Loss of FBP1 by Snail-Mediated Repression Provides Metabolic Advantages in Basal-like Breast Cancer
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SUMMARY

The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) enhances cancer invasiveness and confers tumor cells with cancer stem cell (CSC)-like characteristics. We show that the Snail-G9a-Dnmt1 complex, which is critical for E-cadherin promoter silencing, is also required for the promoter methylation of fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (FBP1) in basal-like breast cancer (BLBC). Loss of FBP1 induces glycolysis and results in increased glucose uptake, macromolecule biosynthesis, formation of tetrameric PKM2, and maintenance of ATP production under hypoxia. Loss of FBP1 also inhibits oxygen consumption and reactive oxygen species production by suppressing mitochondrial complex I activity; this metabolic reprogramming results in an increased CSC-like property and tumorigenicity by enhancing the interaction of β-catenin with T-cell factor. Our study indicates that the loss of FBP1 is a critical oncogenic event in EMT and BLBC.

INTRODUCTION

The increased motility and invasiveness of metastatic tumor cells are reminiscent of the events that occur at the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a characteristic of embryonic development, tissue remodeling, and wound healing (Polyak and Weinberg, 2009; Thiery et al., 2009). EMT also bestows tumor cells with cancer stem cell (CSC)-like characteristics, providing them with therapeutic resistance and conferred tumor recurrence. Although metabolism plays a fundamental role in essentially every function of a cell, little is known about how the cell’s metabolism contributes to the morphological and molecular changes in EMT. Understanding the causes and consequences of altered metabolism, particularly glucose, in EMT may permit the identification of drug targets for treating metastatic breast cancer.

Glucose homeostasis is reciprocally controlled by the catabolic glycolysis/oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and the

Significance

BLBC is associated with an aggressive clinical history, development of recurrence, distant metastasis, and shorter patient survival. BLBC contains abundant EMT markers and possesses many CSC-like characteristics, but the metabolic program associates with these changes remain unknown. We showed that the Snail-G9a-Dnmt1 complex repressed FBP1 expression in BLBC; this results in increased CSC-like characteristics and tumorigenicity by enhancing aerobic glycolysis and by suppressing ROS production. This metabolic reprogramming is intertwined with the development of BLBC, because loss of FBP1 is required for EMT induction, the conversion from luminal to basal-like phenotype, and is associated with poor patient survival. Our study suggests that targeting the Snail complex may be an effective approach for treating BLBC.
anabolic gluconeogenesis pathway. In the catabolic reaction, glucose is converted to pyruvate in the absence of oxygen, which can be further metabolized to lactate in the cytoplasm (glycolysis). In the presence of oxygen, pyruvate is channeled to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to fuel OXPHOS for the maximal ATP production in the mitochondria. Otto Warburg noticed that some tumor cells preferentially metabolize glucose to lactate in the presence of ample oxygen, a process called aerobic glycolysis (Koppenol et al., 2011). Activation of several oncogenes contributes to the Warburg effect in tumor cells. For example, AKT1 stimulates glucose uptake by enhancing Glu4 expression and by activating hexokinase (Elstrom et al., 2004; Robey and Hay, 2009). Activation of Myc also induces glycolysis by inducing LDH-A and PDK1 expression, which inhibits the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and facilitates glycolysis by inducing LDH-A and PDK1 expression, which inhibits the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and facilitates aerobic glycolysis (Dang et al., 2008). Tumor cells can increase an embryonic form of pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) to trigger glycolysis in lung cancer (Christofk et al., 2008).

Much attention has focused on regulation of the switch pathway of glucose. Gluconeogenesis is less investigated and may play an equally important role in the switch to aerobic glycolysis in tumor cells. Fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP1), which catalyzes the splitting of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (F-1,6-BP) into fructose 6-phosphate and inorganic phosphate, is a rate-limiting enzyme in gluconeogenesis. An autosomal recessive inherited disorder of FBP1 deficiency is characterized by hypoglycemia and lactic acidosis, which often causes sudden infant death (Emery et al., 1988). This suggests that loss of FBP1 increases glucose uptake and glycolysis, leading to hypoglycemia and lactic acidosis in patients. Consistent with these observations, inhibition of FBP1 significantly increases glucose sensitivity and utilization in type 2 diabetic mouse models (van Poeijje et al., 2006). Interestingly, loss of FBP1 expression due to promoter DNA methylation has been observed in liver, colon, and gastric cancers (Chen et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2010), suggesting that epigenetic regulation of FBP1 plays a critical role in modulating glucose metabolism in cancer.

Breast cancer can be divided into four subtypes based on gene expression profiling: luminal A, luminal B, HER2, and basal-like (BLBC). BLBC is defined by expression of markers characteristic of basal/myoepithelial cells and is identified as a subgroup of breast cancers that may originate from undifferentiated stem cells (Polyak, 2011). Consistent with this notion, BLBC contains many EMT markers and CSC-like characteristics. We recently showed that Snail interacted with H3K9 methyltransferase G9a and DNA methyltransferase Dnmt1 to silence E-cadherin expression in BLBC cells (Dong et al., 2012). We carried out this study to identify other targets regulated by the Snail-G9a-Dnmt1 complex and investigate their contributions to BLBC.

**RESULTS**

**FBP1 Expression Is Inversely Correlated with Snail in Breast Cancer**

To identify potential targets regulated by the Snail-G9a-Dnmt1 complex, we performed microarray analysis in MDA-MB231 cells with knockdown of G9a (GSE34925). Similar to E-cadherin, FBP1 mRNA was greatly elevated after knockdown of G9a. FBP1 has been identified as a marker to distinguish estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast cancer from ER-negative subtype (van’t Veer et al., 2002). To reveal the potential function of FBP1 in breast cancer, we analyzed FBP1 expression in five gene expression data sets and noticed that FBP1 expression positively correlated with ERα expression (Figure S1A available online). In two data sets (NKL295 and GSE1456) with information on breast cancer subtypes, we found FBP1 expression was high in luminal subtype and significantly lower in BLBC (Figure 1A). Using immunohistochemistry staining, we also found that FBP1 expression positively correlated with ERα expression (Figures 1B and S1B). This correlation was further confirmed using immunoblotting. We found that FBP1 and ERα were highly expressed in luminal subtype but lowly expressed in triple-negative breast cancer, which are mostly also BLBC (Figure S1C; six samples from both cases are shown in Figure 1C). Consistent with the notion that BLBC express EMT molecules, Snail protein level was high in triple-negative breast cancer, and its level inversely correlated with expression of FBP1, ERα, and E-cadherin (Figures 1C and S1C). Furthermore, we examined the expression of FBP1, ERα, and Snail in breast cancer cell lines. BLBC cells lose expression of luminal epithelial molecules (ERα and E-cadherin) and contain high levels of mesenchymal markers (vimentin, N-cadherin, and Snail). Similar to E-cadherin and ERα, FBP1 was high in luminal cell lines and absent in BLBC cell lines (Figure 1D).

**FBP1 Is a Direct Target of Snail**

To investigate the causal relationship between Snail and FBP1, we expressed Snail in two luminal breast cancer cell lines. Expression of Snail downregulated E-cadherin expression, induced EMT, and converted luminal cells into basal-like phenotype (Dhasarathy et al., 2011) (Figures 2A–2D). This phenotypic conversion is associated with the loss of luminal markers and gain of basal markers (Figures 2D and S2). Similar to E-cadherin, Snail almost completely suppressed FBP1 expression in these cells (Figures 2B–2D). To examine whether FBP1 repression is required for Snail-mediated EMT and basal-like phenotype conversion, Snail was co-expressed with exogenous FBP1 (under the control of CMV promoter and thus not repressed by Snail) in luminal cells (Figure 2A). Ectopic FBP1 expression blocked the downregulation of E-cadherin, inhibited morphological changes indicative of EMT, and suppressed the basal-like phenotype conversion in these cells (Figures 2B, 2D, and S2), indicating that FBP1 repression is required for this event.

We noticed that the FBP1 promoter contained nine consensus Snail-binding E-boxes (CAGGGT) (Figure 2E). We cloned FBP1 promoter (FL1 = −1669 to +331 bp) and generated several deletion mutants of promoter-luciferase constructs based on the location of these E-boxes. By expressing a full-length FBP1 promoter reporter (FL1) in HEK293, HeLa, and MCF7 cells, we found Snail significantly repressed FBP1 promoter activity (Figure 2F). When the E-box at −1273 bp was deleted (FL1 versus FL2), we did not notice any changes in Snail-mediated FBP1 promoter-luciferase repression (Figure 2G), suggesting that the E-box at −1273 is not critical for Snail-mediated FBP1 repression. However, two E-boxes upstream of transcriptional starting site (TSS) (−657 and −358 bp) as well as six consecutive
E-boxes between TSS and ATG sites were important for Snail-mediated FBP1 repression, as deletion constructs (FL3 and FL4) that removed these two regions separately became less sensitive to Snail-mediated repression (Figure 2H). Mutations on these E-boxes almost completely abolished Snail-mediated repression on FBP1 promoter luciferase (Figure 2H).

To examine whether Snail binds to FBP1 promoter, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) by using three sets of primers (Figure 3A). Primer set 2, which covers nucleotides from -369 to -161, worked effectively and thus was used for the subsequent ChIP experiments. In cells that had undergone Snail-mediated EMT (Figure 2B), we found that Snail was associated with the FBP1 promoter (Figure 3B). In addition, we found endogenous Snail bound to FBP1 promoter in BLBC cells but not luminal cell lines (Figure 3C). These results indicate that FBP1 is a direct target of Snail.

Figure 1. FBP1 Expression Inversely Correlates with Snail in Breast Cancer
(A) Box-plots indicate FBP1 expression in different subtypes of breast cancer.
(B) Statistical analysis of 150 cases of breast tumor samples immunostained using antibodies against FBP1, ER-α, and a control serum.
(C) Expression of FBP1, ER-α, and Snail were analyzed on fresh frozen tumor samples from six cases of luminal and six cases of triple-negative breast cancer.
(D) Expression of FBP1, ER-α, E-cadherin, Snail, and other EMT markers was determined by western blotting on five luminal and six BLBC cell lines (MDA-MB231, MDA-MB435, MDA-MB157, and MDA-MB361 are abbreviated to MDA231, MDA435, MDA157, and MDA361 in all figures).

See also Figure S1.
Loss of FBP1 Expression Is Associated with Elevated H3K9me2 and DNA Methylation at the FBP1 Promoter

We hypothesized that the Snail-G9a-Dnmts complex also binds to the FBP1 promoter and is responsible for FBP1 repression in BLBC. In three luminal cell lines that had undergone Snail-mediated EMT, we found that downregulation of FBP1 was associated with an increased G9a and H3K9me2 and decreased occupancy of Snail and G9a at the FBP1 promoter (Figure 3B). We also found that FBP1 promoter was completely unmethylated in vector control lines; however, de novo DNA methylation occurred at the FBP1 promoter in cells that had undergone Snail-mediated EMT (Figure 3B). The increased G9a association, H3K9me2, and DNA methylation on FBP1 promoter correlated well with the downregulation of FBP1 in these luminal cell lines (Figure 3B).

We also detected a dramatic increase of H3K9me2 and decrease of H3K9ac on the FBP1 promoter in six BLBC cell lines compared to those in five luminal cell lines (Figure 3C). The increase of H3K9me2 at the FBP1 promoter was likely due to the association of the Snail-G9a-Dnmts complex because the occupancy of Snail and G9a at the FBP1 promoter was also significantly higher in BLBC cell lines than in luminal cell lines (Figure 3C). Consistently, all BLBC cell lines showed a significant increase of DNA methylation on the FBP1 promoter, whereas no detectable FBP1 promoter DNA methylation in luminal cell lines was observed (Figure 3C). When analyzing 25 cases of luminal and 16 cases of triple-negative breast tumor tissues (Figure S1C), we found that the association of G9a and the level of H3K9me2 and DNA methylation on the FBP1 promoter were significantly increased in triple-negative breast cancer compared with the luminal subtype (Figure 3D). Knockdown of G9a in MDA-MB231 cells increased the mRNA and protein levels of FBP1 in a way similar to that of E-cadherin (Figure 3E). Knockdown of Snail also increased the expression of FBP1 and E-cadherin in MDA-MB231 cells (Figure S3). Together, these results indicate that the association of Snail and G9a and the corresponding increased H3K9me2 and DNA methylation on FBP1 promoter are critical for the silencing of FBP1 expression in BLBC.

FBP1 Inhibits Glucose Uptake and Sensitivity in BLBC Cells

To examine the function of FBP1 in breast cancer, we established stable clones with FBP1 expression or knockdown in six BLBC and two luminal breast cancer cell lines, respectively (Figure 4A). We first measured glucose uptake and found that FBP1 expression significantly decreased glucose uptake in BLBC cell lines, whereas knockdown of FBP1 enhanced glucose uptake in luminal cell lines (Figure 4B). Intracellular glucose is sensed by MondoA and ChREBP (Li et al., 2006). Following an increase in intracellular glucose-derived metabolites, MondoA and ChREBP shuttle to the nucleus, where they interact with Mix and activate transcription of target genes. TXNIP is a major direct target of the MondoA-Mix complex and is commonly used as an intracellular glucose sensor (Peterson et al., 2010). We thus examined TXNIP induction by depleting glucose for 12 hr, followed by glucose stimulation for additional 3 hr. TXNIP was robustly induced in BLBC cell lines; however, FBP1 suppressed TXNIP induction. In contrast, knockdown of FBP1 stimulated TXNIP induction in luminal cells (Figure 4C). Because the cells had been glucose-deprived for 12 hr, the TXNIP levels were re-set to baseline (lane 2 versus lane 1, Figure S4A); the induction of TXNIP after glucose stimulation reflects glucose uptake and resultant steady-state level of intracellular glucose. Indeed, following glucose stimulation for different time intervals, TXNIP induction was greatly delayed in FBP1-expressing MDA-MB231 cells. In contrast, TXNIP induction was robustly increased in FBP1-knockdown MCF7 cells (Figure S4A). Because insulin is the major hormone regulating glucose uptake, we measured insulin sensitivity by examining tyrosine phosphorylation of the insulin receptor (IR) after insulin stimulation. We found that FBP1 expression suppressed the intensity and duration of IR phosphorylation in BLBC cell lines. Conversely, knockdown of FBP1 increased the intensity and duration of IR phosphorylation in luminal cell lines (Figure S4B). These results indicate that FBP1 is critical in inhibiting glucose uptake exemplified by downregulating glucose and insulin sensitivities.

FBP1 Reduces Lactate Generation and Increases Oxygen Consumption in BLBC Cells

To examine whether FBP1 changes glucose metabolism from aerobic glycolysis to OXPHOS, we measured lactate production and found that FBP1-expressing BLBC cells produced less lactate than their vector control cells, whereas FBP1-knockdown luminal cells had more lactate production (Figure 4D). We then investigated FBP1’s role on cell growth under different oxygen conditions. At normoxic condition (21% oxygen), FBP1 expression induced a minor inhibition in cell growth in BLBC cell lines. Similarly, knockdown of FBP1 did not cause an apparent effect on the growth of luminal cells (Figure S4C). However, under hypoxic condition (0.1% oxygen), FBP1 expression induced a drastic growth inhibition in BLBC cell lines, whereas knockdown of FBP1 significantly reduced hypoxia-mediated growth inhibition in luminal cell lines (Figure 4E), suggesting that the effect of FBP1 on cell growth depends on oxygen. We thus examined oxygen consumption rate (OCR). We found that the basal OCR significantly increased in FBP1-expressing BLBC cells, whereas FBP1-knockdown luminal cells displayed a decrease in basal OCR (Figure 4F). Similar results were obtained in the analysis of ATP-linked and maximal OCR (Figure 4F).

FBP1 Inhibits Glycolysis and Increases OXPHOS

For every glucose molecule a cell consumes, aerobic glycolysis produces 2 ATP, whereas OXPHOS produces 36 ATP. We found that FBP1 expression or knockdown did not alter the steady-state level of ATP in BLBC or luminal cells under normoxic condition (Figure S5A). However, under hypoxia, the steady-state level of ATP was significantly decreased in FBP1-expressing BLBC cells, whereas knockdown of FBP1 greatly reduced hypoxia-mediated ATP reduction in luminal cells (Figure S5A). Consistent with these observations, oligomycin treatment resulted in a significant growth inhibition in FBP1-expressing BLBC cells. Conversely, knockdown of FBP1 provided luminal cells with resistance to this compound (Figure S5B). Although BLBC cell lines are less sensitive to growth inhibition mediated by hypoxia or oligomycin compared with their FBP1-expressing clones, they were extremely sensitive to glucose deprivation, as indicated by massive cell death and...
Figure 2. Snail Represses FBP1 Expression
(A) Schematic diagram showing that Snail was coexpressed with vector (GFP) or FBP1 (CMV promoter) in luminal subtype breast tumor cells.
(B) Snail was coexpressed with vector (GFP) or FBP1 in MCF7 and T47D cells for 4 days. Morphologic changes indicative of EMT are shown in the phase contrast images; expression of FBP1 and E-cadherin were analyzed by immunofluorescent staining. Nuclei were visualized with DAPI (blue). Scale bar = 20 μm.
(C) The mRNA levels of E-cadherin and FBP1 were quantitated by real-time PCR (mean ± SD in three separate experiments).
(D) Expression of E-cadherin, FBP1, Snail, luminal markers (ER-α and FoxA1), and basal markers (EGFR, SPARC, and Caveolin-1) for cells in (B) was analyzed by western blotting.
(E) Schematic diagram showing positions of nine potential Snail-binding E-boxes on the FBP1 promoter and FBP1 promoter luciferase construct used.
Metabolic Reprogramming by Snail

FBP1 Suppresses PKM2 Activation and Increases Mitochondrial Complex I Activity and Reactive Oxygen Species Generation

To explore the underlying mechanisms of increased OXPHOS by FBP1, we first assessed the level of F-1,6-BP. FBP1 expression caused a dramatic decrease of F-1,6-BP level in BLBC cells, whereas knockdown of FBP1 led to a marked increase of F-1,6-BP in luminal cell lines (Figure 6A). We also measured pyruvate kinase (PK) activity and found that FBP1 significantly decreased PK activity in two BLBC cell lines; whereas knockdown of FBP1 increased PK activity in two luminal cells (Figure S6A). The PK activity contained both PKM1 and PKM2 activities and thus we could not distinguish the activation of PKM2 by F-1,6-BP. We next examined the tetrameric form of PKM2 using cross-linking agent. Surprisingly, PKM2 existed mainly in tetrameric form in two BLBC cell lines, whereas it appeared in monomer state in two luminal cell lines (Figure 6B). FBP1 expression significantly decreased the tetrameric PKM2, whereas knockdown of FBP1 increased the formation of tetrameric PKM2. These data indicate that loss of FBP1 activates PKM2, which facilitates lactate production and triggers the switch to aerobic glycolysis.

Because FBP1 expression enhanced oxygen consumption, we reasoned that FBP1 expression stimulates activity in the mitochondrial electron transport complexes (I, II, III, and IV of ETC) (Figure 6C). Complexes I and II use electrons donated from NADH and FADH2, respectively, to reduce coenzyme Q, which shuttles these electrons to complex III, where they are transferred to cytochrome c. Complex IV uses electrons from cytochrome c to reduce molecular oxygen to water. These actions produce a proton electrochemical potential gradient, and the free energy released is converted to ATP by ATP synthase (Chatterjee et al., 2011). We first compared the activity of the mitochondrial complexes I and II in terms of their contribution to the overall oxygen consumption. Complex I and II activity could be blocked by rotenone and TTFA, respectively, thus the oxygen consumption relies on the electron transport activity of the remaining active complex. As shown in Figure 6C, although OCR was higher in FBP1-expressing BLBC cell lines, addition of TTFA slightly and proportionally decreased OCR in both vector- and FBP1-expressing BLBC cells. A consistent trend was observed in vector- and FBP1-knockdown luminal cell lines (Figure 6C). However, treatment with rotenone dramatically reduced OCR in FBP1-expressing BLBC cells to levels found in vector control cells (Figure 6C). Consistently, treatment with rotenone also decreased OCR in luminal cells to levels similar to those found in the FBP1-knockdown luminal cells. We also measured complex I activity using purified mitochondria from these cells. FBP1-expressing BLBC cell lines had significant higher complex I activity, whereas FBP1-knockdown luminal cell lines had decreased complex I activity (Figure 6D). To identify the underlying mechanism, we performed microarray analysis (GSE41158) and determined that mitochondrial transcription factor B1M (TFB1M) was significantly higher in FBP1-expressing BLBC cells (Figure S6B). TFB1M is a nuclear gene encoding mitochondrial transcription factor that is essential for the mitochondrial biogenesis (Metodiev et al., 2009). Loss of TFB1M causes defects of protein translation in mitochondrial complex I components, resulting in impaired OXPHOS (Koeck et al., 2011). We found that TFB1M expression was increased in FBP1-expressing MDA-MB231 cells, whereas its expression was decreased in FBP1-knockdown MCF7 cells (Figures S6B and S6C). In addition, two targets of TFB1M from mitochondrial complex I, ND1 and ND5, but not components from complex
Figure 3. Snail and G9a Are Required for H3K9me2 and DNA Methylation at the FBP1 Promoter

(A) Three sets of primers used for FBP1 promoter ChIP are shown.

(B and C) The association of Snail and G9a, and the level of H3K9me2 and H3K9ac at the FBP1 promoter in cells that have undergone Snail-mediated EMT (B) and cell lines from Figure 1D (C) were analyzed by ChIP. DNA methylation at the FBP1 promoter was analyzed by MSP.

(D) The association of G9a, and the level of H3K9me2 and DNA methylation at the FBP1 promoter in luminal (25 cases) and triple-negative (16 cases) breast cancer tissues were analyzed by ChIP and MSP, respectively. Horizontal lines represent mean values. Statistical analyses (mean ± SD in three separate experiments) are shown below.

(legend continued on next page)
FBP1 Suppresses CSCs and Inhibits Tumorigenicity of Breast Cancer

To examine whether FBP1 expression alters CSC characteristics of BLBC, we examined tumourosphere formation of these cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. FBP1 expression greatly suppressed tumourosphere formation in BLBC cell lines (Figure 7A), and this inhibitory effect was enhanced by hypoxia (Figure S7A). Conversely, knockdown of FBP1 in luminal cell lines induced a significant decrease of ROS (Figures 6E and 6F). A similar finding was observed for superoxide production using Mito-Sox Red and DHE staining (Figure S6D). These data indicate that FBP1 expression contributes to the increase of cellular ROS.

FBP1 activity was also elevated in FBP1-expressing cells. Together, these data indicate that the rise of complex I activity is the main factor underlying the increase of mitochondrial oxygen consumption in FBP1-expressing cells.

In ETC, a small percentage of electrons are prematurely leaked to oxygen, mainly from complex I and/or complex III, forming the majority of reactive oxygen species (ROS; Chatterjee et al., 2011). To test whether an increase in mitochondrial OCR and complex I activity by FBP1 would accompany an increase of ROS, we examined intracellular ROS levels using CellROX deep red. FBP1-expressing BLBC cells showed a substantial increase in ROS levels, whereas FBP1-knockdown luminal cell lines induced a significant decrease of ROS (Figures 6E and 6F). A similar finding was observed for superoxide production using Mito-Sox Red and DHE staining (Figure S6D). These data indicate that FBP1 expression contributes to the increase of cellular ROS.

FBP1 Suppresses CSCs and Inhibits Tumorigenicity of Breast Cancer

To examine whether FBP1 expression alters CSC characteristics of BLBC, we examined tumourosphere formation of these cells under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. FBP1 expression greatly suppressed tumourosphere formation in BLBC cell lines (Figure 7A), and this inhibitory effect was enhanced by hypoxia (Figure S7A). Conversely, knockdown of FBP1 in luminal cell lines induced a significant decrease of ROS (Figures 6E and 6F). A similar finding was observed for superoxide production using Mito-Sox Red and DHE staining (Figure S6D). These data indicate that FBP1 expression contributes to the increase of cellular ROS.

FBP1 activity was also elevated in FBP1-expressing cells. Together, these data indicate that the rise of complex I activity is the main factor underlying the increase of mitochondrial oxygen consumption in FBP1-expressing cells.

In ETC, a small percentage of electrons are prematurely leaked to oxygen, mainly from complex I and/or complex III, forming the majority of reactive oxygen species (ROS; Chatterjee et al., 2011). To test whether an increase in mitochondrial OCR and complex I activity by FBP1 would accompany an increase of ROS, we examined intracellular ROS levels using CellROX deep red. FBP1-expressing BLBC cells showed a substantial increase in ROS levels, whereas FBP1-knockdown luminal cell lines induced a significant decrease of ROS (Figures 6E and 6F). A similar finding was observed for superoxide production using Mito-Sox Red and DHE staining (Figure S6D). These data indicate that FBP1 expression contributes to the increase of cellular ROS.
Figure 4. FBP1 Inhibits Glucose Uptake and Sensitivity and Suppresses Cell Growth under Hypoxia

(A) Stable clones with FBP1 expression or knockdown were established in six BLBC and two luminal cell lines, respectively.

(B) Glucose uptake was measured.

(C) Cells were deprived for glucose for 12 hr followed by glucose stimulation for additional 3 hr. TXNIP expression was examined by western blotting.

(D) Lactate excretion was measured.

(E) Cell growth under hypoxic condition was measured by cell-count assay for 2 days. Data are presented as a percentage of vector control values for BLBC cells, whereas data are presented as a percentage of FBP1-knockdown groups for luminal cells (mean ± SD in three separate experiments in triplicates).
The activity of PKM2 oscillates between the activated tetrameric form and inactivated monomeric state, which constitutes the metabolic budget system in tumor metabolism (Mazurek et al., 2005). F-1,6-BP increases the formation of tetrameric PKM2. Glucose is then fueled to lactate along with ATP production until F-1,6-BP levels drop below a minimum signal level, which causes the disassembly of the tetrameric PKM2 into a monomeric state. We found that PKM2 mainly existed in tetrameric form in BLBC cells and existed predominantly in a monomeric state in luminal cells. This observation corresponds with the findings that BLBC cells contain a high level of F-1,6-BP, an increased PK activity, and increased lactate production. FBP1 expression significantly decreased the formation of tetrameric PKM2 in BLBC cells by reducing F-1,6-BP. Because the major function of glycolysis is to provide high levels of glycolytic intermediates for biomass synthesis, we speculate that two modes of PKM2 are used in glycolysis. The first mode is the inhibition of PKM2 (economic mode), which blocks glycolytic flux for accumulation of intermediates required for biosynthesis. The second mode is the overall increase of glycolytic flux with activation of PKM2 (luxury mode). The rise of intermediates results not from a block of PKM2, but from an increased load of glycolysis through glucose (Figure 8D). As pointed out by Vander Heiden and colleagues, although this seems wasteful, it ensures that the glycolytic intermediates will not be depleted and maintain precursor concentrations at constant and ample levels for allowing maximum biosynthesis during rapid cell growth (Lunt and Vander Heiden, 2011). Our study indicates that BLBC cells employ the luxury mode of glycolysis by suppressing FBP1 for increasing glucose assimilation and rapid channeling of glycolytic intermediates in biosynthesis at the cost of host.

PKM2 is the main enzyme for ATP production in glycolysis. This inefficient but faster mode of ATP production may be

(F) Oxygen consumption was measured (mean ± SD in three separate experiments in triplicate). For B and D, data are presented as a percentage of vector control values (mean ± SD in three separate experiments in triplicates). For B, D, and E, *p < 0.01 and #p < 0.01 for vector control cells compared with their FBP1-expressing or FBP1-knockdown clones, respectively. See also Figure S4.
Figure 6. FBP1 Suppresses PKM2 Activation and Increases Complex I Activity and ROS Production

(A) F-1,6-BP was measured in FBP1-expressing and FBP1-knockdown clones.

(B) Cells were either treated with (+) or without (-) 1% formaldehyde (crosslinker; CL) for 20 min immediately after cell lysis. The states of monomer, dimer, and tetramer of PKM2 were analyzed by western blotting.

(C) Schematic diagram showing the electron transfer from mitochondrial complex I to IV. Cells were treated with Rotenone and TTFA, respectively. Oxygen consumption was measured (mean ± SD in three separate experiments in triplicate).

(D) Complex I activity was measured from mitochondria isolated from cells in (C).

(legend continued on next page)
preferred to meet the cellular demands of BLBC, particularly under hypoxic condition. Under hypoxia, the steady-state level of ATP in BLBC cells declined slightly; however, FBP1 expression resulted in a dramatic plunge in ATP level. This is in line with the observation that BLBC cells are less sensitive to growth inhibition under hypoxia or by oligomycin. Given that BLBC cells are highly invasive, this metabolic switch empowers them to absorb glucose and maintain ATP level in a nutrient-poor and hypoxic condition. In addition, the acidic microenvironment created by excreted lactate facilitates the degradation of extracellular matrix and protects BLBC cells from inhibition by an immune response at the metastatic site (Figure 8D).

The phenomenon during which increased glucose uptake and exacerbated glycolytic activity often accompany OXPHOS inhibition is known as a Crabtree effect and had been identified in tumors and other mitotically active tissues (Crabtree, 1929). We found that the inhibition of complex I activity is mainly responsible for the Crabtree effect in BLBC cells. Expression of FBP1 significantly increased mitochondrial complex I activity by inducing the expression of TFB1M, which is required for the translation of ND1 and ND5 of mitochondrial complex I (Koeck et al., 2011). These data provide mechanistic insights and support our finding that loss of FBP1 suppresses OXPHOS in BLBC cells.

Second, our results indicate that the glycolytic switch enhances CSC characteristics in BLBC by reducing ROS. It has been noted that somatic cells primarily utilize OXPHOS for their energy production, whereas pluripotent cells rely on glycolysis (Facucho-Oliveira and St John, 2009). Using an iPSC as a model in stem cell reprogramming, mitochondria were found to change from a mature cristae-rich morphology in somatic cells to more immature spherical and cristae-poor structures in iPSCs. Intriguingly, the glycolytic change occurs in cells prior to their acquisition of pluripotent markers, suggesting that the glycolytic switch plays a causative role in the iPSC reprogramming process (Koeck et al., 2011). The induction of pluripotency with a glycolytic switch is consistent with the observation that a hypoxic environment maintains the stem cell state and hypoxia facilitates the reprogramming process (Mohyeldin et al., 2010). In the stem cell niche, protection from ROS is critical for the maintenance of self-renewal of hematopoietic stem cells and human and mouse breast CSCs (Diehn et al., 2009). These observations indicate that the self-renewal potential of CSCs is exquisitely sensitive to the level of ROS. We found that FBP1-expressing BLBC cells increased OXPHOS and ROS production. The increased ROS was associated with the suppression of tumor-sphere and decreased CSC markers (CD44high/CD24low/EpCAM*). In addition, FBP1 expression in BLBC cells inhibited tumorigenicity in vitro and suppressed tumor formation in vivo. Mechanistically, elevated ROS shifts the interaction of β-catenin from TCF4 to FOXO3a and thus compromises β-catenin activity, which is essential for the maintenance of pluripotency. NAC treatment returns the interaction of β-catenin with TCF4 and restores tumorigenicity in vitro and in vivo. Together, these data indicate that the loss of FBP1 greatly increases CSC traits by repressing ROS production and maintaining the interaction of β-catenin with TCF4 in BLBC.

Third, our study indicates that FBP1 is a major downstream target of Snail in controlling glycolysis. We identified that the Snail-G9a-Dnmt1 complex, which is required for E-cadherin silencing, is responsible for FBP1 repression in BLBC. FBP1 silencing is required for Snail-mediated EMT and the conversion from luminal to basal-like phenotype in breast cancer. In addition, knockdown of Snail or G9a restores the expression of E-cadherin and FBP1. Our results indicate that this metabolic reprogramming (resulting from the loss of FBP1) synergizes with the loss of E-cadherin to sustain CSC-like properties during dissemination and metastasis. Our study strengthens the notion that metabolic reprogramming is inextricably intertwined with tumorigenesis and fortifies Warburg’s historical claim that metabolism is an actionable event instead of an accompanied biomarker in oncogenesis (Ward and Thompson, 2012). Our study also reveals that the Snail-mediated epigenetic regulation may represent the Achilles’ heel of BLBC. Thus, targeting this chromatin modification complex will generate an entirely effective approach for treating metastatic breast cancer.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmids, siRNA, and Antibodies**

FBP1 shRNA was purchased from MISSION shRNA at Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Human FBP1 was amplified from a HeLa cDNA library and subcloned into pLenti6.3. Antibody information is provided in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Cell Culture**

Breast cancer cell lines were cultured as described previously (Dong et al., 2012). For establishing stable transfectants with expression or knockdown of FBP1, BLBC cells and luminal cells were transfected with pLenti6.3/FBP1 and FBP1 shRNA, respectively; stable clones were selected with puromycin (300 ng/ml) for 4 weeks.

**Immunostaining, Immunoprecipitation, Immunoblotting, Immunohistochemical Staining**

All protocols are described in detail in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Human Breast Tumors**

The frozen fresh tumor samples were collected from resected breast tumors from patients at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center with informed consent and institutional IRB approval. These frozen samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Data regarding the stage, grade, and expression of ER-α, PR, and HER2 are described previously (Dong et al., 2012).

**Metabolic Assays**

Glucose uptake, lactate production, ATP levels, and PK activity were measured by assay kits from BioVision (San Francisco, CA). Oxygen consumption was determined using the Seahorse Extracellular Flux (XF-96)
Figure 7. FBP1 Inhibits Tumorsphere Formation and Reduces CSC Population

(A) Tumorsphere formation was assessed under normoxic conditions.
(B and C) The CSC population (CD44\textsuperscript{high}/CD24\textsuperscript{low}/EpCAM\textsuperscript{+}) was analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative images for MDA-MB231 and MCF7 cells are shown in (C).
(D) Schematic diagram of the interaction of \(\beta\)-catenin with TCF4 and FOXO3a.
(E) FBP1-expressing BLBC cells (F) as well as in FBP1-knockdown luminal cells (S) were treated with or without NAC overnight; the interactions of \(\beta\)-catenin with TCF4 and FOXO3a were examined by immunoprecipitating \(\beta\)-catenin following immunoblot of TCF4 and FOXO3a.

For A and B, data are presented as a percentage of vector control values (mean ± SD in three separate experiments in duplicates). *p < 0.01 and #p < 0.01 for vector control cells compared with their FBP1-expressing or FBP1-knockdown clones, respectively.

See also Figure S7.
To allow comparison between experiments, data are presented as OCR in pMol/min/10^4 cells. Basal OCR were measured four times and plotted as a function of cells with and without treatment under the basal condition followed by the sequential addition of oligomycin (1mM) and FCCP (1mM) as indicated. The progress curve is annotated to show the relative contribution of basal, ATP-linked and maximal oxygen consumption after the addition of FCCP, and the reserve capacity of the cells. The intracellular concentration of F-1,6-BP was examined by treating cells with 1.25 ml of perchloric acid; cells were then pelleted by centrifugation at 2500g for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 0.2 ml of perchloric acid (6%) and 0.2 ml of water. After centrifugation, the pH of the combined supernatant was adjusted to 3.5 with 1% potassium carbonate. F-1,6-BP in the supernatant was determined spectrophotometrically by measuring the decrease of NADH to NAD+ at 340 nm. Complex I specific activity was assayed as described by Sinthupibulyakit (Sinthupibulyakit et al., 2010) by following the decrease in absorbance due to the oxidation of NADH at 340 nm. The activity was calculated by the differences with and without the complex I inhibitor, rotenone.

For the [U-13C6]-glucose tracer experiment, Vector- and FBP1-expressing MDA-MB231 cells were cultured in the DMEM medium with glucose replaced by 0.1% 13C6-glucose for 24 hr. Polar metabolites were extracted from cells and media using the acetonitrile/water/chloroform partitioning and 10% trichloracetic acid methods, respectively. The extracts were subjected to 1D 1H and 1H{13C} HSQC NMR and GC-MS analysis, as previously described (Fan et al., 2011; Le et al., 2012).

**DNA Methylation Analysis, ChIP, Quantitative Real-Time PCR, and Luciferase Reporter Assay**

The methods used are described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Metabolic Assays, Colony Formation Assay, Mammosphere Assay, and Flow Cytometry Analysis**

All methods are described in detail in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
Xenograft Studies
Female ICR-SCID mice (6–8 weeks old) were purchased from Taconic (Germantown, NY) and maintained and treated under specific pathogen-free conditions. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Kentucky College of Medicine and conformed to the legal mandates and federal guidelines for the care and maintenance of laboratory animals. The mice were injected with 1 x 10^6 FBP1-expressing or knockdown cells on the right MFP and control cells on the left MFP. The mice were then randomly divided into two groups: standard water supply and water supplemented with 40 mM NAC. Tumor formation was examined every 2 to 3 days for the whole duration of the experiment. Tumors were harvested and weighed at the experimental endpoint, and the tumor mass derived from cells with FBP1 expression or knockdown and vector control in both flanks of each mouse were compared.

Statistical Analysis
Experiments were repeated at least twice. Results are expressed as mean ± SD or SEM as indicated. An independent Student’s t test was performed to analyze the assay results; a two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare the intergroup differences. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

ACCESSION NUMBERS
Microarray data of FBP1 expression in MDA-MB231 and Hs578T cells were deposited at the Gene Expression Omnibus with the accession number GSE41158.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes eight figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2013.01.022.
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