The Return on Investment of Interprofessional Education on Practice: Barriers to Success

CAB-V, Roanoke VA September 2015 Betty Cragg, Wilma Jelley & Dawn Burnett

Return on Investment Indicators

- IPE and IPC:A norm of institutional
 - culture
- Internally funded
- Sustained over time



NHS Sustainability Factors



Background

Variety of approaches to IPE in Canadian universities/colleges

- E.g.: "Pain week," common courses, simulation activities, clinical placements with IP expectations, certification recognizing IP activities
- Most originally funded by external grants, then taken over internally



Background

- Qualitative study proposed to explore recent graduates' perceptions of IPE on professional practice
- Plan to take results to create a survey instrument
- Largest hospital in Ontario yielded minimal volunteers for interviews
- Reflection on present situation of IPE/IPC in our region

2007

- Academic Health Centre (AHC) established to encourage and support regional IPE and IPC activities
- Governing partnership:
 - 2 colleges with health sciences programs
 - Faculties of Medicine and Health Sciences at the local university
- HealthForceOntario funding was available for IPE and IPC projects

2009

- AHC received over \$1 million from HealthForceOntario for various IPE and IPC projects regionally
- AHC supported multiple projects at college and university levels
- E.g.: rural IP clinical placements; university-based IP health care clinic; Team OSCE's; etc.



2010/2011

- Funding from Health Canada to establish Centre of Excellence in IP
 - Development of educational modules to promote IP in 5 local health centers
 - Development of Learning Resources Repository in French and English to support IPE and IPC



2012 - 2014

- AHC support of projects to embed IP in curriculum of partner institutions
- AHC continued with reduced staff to encourage, organize, and host regional IPE and IPC activities
- IP Certificate developed to recognize health sciences students gaining IP competencies



2015

- AHC was closed June 2014
- Individual health science programs now responsible for organizing and supporting IPE
- Challenges:
 - scheduling
 - making IPE a priority
 - recognition for IPE
 - leadership
- Some programs succeed in promoting JPE and some not



What happened?

- Federal and provincial funding ended
- Champions retired, moved on, or burnt out.
- Few new IPE champions
- Changes in leadership in educational institutions reduced focus on IP

What happened?

- Budget reductions in educational and clinical institutions
- Few new graduates hired
 - in hospitals casual or part time positions
 - In community uniprofessional clinics
- Administrators set new project priorities

Student Input (2014)

- Students (17) from 4 health programs views on worthwhile IPE:
 - Finding out the roles and responsibilities of other professions in the real context
 - Attending team conferences and participating in patient and family meetings with other professions
 - Shadowing a team member from another profession
 - Watching and listening to other health professionals to understand the professions' approach to practice

Signs of value for money

- IP practice accepted as how care is provided
- Seamless patient handoffs among professionals, units, and institutions
- Limited infrastructure required
- IP sustained without external grants
- IP not dependent on a few champions
- Students learn IP in the clinical setting

To sustain IP in current climate

- What can you afford to maintain or scale down?
- What supports do IP learners need?
- What should learners/facilitators be responsible for?
- Which placements provide the best learning experiences for students?
- Over time, can you afford a Cadillac, a Smart Car, or a bicycle?

Thank you

Comments? Your experiences?

Betty Cragg, RN EdD <u>bcragg@uottawa.ca</u> Wilma Jelley, PT MEd <u>wjelley@sympatico.ca</u> Dawn Burnett, PhD <u>dawnlburnett@sympatico.ca</u>