04 | 48.3% | 15.0% | 27.3% | 30.1% | 42.7% | 44.9% | 64.4% |
| 12 | 36 | \$22.32 | \$93.80 | 21.2% | 31.1% | 9.8% | 62.6% | 62.1% | 47.1% | 64.1% |
| 13 | 26 | \$48.35 | \$224.19 | 34.6% | 11.7% | 36.2% | 49.6% | 37.9% | 63.8% | 62.4% |
| 14 | 45 | \$96.19 | \$99.86 | 43.5% | 12.2% | 33.4% | 30.3% | 52.9% | 47.8% | 62.3% |
| 15 | 2 | \$278.00 | \$136.61 | 35.8% | 20.0% | 22.2% | 60.9% | 45.8% | 67.4% | 61.8% |
| 16 | 17 | \$38.44 | \$169.99 | 22.1% | 35.8% | 24.7% | 59.1% | 59.5% | 33.8% | 61.5% |
| 17 | 9 | \$36.30 | \$281.34 | 6.2% | 38.8% | 28.6% | 67.3% | 58.5% | 58.7% | 60.9% |
| 18 | 28 | \$106.22 | \$152.87 | 45.3% | 20.0% | 8.5% | 63.4% | 39.6% | 38.6% | 60.6% |
| 19 | 5 | \$66.57 | \$149.05 | 30.7% | 21.7% | 19.1% | 38.1% | 60.0% | 53.6% | 60.2% |
| 20 | 10 | \$59.66 | \$69.24 | 13.0% | 47.9% | 9.6% | 47.9% | 51.7% | 58.8% | 59.8% |



Desktop Support Rankings by Balanced Score (contd.)

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Overall Ranking | Data Record | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 21 | 48 | \$84.53 | \$302.62 | 44.4% | 18.7% | 32.5% | 48.7% | 47.0% | 36.5% | 59.6% |
| 22 | 29 | \$48.43 | \$74.35 | 41.5% | 26.6% | 2.4% | 42.2% | 52.0% | 32.8% | 59.0% |
| 23 | 20 | \$34.20 | \$41.49 | 18.8% | 30.1% | 32.4% | 35.1% | 37.6% | 58.3% | 58.2% |
| 24 | 44 | \$174.27 | \$94.11 | 38.4% | 10.4% | 27.1% | 33.1% | 61.3% | 47.0% | 58.1% |
| 25 | 49 | \$115.17 | \$29.37 | 13.1% | 13.7% | 43.1% | 65.6% | 54.1% | 30.5% | 56.9% |
| 26 | 23 | \$51.80 | \$161.31 | 9.0% | 20.8% | 37.3% | 49.6% | 69.3% | 43.1% | 56.8% |
| 27 | 11 | \$61.37 | \$317.90 | 23.8% | 7.8% | 18.6% | 62.8% | 46.9% | 69.2% | 56.6% |
| 28 | 18 | \$66.45 | \$244.00 | 32.3% | 23.5% | 22.6% | 39.6% | 51.3% | 46.3% | 56.0% |
| 29 | 25 | \$52.93 | \$287.52 | 8.8% | 17.0% | 31.3% | 67.8% | 56.9% | 53.8% | 55.5% |
| 30 | 16 | \$254.38 | \$335.39 | 31.0% | 15.4% | 37.6% | 59.5% | 46.8% | 58.7% | 55.3% |
| 31 | 34 | \$445.66 | \$71.72 | 46.1% | 10.3% | 25.7% | 58.3% | 42.0% | 50.2% | 55.0% |
| 32 | 38 | \$150.10 | \$411.90 | 41.4% | 30.8% | 30.7% | 59.3% | 40.0% | 30.0% | 54.7% |
| 33 | 35 | \$152.30 | \$83.74 | 27.0% | 24.9% | 38.8% | 40.5% | 34.3% | 46.0% | 54.6% |
| 34 | 12 | \$95.53 | \$22.28 | 19.0% | 21.4% | 16.8% | 48.2% | 41.9% | 52.7% | 54.2% |
| 35 | 32 | \$198.01 | \$323.83 | 37.2% | 22.2% | 31.4% | 45.9% | 44.2% | 50.3% | 54.2% |
| 36 | 7 | \$123.86 | \$201.25 | 11.2% | 38.7% | 18.4% | 63.0% | 49.3% | 47.8% | 53.7% |
| 37 | 43 | \$25.32 | \$150.03 | 17.7% | 4.1% | 20.1% | 56.5% | 44.1% | 57.2% | 53.5% |
| 38 | 13 | \$269.51 | \$223.41 | 29.4% | 12.5% | 31.6% | 67.3% | 39.7% | 50.4% | 53.0% |
| 39 | 21 | \$61.96 | \$296.26 | 39.2% | 24.0% | 7.4% | 43.2% | 46.1% | 39.8% | 52.7% |
| 40 | 37 | \$91.67 | \$397.81 | 21.4% | 28.1% | 30.5% | 56.1% | 48.3% | 45.7% | 52.3% |



Desktop Support Rankings by Balanced Score (contd.)

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Overall Ranking | Data Record | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 41 | 47 | \$149.29 | \$275.22 | 16.8% | 8.4% | 32.2% | 64.7% | 65.6% | 35.2% | 51.1% |
| 42 | 4 | \$82.45 | \$576.03 | 32.0% | 32.3% | 21.4% | 49.7% | 60.3% | 37.5% | 51.1% |
| 43 | 22 | \$127.42 | \$206.24 | 9.5% | 21.0% | 23.0% | 34.4% | 47.7% | 65.3% | 46.1% |
| 44 | 27 | \$122.18 | \$58.08 | 13.5% | 22.7% | 21.7% | 35.7% | 38.5% | 49.0% | 46.0% |
| 45 | 42 | \$285.52 | \$488.16 | 30.9% | 23.2% | 22.9% | 38.9% | 52.0% | 65.1% | 46.0% |
| 46 | 3 | \$129.51 | \$228.37 | 7.2% | 26.7% | 22.1% | 55.6% | 41.6% | 32.7% | 41.2% |
| 47 | 41 | \$191.84 | \$302.22 | 32.7% | 15.6% | 29.2% | 31.0% | 32.9% | 37.0% | 40.7% |
| 48 | 8 | \$93.02 | \$251.32 | 13.6% | 4.5% | 36.8% | 50.1% | 31.7% | 30.9% | 38.8% |
| 49 | 40 | \$129.60 | \$451.11 | 10.4% | 33.1% | 13.8% | 49.0% | 39.3% | 45.1% | 37.3% |
| 50 | 50 | \$370.46 | \$593.29 | 11.8% | 29.5% | 13.6% | 65.3% | 47.8% | 44.2% | 31.1% |
| | Average | \$113.60 | \$204.97 | 27.8% | 24.1% | 26.8% | 49.7% | 49.7% | 48.9% | 57.3% |
| | Max | \$445.66 | \$593.29 | 48.3% | 47.9% | 46.0% | 67.8% | 69.9% | 69.2% | 76.8% |
| | Min | \$22.32 | \$22.28 | 6.2% | 4.1% | 2.4% | 30.1% | 30.4% | 30.0% | 31.1% |
| | Median | \$86.97 | \$173.82 | 30.3% | 23.0% | 28.0% | 49.6% | 48.1% | 47.8% | 56.8% |



Rank Ordering of Scorecard KPI's

- The next three pages show the ranking of each KPI in the scorecard
- Each KPI is listed in rank order, from best (top row), to worst (bottom row)



Balanced Scorecard KPI Performance Rankings

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Metric Ranking | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | \$22.32 | \$22.28 | 48.3% | 47.9% | 46.0% | 67.8% | 69.9% | 69.2% | 76.8% |
| 2 | \$25.32 | \$24.04 | 46.1% | 40.5% | 43.1% | 67.3% | 69.3% | 67.4% | 76.0% |
| 3 | \$25.66 | \$29.37 | 45.6% | 39.3% | 43.0% | 67.3% | 67.9% | 65.3% | 75.4% |
| 4 | \$29.32 | \$40.99 | 45.3% | 38.8% | 38.8% | 65.6% | 67.0% | 65.1% | 74.6% |
| 5 | \$29.50 | \$41.49 | 44.4% | 38.7% | 38.4% | 65.3% | 65.6% | 64.1% | 70.3% |
| 6 | \$34.20 | \$58.08 | 43.8% | 38.6% | 37.6% | 64.7% | 63.8% | 63.8% | 67.7% |
| 7 | \$36.30 | \$59.98 | 43.5% | 38.3% | 37.3% | 63.4% | 62.1% | 63.8% | 67.4% |
| 8 | \$38.44 | \$66.15 | 41.5% | 38.1% | 37.1% | 63.0% | 61.3% | 62.8% | 67.4% |
| 9 | \$40.69 | \$69.24 | 41.4% | 35.8% | 36.8% | 62.8% | 60.3% | 62.6% | 65.6% |
| 10 | \$46.57 | \$71.72 | 41.3% | 35.0% | 36.4% | 62.6% | 60.0% | 58.8% | 64.9% |
| 11 | \$48.35 | \$74.35 | 40.3% | 33.1% | 36.2% | 61.4% | 59.5% | 58.7% | 64.4% |
| 12 | \$48.43 | \$83.74 | 39.2% | 32.3% | 33.6% | 60.9% | 58.5% | 58.7% | 64.1% |
| 13 | \$51.80 | \$93.80 | 38.4% | 31.4% | 33.4% | 60.1% | 56.9% | 58.3% | 62.4% |
| 14 | \$52.93 | \$94.11 | 37.2% | 31.2% | 32.5% | 59.5% | 55.6% | 57.2% | 62.3% |
| 15 | \$59.03 | \$99.86 | 35.9% | 31.1% | 32.4% | 59.3% | 54.1% | 55.7% | 61.8% |
| 16 | \$59.66 | \$122.09 | 35.8% | 30.8% | 32.2% | 59.1% | 54.1% | 53.8% | 61.5% |
| 17 | \$61.37 | \$136.61 | 34.8% | 30.1% | 31.6% | 58.3% | 53.6% | 53.7% | 60.9% |
| 18 | \$61.96 | \$139.88 | 34.6% | 29.5% | 31.4% | 56.5% | 53.4% | 53.6% | 60.6% |
| 19 | \$66.45 | \$149.05 | 33.7% | 28.1% | 31.3% | 56.1% | 52.9% | 52.7% | 60.2% |
| 20 | \$66.57 | \$150.03 | 32.7% | 26.7% | 30.7% | 55.6% | 52.0% | 50.8% | 59.8% |



Balanced Scorecard KPI Performance Rankings (contd.)

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Metric Ranking | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 21 | \$76.01 | \$152.36 | 32.3% | 26.6% | 30.5% | 51.0% | 52.0% | 50.4% | 59.6% |
| 22 | \$76.02 | \$152.87 | 32.0% | 24.9% | 30.5% | 50.7% | 51.7% | 50.3% | 59.0% |
| 23 | \$82.45 | \$161.31 | 31.0% | 24.0% | 29.2% | 50.1% | 51.3% | 50.2% | 58.2% |
| 24 | \$82.56 | \$169.99 | 30.9% | 23.5% | 29.2% | 49.7% | 49.3% | 49.0% | 58.1% |
| 25 | \$84.53 | \$171.09 | 30.7% | 23.2% | 28.6% | 49.6% | 48.3% | 47.8% | 56.9% |
| 26 | \$89.40 | \$176.54 | 29.8% | 22.7% | 27.3% | 49.6% | 47.8% | 47.8% | 56.8% |
| 27 | \$91.67 | \$201.25 | 29.5% | 22.2% | 27.3% | 49.0% | 47.7% | 47.2% | 56.6% |
| 28 | \$93.02 | \$204.53 | 29.4% | 21.7% | 27.1% | 48.7% | 47.0% | 47.1% | 56.0% |
| 29 | \$95.53 | \$206.24 | 27.0% | 21.4% | 26.1% | 48.2% | 46.9% | 47.0% | 55.5% |
| 30 | \$96.19 | \$213.37 | 25.2% | 21.0% | 25.7% | 47.9% | 46.8% | 46.3% | 55.3% |
| 31 | \$106.22 | \$223.41 | 23.8% | 20.8% | 24.7% | 47.4% | 46.1% | 46.0% | 55.0% |
| 32 | \$115.17 | \$224.19 | 22.1% | 20.3% | 23.0% | 45.9% | 45.9% | 45.7% | 54.7% |
| 33 | \$122.18 | \$228.37 | 21.4% | 20.0% | 22.9% | 45.2% | 45.8% | 45.1% | 54.6% |
| 34 | \$123.86 | \$244.00 | 21.2% | 20.0% | 22.6% | 45.1% | 44.2% | 44.9% | 54.2% |
| 35 | \$127.42 | \$251.32 | 19.0% | 18.7% | 22.2% | 43.2% | 44.1% | 44.2% | 54.2% |
| 36 | \$129.51 | \$275.22 | 18.8% | 18.0% | 22.1% | 42.2% | 42.7% | 43.1% | 53.7% |
| 37 | \$129.60 | \$281.34 | 17.7% | 17.0% | 21.7% | 40.5% | 42.0% | 40.4% | 53.5% |
| 38 | \$134.67 | \$287.52 | 16.8% | 15.6% | 21.4% | 39.6% | 41.9% | 40.1% | 53.0% |
| 39 | \$149.29 | \$296.26 | 13.6% | 15.4% | 20.1% | 38.9% | 41.6% | 39.8% | 52.7% |
| 40 | \$150.10 | \$302.22 | 13.5% | 15.0% | 19.1% | 38.1% | 40.0% | 38.6% | 52.3% |



Balanced Scorecard KPI Performance Rankings (contd.)


SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

| Metric Ranking | Cost per Incident | Cost per Service Request | Customer Satisfaction | Incident First Visit Resolution Rate | Technician Utilization | % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours | % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours | Technician Job Satisfaction | Balanced Score |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|----------------|
| 41 | \$152.30 | \$302.62 | 13.1% | 13.7% | 18.6% | 36.4% | 39.7% | 37.5% | 51.1% |
| 42 | \$174.27 | \$317.90 | 13.0% | 12.5% | 18.4% | 35.7% | 39.6% | 37.0% | 51.1% |
| 43 | \$191.84 | \$323.83 | 11.8% | 12.2% | 16.8% | 35.1% | 39.3% | 36.5% | 46.1% |
| 44 | \$198.01 | \$335.39 | 11.2% | 11.7% | 13.8% | 34.4% | 38.5% | 35.2% | 46.0% |
| 45 | \$254.38 | \$397.81 | 10.4% | 10.4% | 13.6% | 33.1% | 37.9% | 33.8% | 46.0% |
| 46 | \$269.51 | \$411.90 | 9.5% | 10.3% | 9.8% | 32.0% | 37.6% | 32.8% | 41.2% |
| 47 | \$278.00 | \$451.11 | 9.0% | 8.4% | 9.6% | 31.0% | 34.3% | 32.7% | 40.7% |
| 48 | \$285.52 | \$488.16 | 8.8% | 7.8% | 8.5% | 30.5% | 32.9% | 30.9% | 38.8% |
| 49 | \$370.46 | \$576.03 | 7.2% | 4.5% | 7.4% | 30.3% | 31.7% | 30.5% | 37.3% |
| 50 | \$445.66 | \$593.29 | 6.2% | 4.1% | 2.4% | 30.1% | 30.4% | 30.0% | 31.1% |
| Average | \$113.60 | \$204.97 | 27.8% | 24.1% | 26.8% | 49.7% | 49.7% | 48.9% | 57.3% |
| Max | \$445.66 | \$593.29 | 48.3% | 47.9% | 46.0% | 67.8% | 69.9% | 69.2% | 76.8% |
| Min | \$22.32 | \$22.28 | 6.2% | 4.1% | 2.4% | 30.1% | 30.4% | 30.0% | 31.1% |
| Median | \$86.97 | \$173.82 | 30.3% | 23.0% | 28.0% | 49.6% | 48.1% | 47.8% | 56.8% |




Scorecard Metrics: Cost per Incident

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: Cost per Service Request

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: Customer Satisfaction

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: Incident First Visit Resolution Rate

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: Technician Utilization

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Work Hours

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!




Scorecard Metrics: % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Scorecard Metrics: Technician Job Satisfaction

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!





Detailed Benchmarking Data

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking



Cost Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Cost Metrics: Cost per Ticket

Definition

Cost per Ticket is the total annual operating expense of Desktop Support divided by the annual number of tickets handled by Desktop Support. Operating expense includes all employee salaries, overtime pay, benefits, and incentive compensation, contractor costs, facilities expense, telecom costs, desktop computing, software licensing, training, travel, office supplies, and miscellaneous expenses.

Why it's Important

Cost per Ticket is one of the most important Desktop Support metrics. It is a measure of how efficiently Desktop Support conducts its business. A higher than average Cost per Ticket is not necessarily a bad thing, particularly if accompanied by higher than average quality levels. Conversely, a low Cost per Ticket is not necessarily good, particularly if the low cost is achieved by sacrificing quality of service. Every Desktop Support organization should track and trend Cost per Ticket on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Cost per Ticket is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Incident
- Cost per Service Request
- Technician Utilization
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Cost Metrics: Cost per Ticket



Cost Metrics: Cost per Incident

Definition

Cost per Incident is the total annual operating expense of Desktop Support multiplied by the ratio of incidents to total tickets, and then multiplied by the ratio of incident handle time to ticket handle time. Operating expense includes all employee salaries, overtime pay, benefits, and incentive compensation, contractor costs, facilities expense, telecom costs, desktop computing, software licensing, training, travel, office supplies, and miscellaneous expenses.

Why it's Important

Cost per Incident is one of the most important Desktop Support metrics. It is one of the key components of Cost per Ticket; the other being the Cost per Service Request. A higher than average Cost per Incident is not necessarily a bad thing, particularly if accompanied by higher than average quality levels. Conversely, a low Cost per Incident is not necessarily good, particularly if the low cost is achieved by sacrificing quality of service. Every Desktop Support organization should track and trend Cost per Incident on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Cost per Incident is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Cost per Service Request
- Technician Utilization
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume



Cost Metrics: Cost per Incident

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Cost Metrics: Cost per Service Request

Definition

Cost per Service Request is the total annual operating expense of Desktop Support multiplied by the ratio of service requests to total tickets, and then multiplied by the ratio of service request handle time to ticket handle time. Operating expense includes all employee salaries, overtime pay, benefits, and incentive compensation, contractor costs, facilities expense, telecom costs, desktop computing, software licensing, training, travel, office supplies, and miscellaneous expenses.

Why it's Important

Cost per Service Request is one of the most important Desktop Support metrics. It is one of the key components of Cost per Ticket; the other being the Cost per Incident. A higher than average Cost per Service Request is not necessarily a bad thing, particularly if accompanied by higher than average quality levels. Conversely, a low Cost per Service Request is not necessarily good, particularly if the low cost is achieved by sacrificing quality of service. Every Desktop Support organization should track and trend Cost per Service Request on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Cost per Service Request is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Cost per Incident
- Technician Utilization
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume



Cost Metrics: Cost per Service Request

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!





Quality Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Quality Metrics: Customer Satisfaction

Definition

Customer Satisfaction is the percentage of customers who are either satisfied or very satisfied with their Desktop Support experience. This metric can be captured in a numbers of ways including follow-up outbound calls, Email surveys that are automatically sent out by the trouble ticket system, postal surveys, etc.

Why it's Important

Customer Satisfaction is the single most important measure of Desktop Support quality. Any successful Desktop Support organization will have consistently high Customer Satisfaction ratings. Some Desktop Support managers are under the impression that a low Cost per Ticket may justify a lower level of Customer Satisfaction. But this is not true. MetricNet's research shows that even Desktop Support groups with a very low Cost per Ticket can achieve consistently high Customer Satisfaction ratings.

Key Correlations


Customer Satisfaction is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Mean Time to Resolve Incidents
- Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests



Quality Metrics: Customer Satisfaction

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Quality Metrics: Incident First Visit Resolution Rate

Definition

Incident First Visit Resolution Rate is the percentage of incidents that are resolved on the first visit to the customer. Incidents that require a second visit, or are otherwise unresolved on the first visit for any reason, do not qualify for Incident First Visit Resolution.

Why it's Important

Incident First Visit Resolution Rate is one of the biggest drivers of Customer Satisfaction. A high Incident First Visit Resolution Rate is almost always associated with high levels of Customer Satisfaction. Desktop Support groups that emphasize training and have good technology tools generally enjoy a higher than average Incident First Visit Resolution Rate.

Key Correlations


Incident First Visit Resolution Rate is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Customer Satisfaction
- New Technician Training Hours
- Annual Technician Training Hours
- Average Incident Work Time



Quality Metrics: Incident First Visit Resolution Rate

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Quality Metrics: % Resolved Level 1 Capable

Definition

% Resolved Level 1 Capable is the percentage of tickets resolved by Desktop Support that could have been resolved by the Level 1 Service Desk. This metric is generally tracked by sampling desktop tickets after the fact to determine the percentage that could have been resolved at Level 1, or by having the Desktop Support technician check a box on the trouble ticket when closing a ticket, that indicates that the ticket could have been resolved at Level 1.

Why it's Important

Tickets resolved by Desktop Support that could have been resolved by the Level 1 Service Desk represent defects. Since the cost of resolution is typically much higher at Desktop Support than it is for Level 1 support, every ticket that is unnecessarily escalated by Level 1 to Desktop Support incurs unnecessary costs. To minimize TCO for end-user support, the % Resolved Level 1 Capable should be as low as possible.

Key Correlations


% Resolved Level 1 Capable is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Average Incident Work Time
- Tickets per Seat per Month
- Incidents per Seat per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Quality Metrics: % Resolved Level 1 Capable





Productivity Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Productivity Metrics: Technician Utilization

Definition

Technician Utilization is the average time that a technician spends handling both incidents and service requests per month, divided by the number of work hours in a given month. The calculation for Technician Utilization is shown on the next page.

Why it's Important

Technician Utilization is the single most important indicator of Technician productivity. It measures the percentage of time that the average Technician is in “work mode”, and is independent of ticket work time or complexity.

Key Correlations

Technician Utilization is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Tickets per Technician per Month
- Incidents per Technician per Month
- Service Requests per Technician per Month
- Cost per Ticket
- Cost per Incident
- Cost per Service Request



Desktop Support Technician Utilization Defined

Technician Utilization =

$$\frac{\begin{aligned} &((\text{Average number of Incidents handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Incident Work Time}) + \\ &(\text{Average number of Service Requests handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Service Request Work Time}) + \\ &(\text{Average number Tickets handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Travel Time per Ticket})) \end{aligned}}{(\text{Average number of days worked in a month}) \times (\text{Number of work hours in a day}) \times (60 \text{ minutes/hr})}$$

- Technician Utilization is a measure of technician work and travel time, divided by total time at work during the month
- It takes into account both incidents and service requests handled by the technicians
- But it does not make adjustments for sick days, holidays, training time, project time, or idle time



Desktop Support Technician Utilization: Example

- Incidents per Technician per Month = 60
- Service Requests per Technician per Month = 24
- Average Tickets per Technician per Month = 84
- Average Incident Work Time = 32 minutes
- Average Service Request Work Time = 59 minutes
- Average Travel Time per Ticket = 41 minutes


$$\text{Technician Utilization} = \frac{((\text{Average number of Incidents handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Incident Work Time}) + (\text{Average number of Service Requests handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Service Request Work Time}) + (\text{Average number Tickets handled by a technician in a month}) \times (\text{Average Travel Time per Ticket}))}{(\text{Average number of days worked in a month}) \times (\text{Number of work hours in a day}) \times (60 \text{ minutes/hr})}$$

$$\text{Technician Utilization} = \frac{((60 \text{ Incidents per Month}) \times (32 \text{ minutes}) + (24 \text{ Service Requests per Month}) \times (59 \text{ minutes}) + (84 \text{ Tickets per Month}) \times (41 \text{ minutes}))}{(21.5 \text{ working days per month}) \times (7.5 \text{ work hours per day}) \times (60 \text{ minutes/hr})} = \text{70\% Technician Utilization}$$



Productivity Metrics: Technician Utilization

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!
Technician Utilization



Productivity Metrics: Tickets per Technician per Month

Definition

Tickets per Technician per Month is the average monthly ticket volume divided by the average Full Time Equivalent (FTE) technician headcount. Ticket volume includes both incidents and service requests. Technician headcount is the average FTE number of employees and contractors handling Desktop Support tickets.

Why it's Important

Tickets per Technician per Month is an important indicator of technician productivity. A low number could indicate low Technician Utilization, poor scheduling efficiency or schedule adherence, or a higher than average Ticket Handle Time. Conversely, a high number of technician handled tickets may indicate high Technician Utilization, good scheduling efficiency and schedule adherence, or a lower than average Ticket Handle Time. Every Desktop Support group should track and trend this metric on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Tickets per Technician per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Technician Utilization
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket



Productivity Metrics: Tickets per Technician per Month

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Productivity Metrics: Incidents per Technician per Month

Definition

Incidents per Technician per Month is the average monthly incident volume divided by the average Full Time Equivalent (FTE) technician headcount. Technician headcount is the average FTE number of employees and contractors handling Desktop Support tickets.

Why it's Important

Incidents per Technician per Month is an important indicator of technician productivity. A low number could indicate low Technician Utilization, poor scheduling efficiency or schedule adherence, or a higher than average Incident Handle Time. Conversely, a high number of technician handled incidents may indicate high Technician Utilization, good scheduling efficiency and schedule adherence, or a lower than average Incident Handle Time. Every Desktop Support group should track and trend this metric on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Incidents per Technician per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Technician Utilization
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume



Productivity Metrics: Incidents per Technician per Month

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Productivity Metrics: Service Requests per Technician per Month

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Definition

Service Requests per Technician per Month is the average monthly service request volume divided by the average Full Time Equivalent (FTE) technician headcount. Technician headcount is the average FTE number of employees and contractors handling Desktop Support tickets.

Why it's Important

Service Requests per Technician per Month is an important indicator of technician productivity. A low number could indicate low Technician Utilization, poor scheduling efficiency or schedule adherence, or a higher than average Service Request Handle Time. Conversely, a high number of technician handled service requests may indicate high Technician Utilization, good scheduling efficiency and schedule adherence, or a lower than average Service Request Handle Time. Every Desktop Support group should track and trend this metric on a monthly basis.

Key Correlations


Service Requests per Technician per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Technician Utilization
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume



Productivity Metrics: Service Requests per Technician per Month

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!
Service Requests per Technician per Month



Productivity Metrics: Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Definition

This metric is the Full Time Equivalent technician headcount divided by the total Desktop Support headcount. It is expressed as a percentage, and represents the percentage of total Desktop Support personnel who are engaged in direct customer support activities.

Why it's Important

The Ratio of Technicians to Total Desktop Support Headcount is an important measure of management and overhead efficiency. Since non-technicians include both management and non-management personnel (e.g., supervisors and team leads, QA/QC, trainers, etc.), this metric is not a pure measure of management span of control. It is, however, a more useful metric than management span of control because the denominator of this ratio takes into account all personnel that are not directly engaged in customer support activities.

Key Correlations


Technicians as a % of Total Headcount is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Cost per Incident
- Cost per Service Request



Productivity Metrics: Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!
Ratio of Technicians to Total Headcount





Service Level Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Service Level Metrics: Mean Time to Resolve Incidents

Definition

The Mean Time to Resolve Incidents is the average number of working hours that elapse from the time an incident is reported, until the time the incident is closed. Non working hours are not included in the calculation. If, for example, an incident is reported at 3:00 pm on a Tuesday, and the ticket is closed at 3:00 pm on Wednesday, the MTTR will be 8 hours, not 24 hours.

Why it's Important

Service levels, including the MTTR for incidents and service requests, is a key driver of customer satisfaction with Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


Mean Time to Resolve Incidents is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Hours



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Service Level Metrics: Mean Time to Resolve Incidents



Service Level Metrics: % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Hours

Definition

The % of Incidents Resolved in 8 hours is fairly self-explanatory. 8 hours refers to 8 working hours, not 8 clock hours. So, for example, an incident that is reported at 1:00 pm on a Friday will be resolved in 8 hours if the ticket is closed by 1:00 pm on a Monday.

Why it's Important

Service levels, including the % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Hours, is a key driver of customer satisfaction with Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


% of Incidents Resolved in 8 Hours is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Mean Time to Resolve Incidents



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Service Level Metrics: % of Incidents Resolved in 8 Hours



Service Level Metrics: Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Definition

The Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests is the average number of working days that elapse from the time a service request is logged, until the time the service request is completed. Non working days are not included in the calculation. If, for example, a service request is logged at 3:00 pm on a Friday, and the ticket is closed at 3:00 pm on Tuesday, the MTTF will be 2 working days, not 4 working days.

Why it's Important

Service levels, including the MTTF for service requests and incidents, is a key driver of customer satisfaction with Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Hours



Service Level Metrics: Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!
Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests (Days)



Service Level Metrics: % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Definition

The % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Hours is fairly self-explanatory. 24 hours refers to 24 working hours, not 24 clock hours. So, for example, a Service Request that is logged at 1:00 pm on a Friday will be fulfilled in 24 hours if the request is fulfilled by 1:00 pm the following Wednesday.

Why it's Important

Service levels, including the % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Hours, is a key driver of customer satisfaction with Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


% of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Hours is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Average Travel Time per Ticket
- Mean Time to Fulfill Service Requests



Scorecard Metrics: % of Service Requests Fulfilled in 24 Work Hours

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!





Technician Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Technician Metrics: Technician Job Satisfaction

Definition

Technician Job Satisfaction is the percent of Technicians in Desktop Support that are either satisfied or very satisfied with their jobs.

Why it's Important

Technician Job Satisfaction is a proxy for technician morale. And morale, while difficult to measure, is a bellwether metric that affects almost every other metric in Desktop Support. High performance Desktop Support groups almost always have high levels of Technician Job Satisfaction. Perhaps more importantly, this metric can be controlled and improved through training, coaching, and career pathing.

Key Correlations


Technician Job Satisfaction is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Annual Technician Turnover
- Customer Satisfaction
- Daily Technician Absenteeism
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Technician Training Hours
- Average Incident Work Time
- Technician Coaching Hours
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Cost per Ticket



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Technician Metrics: Technician Job Satisfaction



Technician Metrics: New Technician Training Hours

Definition

The name of this metric is somewhat self explanatory. New Technician Training Hours is the number of training hours (including classroom, CBT, self-study, shadowing, being coached, and OJT) that a new technician receives before he/she is allowed to handle desktop support tickets independently.

Why it's Important

New Technician Training Hours are strongly correlated with Customer Satisfaction and Incident First Visit Resolution Rate, particularly during a technician's first few months on the job. The more training a new technician receives, the higher the Customer Satisfaction and Incident First Visit Resolution Rate will typically be. Perhaps most importantly, training levels have a strong impact on technician morale: Technicians who receive more training typically have higher levels of job satisfaction.

Key Correlations


New Technician Training Hours are strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Technician Job Satisfaction



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Technician Metrics: New Technician Training Hours



Technician Metrics: Annual Technician Training Hours

Definition

Annual Technician Training Hours is the average number of training hours (including classroom, CBT, self-study, shadowing, etc.) that a technician receives on an annual basis. This number includes any training hours that a technician receives that are not part of the technician's initial (new technician) training, but it does not include routine team meetings, shift handoffs, or other activities that do not involve formal training.

Why it's Important

Annual Technician Training Hours are strongly correlated with Incident First Visit Resolution Rate, and Customer Satisfaction. Perhaps most importantly, training levels have a strong impact on technician morale: technicians who train more typically have higher levels of job satisfaction.

Key Correlations


Annual Technician Training Hours are strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Customer Satisfaction
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Technician Job Satisfaction



Technician Metrics: Annual Technician Training Hours

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Technician Metrics: Annual Technician Turnover

Definition

Annual Technician Turnover is the percentage of Technicians that leave Desktop Support, for any reason (voluntarily or involuntarily), on an annual basis.

Why it's Important

Technician turnover is costly. Each time a technician leaves Desktop Support, a new technician must be hired to replace the outgoing tech. This results in costly recruiting, hiring, and training expenses. Additionally, it is typically several weeks or even months before a technician is fully productive, so there is lost productivity associated with technician turnover as well. High technician turnover is generally associated with low technician morale in Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


Annual Technician Turnover is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Daily Technician Absenteeism
- Annual Technician Training Hours
- Customer Satisfaction
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Cost per Ticket
- Technician Job Satisfaction



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Technician Metrics: Annual Technician Turnover



Technician Metrics: Daily Technician Absenteeism

Definition

Daily Technician Absenteeism is the average percentage of Technicians with an unexcused absence on any given day. It is calculated by dividing the number of absent technicians by the total number of technicians who are scheduled to be at work.

Why it's Important

High Technician Absenteeism is problematic because it makes it difficult for Desktop Support to schedule resources efficiently. High absenteeism can severely impact Desktop Support's operating performance, and increase the likelihood that service level targets will be missed. MTTR for Incidents, and MTTF for Service Requests will typically suffer when absenteeism is high. Also, chronically high absenteeism is often a sign of low technician morale.

Key Correlations


Daily Technician Absenteeism is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Annual Technician Turnover
- Technician Job Satisfaction
- Technician Utilization
- Cost per Ticket
- Tickets per Technician per Month



Technician Metrics: Daily Technician Absenteeism

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



Technician Metrics: Technician Tenure

Definition

Technician Tenure is the average number of months that Technicians have worked in a Desktop Support group.

Why it's Important

Technician Tenure is a measure of technician experience. Virtually every metric related to Desktop Support cost and quality is impacted by the level of experience the technicians have.

Key Correlations


Technician tenure is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate
- Customer Satisfaction
- Annual Technician Turnover
- Training Hours
- Coaching Hours
- Average Incident Work Time
- Average Service Request Work Time
- Technician Job Satisfaction



Technician Metrics: Technician Tenure

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!





Ticket Handling Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Incident Work Time

Definition

Average Incident Work Time is the average time that a technician spends to resolve an incident. This does not include travel time to and from the customer, or time between visits if multiple visits are required to the user's desktop to resolve an incident. It includes only the time that a technician spends actually working on an incident.

Why it's Important

Incident Work Time is one of the basic units of work in Desktop Support. Average Incident Work Time, therefore, represents the amount of labor required to resolve one incident.

Key Correlations


Average Incident Work Time is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Incident
- Incidents per Technician per Month
- Incident First Visit Resolution Rate



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Incident Work Time



Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Service Request Work Time

Definition

Average Service Request Work Time is the average time that a technician spends to resolve a service request. This does not include travel time to and from the customer, or time between visits if multiple visits are required to fulfill a service request. It includes only the time that a technician spends actually fulfilling a service request.

Why it's Important

Service Request Work Time is one of the basic units of work in Desktop Support. Average Service Request Work Time, therefore, represents the amount of labor required to fulfill one service request.

Key Correlations


Average Service Request Work Time is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Service Request
- Service Requests per Technician per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Service Request Work Time



Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Travel Time per Ticket

Definition

Average Travel Time per Ticket is the average round trip travel time to get to and from the site of a user or device being serviced. In a high density user environment (e.g., high rise office building) the Travel Time per Ticket will typically be less than 20 minutes. By contrast, in a more distributed user environment (e.g., field or campus locations), the Travel Time per Ticket will be correspondingly longer.

Why it's Important

Unlike the Level 1 Service Desk where support is provided remotely, Desktop Support, by definition, requires onsite support. Getting to and from the site of a ticket can be very time consuming, and will influence the number of tickets a technician can handle in a day or a month. This, in turn, influences the level of staffing required in the Desktop Support organization.

Key Correlations


Average Travel Time per Ticket is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Number of Tickets per Technician per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Ticket Handling Metrics: Average Travel Time per Ticket





Workload Metrics

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Workload Metrics: Tickets per Seat per Month

Definition

Tickets per Seat per Month is a measure of the volume of Desktop Support work generated by a given user population. The number of Tickets per Seat per Month can vary dramatically from one organization to another, driven by factors such as the age of devices being supported, the number of mobile devices, the location of users (office, home, field), the number of laptop computers, and myriad other factors.

Why it's Important

The number of Tickets per Seat per Month will drive the workload, and hence the staffing for a Desktop Support group. Desktop Support staffing decisions should be based on this metric, rather than the number of users being supported.

Key Correlations


Tickets per Seat per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Incidents per Seat per Month
- Service Requests per Seat per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Workload Metrics: Tickets per Seat per Month



Workload Metrics: Incidents per Seat per Month

Definition

Incidents per Seat per Month is a key measure of the volume of Desktop Support work generated by a given user population. The number of Incidents per Seat per Month can vary dramatically from one organization to another, driven by factors such as the age of devices being supported, the number of mobile devices, the location of users (office, home, field), the number of laptop computers, and myriad other factors.

Why it's Important

The number of Incidents per Seat per Month is a major workload driver, and will therefore have a strong impact on staffing decisions for Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


Incidents per Seat per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Tickets per Seat per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Workload Metrics: Incidents per Seat per Month



Workload Metrics: Service Requests per Seat per Month

Definition

Service Requests per Seat per Month is a key measure of the volume of Desktop Support work generated by a given user population. The number of Service Requests per Seat per Month can vary dramatically from one organization to another, driven by factors such as the number of move/add/change requests, the age of devices being supported, the location of users (office, home, field), the frequency of device refreshes, and myriad other factors.

Why it's Important

The number of Service Requests per Seat per Month is a major workload driver, and will therefore have a strong impact on staffing decisions for Desktop Support.

Key Correlations


Service Requests per Seat per Month is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Tickets per Seat per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Workload Metrics: Service Requests per Seat per Month



Workload Metrics: Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume

Definition

Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume is a fairly self-explanatory metric. It is an indicator of the mix of work (Incidents vs. Service Requests) handled by a Desktop Support group. Most Desktop Support organizations receive more incidents than service requests. Since incidents are generally less costly to resolve than service requests, the higher the number of Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume, the lower the Cost per Ticket will be.

Why it's Important

Incidents are generally unplanned work (e.g., device break/fix), while the majority of service requests are planned work (e.g., move/add/change). Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume is therefore a measure of the percentage of Desktop Support work that is made up of unplanned work (incidents).

Key Correlations


Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume is strongly correlated with the following metrics:

- Cost per Ticket
- Tickets per Technician per Month



SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!

Workload Metrics: Incidents as a % of Total Ticket Volume





About MetricNet: *Your Benchmarking Partner*

SAMPLE REPORT ONLY: DATA IS NOT ACCURATE!



MetricNet™
Performance Benchmarking

Benchmarking is MetricNet's Core Business

Information Technology

- Desktop Support
- Service Desk
- Customer Satisfaction

Call Centers

- Technical Support
- Customer Service
- Telemarketing/Telesales
- Collections

Satisfaction

- Customer Satisfaction
- Employee Satisfaction



MetricNet's Benchmarking Database is Global

More than 900 Desktop Support Benchmarks

Global Database

28 Key Performance Indicators

Nearly 80 Industry Best Practices



You Can Reach MetricNet...

By Phone...

703-992-7559

On Our Website...

www.metricnet.com

Or E-mail us...

info@metricnet.com





Thank You!

**We look forward
to serving you!**

