

Evaluation of Introduction

Presenter Name: _____

Topic: _____ **Date:** _____

Evaluator Name: _____

Content:

| | poor | | | excellent |
|--|------|---|---|-----------|
| Was sufficient background information presented?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Was there a thoughtful, complete “set up” for the next presentation?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Was there a critical evaluation/explanation of the methods or experimental model?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Were slides explained well?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Was the general relevance of the topic described?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Were any special methods explained?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Did the presenter introduce special models, proteins, theories or terms needed to understand the topic?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Did the presenter discover and use any new “bonus materials,” figures, papers or other teaching tools?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |

Presentation:

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|
| Was the presentation organized in a clear, orderly fashion?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Did the presenter manage his/her allotted time well?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Were slides/handouts appropriate and helpful to the audience?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Was the presenter responsive to audience questions?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Were the presentation and the speaker well prepared?..... | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |
| Was the presenter active, engaged, connected with the audience? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 5 |

Overall impression:.....1 2 3 4 5

Comments

Constructive critique: