Evaluation of Presenter 2

| Presenter Name: | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----|------------|---|
| Topic:Date: | | | | |
| Evaluator Name: | | | | |
| Content: | | | | |
| Was sufficient background information presented? | 000r 1 2 | | excel 4 | |
| Were there clearly stated hypotheses or questions? | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Was there a critical evaluation/explanation of the methods | | | | |
| or experimental model? | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were figures and data explained well? | .1 2 | . 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Was each experiment's relevance expressed? | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Was the work summarized? | .1 2 | . 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Did the presenter identify future directions for this topic? | .1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were competing explanations or theories considered and dealt | | | | |
| with properly? | .1 2 | . 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were any "bonus materials" discovered and used effectively? | 1 2 | . 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Presentation: | | | | |
| Was the presentation organized in a clear, orderly fashion? | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Did the presenter manage his/her allotted time well? | .1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were slides/handouts appropriate and helpful to the audience? | .1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Was the presenter responsive to audience questions? | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Were the presentation and the speaker well prepared? | .1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Overall impression: | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Comments: | | | | |

Constructive critique: