A Core Complex of BBS Proteins Cooperates with the GTPase Rab8 to Promote Ciliary Membrane Biogenesis
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SUMMARY

Primary cilium dysfunction underlies the pathogenesis of Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS), a genetic disorder whose symptoms include obesity, retinal degeneration, and nephropathy. However, despite the identification of 12 BBS genes, the molecular basis of BBS remains elusive. Here we identify a complex composed of seven highly conserved BBS proteins. This complex, the BBSome, localizes to nonmembranous centriolar satellites in the cytoplasm but also to the membrane of the cilium. Interestingly, the BBSome is required for ciliogenesis but is dispensable for centriolar satellite function. This ciliogenic function is mediated in part by the Rab8 GDP/GTP exchange factor, which localizes to the basal body and contacts the BBSome. Strikingly, Rab8GTP enters the primary cilium and promotes extension of the ciliary membrane. Conversely, preventing Rab8GTP production blocks ciliation in cells and yields characteristic BBS phenotypes in zebrafish. Our data reveal that BBS may be caused by defects in vesicular transport to the cilium.

INTRODUCTION

The primary cilium is a specialized organelle found at the surface of almost every vertebrate cell. The cilium consists of an extension of the mother centriole, called the axoneme, enclosed in a membrane sheath. Recently, the cilium has become the focus of intensive studies for its role in the transduction of extracellular signals and in a constellation of genetic diseases (reviewed in Marshall and Nonaka, 2006; Scholey and Anderson, 2006; Singla and Reiter, 2006). Notably, in vertebrate cells, the Sonic Hedgehog morphogen requires the intraflagellar transport (IFT) machinery for the transport and processing of its intermediate signaling components at the tip of the primary cilium (Haycraft et al., 2005; May et al., 2005). This IFT machinery consists of two stable complexes (IFT-A and IFT-B) that have been proposed to bridge ciliary-targeted proteins to the motors responsible for bidirectional transport along the microtubule axoneme (Cole et al., 1998; Pazour et al., 1999).

A broader role of the cilium in cellular homeostasis is suggested by studies on Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS), a pleiotropic genetic disease whose etiology has been linked to the primary cilium (Blacque and Leroux, 2006). BBS patients are typically affected by obesity, retinal degeneration, kidney malformations, olfactory deficits, and polydactyly. While the underlying pathology of these symptoms remains enigmatic for the most part, animal models of BBS have suggested that basal body and/or cilium dysfunction is the root cause of this pleiotropic disorder. In BBS knockout mice, spermatozoa lack flagella, olfactory neurons have no cilia, and rhodopsin transport through the connecting cilium of photoreceptors frequently fails (Nishimura et al., 2004). These tissue-specific cellular defects suggest that a broad range of cilium-dependent signaling pathways may operate in various tissues through presently unknown cilium-localized receptors.

Currently, our knowledge of the molecular function of BBS proteins remains fragmentary. Twelve BBS genes have been identified without providing consistent functional clues through sequence similarities. Protein-protein interaction motifs are found in BBS1/BBS2/BBS7 (β-propeller repeats) and BBS4/BBS8 (TPR repeats). BBS3 encodes the ARF-like GTPase ARL6, BBS11 is identical to the E3 ubiquitin-ligase TRIM32, and BBS6/BBS10/BBS12 have extensive similarity to the ATP-dependent chaperonin CCT. Clearly, the multitude of predicted functions makes a comprehensive molecular model of BBS a great challenge at present.
Nevertheless, two models have been proposed to explain the role of BBS proteins in ciliary function. The first model places BBS4 function in the cytoplasmic transport of centriolar satellites, which are nonmembranous cytoplasmic granules responsible for bringing centrin, pericentrin, Nek2, and ninein to the centrosome (Kubo et al., 1999; Dammermann and Merdes, 2002; Hames et al., 2005). It was found that BBS4 interacts with PCM-1, the core component of centriolar satellites, and with p150
\(^{\text{Glued}}\), a subunit of the minus-end-directed microtubule motor dynein/dynactin (Kim et al., 2004). In this model, BBS4 acts as a bridging factor between PCM-1 and dynein to bring proteins to the centrosome, and ciliary dysfunction is an indirect consequence of centrosome/basal body abnormalities.

The second model posits that BBS7 and BBS8 promote cohesion between the two IFT subcomplexes inside the primary cilium. Indeed, while IFT-A and IFT-B are transported at the same velocity from the base to the tip of nematode cilia, IFT-A and IFT-B move at distinct rates in bbs7 or bbs8 mutant worms (Ou et al., 2005). Although no physical interaction between IFT and BBS proteins has been reported, several BBS proteins have been found to move inside cilia at the same rates as the IFT particles (Blacque et al., 2004). In this model, ciliary dysfunction is directly linked to the role of BBS proteins inside the cilium.

In the present study, we show that the vast majority of evolutionarily conserved BBS proteins are present in a stable complex that transiently associates with PCM-1. We find that this complex functions primarily at the ciliary membrane and downstream of PCM-1. This cilogenic function of the BBS complex is linked to the Rab8 nucleotide exchange factor, which localizes to the basal body and contacts BBS1. Following GTP loading, Rab8 targets vesicles to the cilium to promote ciliary membrane elongation. These results collectively indicate that BBS proteins likely function in membrane trafficking to the primary cilium.

RESULTS

BBS4 Localizes to the Primary Cilium of Vertebrate Cells

To gain insight into the molecular function of BBS proteins, we applied the localization and tandem affinity purification (LAP) technology to BBS4. The LAP tag consists of GFP followed by a cleavage site for the TEV protease and an S-tag (Cheeseman and Desai, 2005). First, we generated a stable clonal cell line in telomerase-immortalized RPE cells (Ansley et al., 2003). Although current immunological reagents against BBS proteins have failed to detect BBS proteins inside the primary cilium of vertebrate cell lines (Ansley et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2004, 2005; M.V.N. and A.V.L., unpublished data), this is likely to reflect technical difficulties in the fixation and processing of intraciliary antigens (see Folli et al., 2006, for a precedent). It should be noted that while PCM-1 colocalizes with BBS4 at centriolar satellites in the cytoplasm, no PCM-1 signal is found inside the primary cilium under any fixation conditions (Figure 1C and data not shown). BBS4 is therefore distributed between two pools inside the cell: one at centriolar satellites (with PCM-1) and the other inside the cilium (without PCM-1).

In addition, we observed movements of LAP-BBS4 inside the cilium at rates approaching known IFT rates in mammalian cells (Movie S1 and Figure S1) (Follit et al., 2006). This staining pattern is consistent with published reports of BBS protein localization in worms (Fan et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004) and in the connecting cilium of photoreceptor cells (Ansel et al., 2003). Although current immunological reagents against BBS proteins have failed to detect BBS proteins inside the primary cilium of vertebrate cell lines (Ansley et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2004, 2005; M.V.N. and A.V.L., unpublished data), this is likely to reflect technical difficulties in the fixation and processing of intraciliary antigens (see Folli et al., 2006, for a precedent). It should be noted that while PCM-1 colocalizes with BBS4 at centriolar satellites in the cytoplasm, no PCM-1 signal is found inside the primary cilium under any fixation conditions (Figure 1C and data not shown). BBS4 is therefore distributed between two pools inside the cell: one at centriolar satellites (with PCM-1) and the other inside the cilium (without PCM-1).

Seven of the Most Conserved BBS Proteins Form a Stable Complex that Binds PCM-1 and Tubulin

Next we purified the endogenous BBS4-associated proteins by tandem affinity purification. Surprisingly, in addition to BBS4, we recovered stoichiometric amounts of BBS1, BBS2, BBS5, BBS7, BBS8, and BBS9, as well as substoichiometric amounts of PCM-1 and \(\alpha/\beta\)-tubulin (Figure 1F). Protein identification was performed by mass spectrometry, and peptide coverage was greater than 30% for each protein, supporting the high significance of all the hits (Table S1).

To determine the supramolecular organization of these nine proteins, we subjected them to a number of hydrodynamic analyses. First, the eluate of LAP-BBS4 from the anti-GFP beads was loaded on a sucrose gradient and separated by velocity sedimentation. Remarkably, all seven BBS proteins were found to fractionate together in stoichiometric proportions with a sedimentation coefficient of 14 S (Figure 2A). Meanwhile, \(\alpha/\beta\)-tubulin peaked at its known value of 5 S, and PCM-1 spread across many fractions centering around 11 S. Gel filtration chromatography of the same material also showed cofractionation of the seven BBS proteins and separation from PCM-1 (Figure S2A). These data demonstrate the existence of a stable heptameric complex consisting of BBS1, BBS2, BBS4, BBS5, BBS7, BBS8, and BBS9, which we name the BBSome. The apparent molecular weight of the BBSome (438 kDa) calculated from its measured Stokes radius (78 Å) and sedimentation coefficient (14 S) is in close agreement with its predicted molecular weight of 470 kDa (Figure S2B).

In addition, gel filtration of testis extract shows that all BBSome subunits we tested cofractionate, and no free pool of those subunits was detected (Figure 2B). Again, PCM-1 was clearly separated from the BBSome, and the non-BBSome protein BBS3 eluted at the exclusion volume. The absence of a free pool of BBSome subunits
strongly suggests that these seven proteins act as a single functional entity in testes.

To gain insight into the architecture of the BBSome, we tested most of the 42 possible binary interactions between BBSome subunits by cotransfection/coimmunoprecipitation experiments (Figure S3). We found two near-quantitative interactions (BBS2/BBS7 and BBS9/BBS8) as well as several moderate interactions (BBS9/BBS4, BBS9/BBS1, BBS9/BBS2, and BBS9/BBS5). Thus, BBS9 may be the central organizing subunit of the BBSome (Figure S3C).

Finally, we note that these seven subunits are among the eight most conserved BBS proteins across ciliated organisms (Table S2 and Inglis et al., 2006), whereas PCM-1 is limited to deuterostomia and absent in invertebrates and protozoa. The remarkable conservation of the BBSome and the biochemical separation of the core BBSome from PCM-1 suggest that the functions of PCM-1 and the BBSome may not fully overlap.

Functional Relationship between the BBSome and Centriolar Satellites
To examine the contribution of the BBSome to centriolar satellite function, we performed siRNA-mediated depletion of each BBSome subunit as well as PCM-1 and analyzed four markers of centriolar satellite assembly or function: PCM-1 localization to centriolar satellites, BBS4

Figure 1. BBS4 Localizes to the Primary Cilium and Associates with BBS1, -2, -5, -7, -8, -9, PCM-1, and α/β-Tubulin

(A) RPE or RPE-LAPBBS4 cell extracts were immunoblotted for GFP, BBS4, and actin. The LAP-BBS4 protein in RPE-LAPBBS4 cells is present at 1.8-fold over endogenous BBS4 in RPE cells, while the endogenous BBS4 protein in RPE-LAPBBS4 cells is downregulated by a factor of three compared to RPE cells.

(B and C) RPE-LAPBBS4 cells were immunostained for GFP, PCM-1, and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258, and cell contours were outlined in the micrographs. The cell in (B) has not grown a primary cilium, and BBS4 staining fully overlaps with PCM-1, whereas in the ciliated cell shown in (C), BBS4 also localizes to the primary cilium (overlap of green and violet seen as white signal). Scale bars, 5 μm.

(D and E) Magnified 3D surface views of centriolar satellites and cilium. Perspective is from the lower left corner of panels (B) and (C), respectively. The mother centriole/basal body is marked by a large arrowhead, and the tip of the cilium is marked by a small arrowhead. Grid unit is 5 μm.

(F) LAP-BBS4-containing complexes were purified on α-GFP antibody beads followed by cleavage with TEV protease and recovery on S-protein agarose. Eluates were resolved on 4%–12% NuPAGE gels and silver stained.
recruitment to centriolar satellites, centrin targeting to the centrosome, and growth of a primary cilium (acetylated α-tubulin staining). As expected, depletion of PCM-1 (Figure 3B) led to the disappearance of centriolar satellites (Figure 3A), loss of centrin from centrosomes (Figure S4A and Dammermann and Merdes, 2002), and greatly diminished ciliation (Figure 3D and Mikule et al., 2007).

We then turned our attention to BBSome subunits. The most striking effects were seen upon depletion of BBS1 and BBS5. Three markers of PCM-1 function were left unaffected by depletion of BBS1 or BBS5 (Figure 3C and Figure S8B): PCM-1 and BBS4 still localize to centriolar satellites (Figure 3A and Figure S8A), and centrin and pericentrin are still targeted to centrosomes (Figure S4). However, ciliation is dramatically affected by the absence of BBS1 and BBS5 (Figure 3D and Figure S8C). These results unequivocally demonstrate that the BBSome promotes ciliogenesis without regulating centriolar satellite function. The effects of PCM-1 and BBS1 depletion on ciliogenesis are quite specific, as loss of BBS1 or PCM-1 did not affect cell cycle exit as judged by the drop in phospho-Rb levels (Figure S5). The most parsimonious model in agreement with the published literature predicts that the BBSome is transported by centriolar satellites to the basal body, where it separates from PCM-1 and performs its essential ciliogenic function at the basal body and/or inside the cilium.

The BBSome Associates with the Ciliary Membrane

If the BBSome separates from centriolar satellites before entering the primary cilium, one would expect its physical state to change between these two compartments. We tested this hypothesis by pre-extracting cells with a panel of detergents before fixation and processing for immunofluorescence. Extraction of cellular membranes with the nonionic detergent Triton X-100 was found to dramatically reduce the levels of BBS4 inside the cilium while leaving the levels of BBS4 at centriolar satellites unchanged (Figure 4A and Figure S6B). This behavior is reminiscent of the previously observed extractability of IFT20 (Follit et al., 2006) and suggests that the BBSome may be associated with the ciliary membrane in vivo.

The membrane association of the BBSome was then validated by subcellular fractionation experiments. First, BBS4 and PCM-1 were found to largely partition with the heavy P100 fraction (Figure 4B). To firmly establish the existence of a membrane-associated pool of the BBSome, the P100 fraction was fractionated on a sucrose flotation gradient (Figure 4C). The low-density fractions of the gradient (fractions 1 through 5) were found to contain large amounts of vesicular markers (clathrin heavy chain and coatomer subunit β-COP), whereas cytoplasmic pools of these proteins remained at the bottom of the gradient. Remarkably, over 40% of BBS4 or PCM-1 was found in the low-density
vesicle-containing fractions of the gradient. Finally, Triton X-100 treatment of the P100 fraction reduced the proportion of floating clathrin heavy chain and BBS4 5-fold (Figure 4D).

Together these data show that the BBSome associates with membranous structures in vivo. This membrane-bound pool of the BBSome likely exists at the primary cilium but may also extend to the periciliary base (see the pool of BBS4 around the basal body in the right panel of Figure S6A).

**BBS5 Contains Two Pleckstrin Homology-like (PH-like) Domains and Binds to Phosphoinositides**

To better understand the function of the BBSome at the ciliary/preciliary membranes, we attempted to identify the lipid-binding subunit(s) of the BBSome. If membrane association is germane to the function of the BBSome, one would expect the lipid-binding subunit to be preferentially retained in divergent ciliated organisms that may have lost other BBSome subunits. Remarkably, the genome of the apicomplexan *Toxoplasma gondii* contains only a single BBSome subunit ortholog: BBS5 (Table S2).

Using a combination of highly sensitive repeat-detection tools and secondary structure prediction routines applied to an evolutionarily diverse set of BBS5 orthologs (Figures S7A and S7B), we were able to extend the 50 amino-acid-long DM16 repeats (Li et al., 2004) to 125 amino-acid-long stretches (Figures 5A and 5B) whose nearest protein fold relatives are the PH-like domains PH-GRAM (Begley et al., 2003) and GLUE (Teo et al., 2006) (Figure 5C). Phosphoinositides play various roles in vesicular transport, signal transduction, and actin cytoskeleton remodeling (Di Paolo and De Camilli, 2006), and PH-GRAM/GLUE domains bind phosphoinositides at an atypical basic pocket compared to other membrane-seeking PH domains (Teo et al., 2006). Molecular modeling of the individual PH-B (PH-BBS5) repeats using superposed PH-GRAM and GLUE domain structures as templates yields compelling predictions for positively charged binding pockets in each PH-B domain (Figure S7C).

To validate our structural prediction, we directly assayed phosphoinositide specificity of the recombinant PH-B domains (BBS5PH-B1 and BBS5PH-B2) on PIP-blots (Dowler et al., 2002). Figure 5D shows that while GST does not produce any signal in this assay, BBS5 and BBS5PH-B1 bound most strongly to PtdIns(3)P and phosphatidic acid. Although the relevance of this interaction was not examined in detail, we found that BBS5 is required for ciliation (Figures S8A–S8C) and inhibition of 3-phosphoinositides production by the pan-PI3 kinase inhibitor LY294002 prevented ciliation (Figures S8D–S8F). These experiments collectively suggest that BBS5 binding to phosphoinositides may be critical for ciliogenesis.

**The BBSome Associates with Rabin8 at the Basal Body**

To explore further links of the BBSome to membrane trafficking to the cilium, we searched our list of...
substoichiometric factors associated with the BBSome. The most striking hit was Rabin8 (Figure S9), a guanosyl exchange factor (GEF) for Rab8 with high similarity to the yeast protein Sec2p (Hattula et al., 2002). Generally, small GTPases of the Rab family facilitate vesicular trafficking between specific compartments by promoting the docking and fusion of transport vesicles to their target compartment (Zerial and McBride, 2001). In the case of Rab8 and its yeast ortholog Sec4p, GTP loading by Rabin8/Sec2p promotes Rab8/Sec4p association with post-Golgi vesicles and targets these vesicles to polarized areas of the plasma membrane (Huber et al., 1993; Walch-Solimena et al., 1997; Ang et al., 2003).

We confirmed our LC-MS/MS analysis of the LAP-BBS4 eluate by immunoblotting Rabin8 in eluates from α-GFP immunoprecipitates of RPE-[LAP-BBS4] or RPE cells or naive IgG immunoprecipitate of RPE-[LAP-BBS4] cells (Figure 6A). We then sought to determine whether Rabin8 interacted directly with a BBSome subunit. Figure 6B and Figure S9D show that while BBS1 binds to Rabin8, none of the other BBSome subunits bound Rabin8, and BBS1 failed to interact with Rabin8 lacking the last 164 amino acids. These results show that the BBS1 subunit of the BBSome associates with the C terminus of Rabin8.

We next asked where the BBSome/Rabin8 interaction takes place inside the cell. While immunological reagents against Rabin8 failed to detect a reproducible localization, we found that stably expressed GFP-Rabin8 localizes to the edge of membrane protrusions, to vesiculotubular structures in the cytoplasm and to the centrosome in RPE cells (Figure 6C and Hattula et al., 2002). Since Rabin8 was not found inside the primary cilium or at centriolar satellites, it appears that the BBSome contacts Rabin8 at the centrosome/basal body, at the same time the BBSome starts to associate with membranous structures (Figure 4A and Figure S6). Finally, when Rabin8 was depleted by siRNA (Figure 6D), ciliation was reduced and BBS4 was lost from centriolar satellites (Figure 6E).

**Rab8GTP Enters the Cilium and Promotes Ciliary Membrane Growth**

Since Rabin8 is required for ciliogenesis, the loading of GTP onto Rab8 by Rabin8 may be necessary for ciliogenesis. We tested this model by expressing several variants of Rab8 in ciliated cells (Figure 7A). Surprisingly, GFP-Rab8 localizes to the edge of membrane protrusions, to vesiculo-tubular structures in the cytoplasm and to the centrosome in RPE cells (Figure 6C and Hattula et al., 2002). Since Rabin8 was not found inside the primary cilium or at centriolar satellites, it appears that the BBSome contacts Rabin8 at the centrosome/basal body, at the same time the BBSome starts to associate with membranous structures (Figure 4A and Figure S6). Finally, when Rabin8 was depleted by siRNA (Figure 6D), ciliation was reduced and BBS4 was lost from centriolar satellites (Figure 6E).
Furthermore, it is known that GDP-locked variants of small GTPases also function as specific inhibitors of their cognate GEFs. Thus, consistent with the effect of Rabin8 depletion, we found that expression of Rab8[T22N] prevented ciliation while an equivalent mutant in the endocytic GTPase Rab5 did not affect ciliation (Figure 7B). We note that the effect of Rab8[T22N] on cilium extension is quite specific, as expression of Rab8[T22N] in MDCK cells does not affect transport from the Golgi to the basolateral or apical membranes (Ang et al., 2003). Conversely, expression of the GTP-locked variant Rab8[Q67L] promoted significant elongation of both ciliary membrane (GFP-Rab8 signal) and axoneme (acetylated tubulin staining) compared to expression of wild-type Rab8 or Rab5[S34N] (Figure 7C). Following the Rab paradigm, these experiments indicate that Rab8[^GTP] may promote the docking and fusion of exocytic vesicles to the base of the ciliary membrane. In addition, we observe a marked uncoupling between the length of the ciliary membrane and the length of the axoneme in a number of cells expressing GFP-Rab8 or GFP-Rab8[Q67L] (see data points away from the 1:1 line in Figure 7C). Extreme examples of uncoupling were seen in which a Rab8 tube emanated from a dot labeled with acetylated tubulin but no visible axoneme was detected (see data points intersecting the x axis in Figure 7C). Although no acetylated tubulin

---

**Figure 5. BBS5 Contains Novel PH-like Repeats and Binds to Specific Phosphoinositides In Vitro**

(A) Schematic domain organization of BBS5.

(B) Structure-based alignment of the two PH-B repeats of BBS5 with the PH-GRAM domain of myotubularin-2 and GLUE domain of VPS36. The 50% consensus lines under the repeats are derived from the larger alignment of Figure S3A. PsiPRED secondary structure predictions for the BBS5 chain (α helices as red cylinders, β strands as blue arrows) are compared to the published structures of PH-GRAM and GLUE domains. The PH-like domain boundaries are shown as vertical dividers.

(C) The predicted folds of BBS5 repeats PH-B1 and PH-B2 are displayed alongside the two template structures of PH-GRAM and GLUE domains. The ribbons are color-ramped from N- (blue) to C- (red) terminus.

(D) The PH-B1 domain binds phosphoinositides in vitro. GST fusions of BBS5, BBS5[1–149], or BBS5[150–273] were used to probe PIP strips dotted with 100 nmoles of each individual phosphoinositide.
Figure 6. The BBSome Associates with Rabin8 at the Basal Body
(A) Eluates of RPE or RPE-[LAPBBS4] bound to α-GFP antibody or naive IgG beads were probed for Rabin8 by western blot.
(B) Rabin8 specifically interacts with BBS1. Myc-tagged BBSome subunits were cotransfected with GFP-Rabin8 into HEK293T cells, and cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an α-Myc antibody before western blotting with an α-GFP antibody. While Rabin8 binds to BBS1, Rabin8[1–296] (ΔC) fails to interact with BBS1 and BBS2. BBS4 and BBS8 do not bind Rabin8.
(C) GFP-Rabin8 localizes to the centrosome. A stable RPE-hTERT cell line expressing GFP-Rabin8 was established and processed for immunofluorescence staining of pericentrin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar, 5 μm.
(D) Protein extracts from cells treated with siRNA directed against Rabin8 or control siRNA were immunoblotted for Rabin8. The asterisk marks a 60 kDa crossreacting band.
(E) Depletion of Rabin8 prevents ciliation and disperses BBS4 staining. RPE-[LAPBBS4] cells depleted of Rabin8 by siRNA were serum-starved to promote ciliation and immunostained for GFP, PCM-1, and acetylated α-tubulin. Scale bar, 2 μm. 50% (101/204) of control siRNA-treated cells were ciliated versus 23% (48/211) of Rabin8 siRNA-treated cells.
(F) Endogenous Rab8 localizes to the primary cilium. Cells were immunostained for Rab8 and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar, 5 μm.
staining was detected in these Rab8 extensions, α-tubulin is present in these extensions (Figure S11). This indicates that the rate of ciliary membrane growth promoted by Rab8GTP may overwhelm the tubulin acetylation machinery rather than axoneme elongation per se.

Finally, we tested the role of Rabin8 in the entry of Rab8 into the cilium (Figure 7D). Despite the overrepresentation of cells with intact Rabin8 in the ciliated population of Rabin8 siRNA-treated cells, we found that 42% of cilia were Rab8 negative after Rabin8 siRNA, whereas less than 3% of all cilia were Rab8-negative after control siRNA. Thus, Rabin8 is required for the entry of Rab8 into the primary cilium.

**Disruption of Rab8 Function in Zebrafish**

**Results in bbs Phenotypes**

To analyze the relevance of Rab8GTP production to the organismic BBS phenotype, we injected mRNAs coding for Rab8 variants into one-cell zebrafish embryos. Interfering with BBS gene function in *Danio rerio* results in two very specific phenotypes: Kupffer’s vesicle (KV) abnormalities and delays in the retrograde transport of melanosomes (Yen et al., 2006). Similar to the node in mammals, the KV is an embryonic ciliated structure that establishes left-right handedness (Essner et al., 2002). While expression of Rab8 and Rab8[Q67L] resulted in modest KV reduction, expression of Rab8[T22N] resulted in KV reduction similar to that brought about by bbs2 loss of function (Figure 7E). An additional control, GDP-locked Rab10[T23N], scored just above injection background. Since Rab10 is most related to Rab8, these experiments show that inhibition of Rab8GTP production by Rab8[T22N] specifically affects KV formation.

Melanosomes are lysosome-related organelles that undergo actin- and microtubule-based movements between the cell periphery and the perinuclear region in response to visual cues and hormonal stimuli. We measured the time taken by melanosomes to retract from their peripheral distribution to a perinuclear accumulation upon application of epinephrine to dark-adapted embryos. Embryos injected with Rab8, Rab8[Q67L], and Rab10[T23N] behaved very similarly to uninjected embryos in this assay, whereas expression of Rab8[T22N] or inhibition of BBS2 resulted in a marked retardation of retrograde melanosome transport (Figure 7F). Given that Rab8[T22N] expression affects both melanosome retrograde transport and KV formation, we conclude that the bbs phenotype can be recapitulated in zebrafish by limiting the production of Rab8GTP.

**DISCUSSION**

**The Core BBSome: A Unifying Complex for a Genetically Heterogeneous Disease**

The existence of a biochemically stable and evolutionarily conserved complex of seven BBS proteins greatly simplifies our perspective of BBS. We can now reduce the genetic complexity of BBS from 12 known genes to two highly conserved entities, the core BBSome and the small GTPase ARL6/BBS3. Meanwhile, other BBS genes are limited to chordates or vertebrates (Table S2) and are likely to be specific regulators of the BBSome and/or ARL6. Indeed, we have already found that certain chordee-specific BBS genes participate the regulation of BBSome assembly (A.V.L., Q.Z., P.K.J., V.C.S., and M.V.N., unpublished data). One tempting generalization is that BBSome integrity may be a central unifying explanation for a single pathology with extreme nonallelic heterogeneity. In particular, some BBS patients have been found to harbor three mutated alleles in two BBS genes, and there has been suggestion that the third allele could modify penetrance or severity of the clinical phenotype (reviewed in Katsanis, 2004, and see Laurier et al., 2006, for a critical evaluation). Such a scenario could now be readily explained by the biochemical instability of a multiply crippled BBSome and would parallel the ROM1/RDS heterotetramer whose genes interact to cause digenic retinitis pigmentosa (Kajiwara et al., 1994; Loewen et al., 2001).

**Beyond the BBSome: Functional Clues about the Pathophysiology of BBS**

The discovery of 14 BBSome-associated proteins has provided us with many functional leads. The most enlightening factor for now is Rabin8, the Rab8GEF. Downstream of Rabin8, Rab8GTP is likely to promote the docking and fusion of post-Golgi vesicles to the base of the cilium. This interpretation is substantiated by the remarkable finding that expression of Rab8[T22N] in frog photoreceptors results in the aberrant accumulation of rhodopsin carrier vesicles at the base of the connecting cilium (Moritz et al., 2004). Similarly, BBS2 knockout mice frequently fail to target rhodopsin to the outer segment (Nishimura et al., 2004). This phenotypic overlap between Rab8[T22N] expression and BBS loss of function is further demonstrated in zebrafish, where these alterations yield two nonoverlapping phenotypes (melanosome retrograde transport and KV formation). In summary, it appears that the BBSome may potentiate the GEF activity of Rabin8 in vivo through direct physical association and thus lead to the recruitment of Rab8GTP to specific post-Golgi vesicles followed by vesicle docking and fusion to the base of the cilium and finally entry of transmembrane proteins (such as rhodopsin) into the cilium. A generalization of this model to sensory cilia in the human body yields a compelling explanation for the molecular basis of BBS: each organ-specific symptom would arise through the mistargeting of specific ciliary signaling receptors involved in homeostasis of the specific organ. While still speculative in some aspects, this model offers a number of predictions that we are currently testing.

Aside from their ciliary function, it is worth noting that BBS proteins are also required for the retrograde transport of melanosomes (Yen et al., 2006). Since melanosomes are lysosome-related organelles with no apparent relationship to the cilium, the BBSome may be involved in several vesicular transport pathways.
Figure 7. Rab8\textsuperscript{GTP} Enters the Cilium, Promotes Extension of the Ciliary Membrane, and Is Required for Ciliation

(A) Rab8 and Rab8[Q67L] localize to the primary cilium when expressed as GFP fusions in RPE cells, while Rab8[T22N]-transfected cells fail to ciliate. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were immunostained for GFP, PCM-1, and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar, 5 μm.

(B) Quantitation of ciliation after transfection of Rab8 variants. Over 100 cells were counted for each condition.

(C) Scatter plot of axoneme length (acetylated α-tubulin) versus ciliary membrane length (GFP-Rab8). Fifty cilia were measured for each condition. Only axoneme length was measured for the Rab5[S34N] condition. Axoneme lengths are 3.1 μm (Rab5[T34N]), 4.7 μm (Rab8), 5.8 μm (Rab8[Q67L]). The asterisk indicates a t test value p < 0.01, and the pound sign is for p < 0.0001.

(D) Rabin8 activation of Rab8 is necessary for Rab8 to enter the primary cilium. RPE cells were transfected with Rabin8 siRNA then transfected with pEGFP-Rab8 12 hr later and serum-starved after another 12 hr. Twenty-four hours after serum withdrawal, cells were immunostained for GFP, pericentrin (PCNT), and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar, 5 μm. Note that for this panel only, the contrast of the green channel in the Rabin8 siRNA subpanel was enhanced compared to the control siRNA subpanel. The inset shows the GFP-Rab8 signal inside primary cilia. 3% (2/70) of control siRNA-treated cells versus 42% (18/43) of Rabin8 siRNA-treated cells failed to target GFP-Rab8 to the cilium. A significance of p < 10^{-6} was calculated on the basis of Fisher’s exact test.

(E) The effect of wild-type, GTP-locked (Q67L), and a GDP-locked (T22N) Rab8 variants were tested for their effects on KV development by injecting mRNAs into one-cell embryos. 38.4% (51/133) of embryos injected with Rab8[T22N] mRNA had KV abnormalities compared to 22.2% (18/81) of Rab8- and 18.9% (7/37) of Rab8[Q67L]-injected embryos. An additional control, GDP-locked Rab10 (T23N), resulted in only 14.5% (9/62) abnormal KVs. Uninjected embryos displayed only 3.7% (4/107) KV abnormalities.
BBS5: A Possible Link between Phosphoinositides and the Cilium
The mouse mutant tubby is highly reminiscent of BBS mutant mice. As the name implies, these mice are obese but they also present with retinal degeneration and neurosensory hearing impairment (Ohlemiller et al., 1995). The functional overlap between tubby and BBS is even evident in C. elegans, where tub-1 and bbs-1 have been shown to act in the same genetic pathway that regulates fat deposition (Mak et al., 2006). Strikingly, Tubby proteins bind to PtdIns(3,4)P_2 and PtdIns(4,5)P_2 (Santagata et al., 2001). It is therefore tempting to speculate that phosphoinositides might regulate ciliary transport of signaling factors involved in weight control, photoreceptor function, and auditory perception.

We note that while BBS5 selectively recognizes PtdIns(3)P on PIP blots, no binding was detected in the less sensitive liposome capture assays (data not shown). However, weak protein-lipid interaction can still be physiologically relevant in the context of an entire protein complex. For example, the recently described exocytic sorting complex exomer contains a subunit capable of binding anionic lipids in PIP blots but not in liposome-binding assays (Wang et al., 2006). Still, the complete exomer clearly recognizes specific lipids when liposome-binding assays are performed in the presence of ARF-GTP. We are currently attempting to generate sufficient quantities of the BBSome to conduct liposome-binding experiments and determine the importance of phosphoinositides in this interaction.

The BBSome within the Cell: A Transport Cycle between Centriolar Satellites and Cilium?
The possibility of a cytoplasmic transport by centriolar satellites begs the question: why have cells evolved the complex mechanism of packaging the BBSome into proteincrates for its transport to the centrosome? One scenario is that the BBSome may have an intrinsic propensity to aggregate and/or self-associate and PCM-1 might act as a chaperone during its transport inside the cytoplasm. This property might be particularly relevant to a protein complex with ciliogenic properties: by shielding it inside the cytoplasm with PCM-1 and unmasking it at the basal body, the cell can spatially limit BBSome activation to the proximity of the basal body.

Once inside the cilium, according to studies in nematodes, the BBSome may function as an IFT cohesion factor (Ou et al., 2005). Several groups have noticed that many IFT proteins have the unusual domain combination of WD40 repeats and TPR repeats typically found in vesicular coats (Avidor-Reiss et al., 2004; Jékely and Arendt, 2006). This observation is further highlighted by the resemblance of IFT rafts to curvatureless vesicular coats at the ultrastructural level (Kozminska et al., 1993; Deane et al., 2001). Since the clathrin coat utilizers to recruit integral membrane proteins to coated pits, it is plausible that coat adaptors exist for the IFT particle. Considering that the BBSome physically interacts with phospholipids and genetically interacts with the ARF-like GTPase ARL6 (Chiang et al., 2004; Fan et al., 2004), it may well constitute such an IFT coat adaptor. Ultrastructural studies and biochemical reconstitution experiments are now in progress to address this exciting avenue.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Antibodies and Reagents
Antibodies were raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides from BBS2, BBS3, BBS4, and BBS7 conjugated to KLH or against recombinant GST-BBS1 and His-GFP and purified using standard protocols. All antibodies against BBS proteins were validated on testis extracts from knockout mice. Antibodies against Rab8, Rab8i, and PCM-1 were previously published (Peränen et al., 1996; Dammermann and Merdes, 2002; Hattula et al., 2002). Commercial antibodies were against β-COP (mAb M3AS5), acetylated α-tubulin (α-tubulin-1:11B-1), α-tubulin (MCAP77, Serotec), Pericentrin (ab4448, Novus), Clathrin Heavy Chain (BD #610499 for western), actin (Santa Cruz #sc-1616), V5 (mouse: Invitrogen R960-2S or rabbit: Chemicon #AB3792), GST (Molecular Probes A-5800), LY294002 was from Sigma.

Plasmids, Proteins, and Protein-Lipid Blot Overlays
BBS4 was amplified from pBs-BBS4 (cDNA clone MGC:33591) and subcloned into pEGFP-TEV-S to generate pLAP-BBS4. The LAP-BBS4 cassette was excised and subcloned into the retroviral vector pBabe-Puro (Morgenstern and Land, 1999) to generate pBabe-LAP-BBS4. Transfections of BBS5 were synthesized by DNA 2.0, Inc. in the Gateway vector pDONRZ21 and subcloned into pCDNA3.1-nls or pDEST15 (Invitrogen). GST fusions of BBS5 were expressed and purified by standard methods. PIP strips (Echelon) were probed with 1 nM of GST fusion proteins. Plasmids, Proteins, and Protein-Lipid Blot Overlays

Cell Culture, Transfections, and Quantitative RT-PCR
Stable RPE1-hTERT cell lines expressing LAP-BBS4 were generated by retroviral infection using pBabe-LAP-BBS4 according to Cheeseman and Desai (2005). siRNA duplexes against BBS1 and BBS5 were synthesized in-house following Drhamacon siGenome sequences. The most potent siRNAs were selected after assessment of mRNA knockdown by qRT-PCR. Sequences are available upon request. siRNA oligos against PCM-1 have been published (Dammermann and Merdes, 2002). RPE-1[ARL4BBS4] cells were transfected with 100 nM siRNA duplexes using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were shifted from 10% serum to 0.2% serum 24 hr after transfection to induce ciliation and fixed 48–72 hr posttransfection. Plasmids were transfected into RPE cells using Fugene 6 (Roche) and cells fixed 48 hr posttransfection. For qRT-PCR, Total RNA was prepared with RNasy Mini kit (QIAGEN). RNA (100 ng) was used for qRT-PCR using QuantTech RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN). Reactions were run and analyzed on an ABI 7500 thermocycler.

Immunofluorescence and Microscopy
Antibodies against GFP and acetylated tubulin were directly labeled with Alexa 488 and Alexa 568, respectively. Immunofluorescence
was performed according to standard protocols after fixation in 4% PFA. Membrane-associated proteins were pre-extracted by washing cells in PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgSO4, pH 7.0) containing 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 s before fixation in 4% PFA. Images were acquired on an Everst deconvolution workstation (Intelligent Imaging Innovation) equipped with a Zeiss AxiosImager.Z1 and a CoolSnap CCD camera (Roper Scientific). Ten to sixteen z-sections at 0.3 μm interval were acquired, and 2 stacks were deconvolved and projected using Slidebook 4.1. Contrasts were adjusted identically for each series of panels.

**Tandem Affinity Purification, Biochemical Fractionations, and Mass Spectrometry**

Purification of the LAP-BBS4 complexes was performed according to Cheeseman and Desai (2005). To fractionate the BBSome and its interactors, PNS were centrifuged at 100,000 × g at 4°C for 18 hr. Fractions (500 μl) were collected from the top of the gradient and concentrated by methanol/chloroform precipitation before SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. Postnuclear supernatants (PNS) were made from RPE-LAP-BBS4 cells in HB (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.05% NP-40) and spun at 200,000 × g for 18 hr. Fractions (250 μl) were collected and processed as above. Mouse testes were homogenized by Douncing in LAP150 buffer containing 0.3% NP-40 followed by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 1 hr. The resulting extract was fractionated on a Superose-6 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare).

**Analysis of Kupffer’s Vesicle and Melanosome Transport Assay**

Assays were performed according to Yen et al. (2006) following a double-blind protocol isolating the personnel performing the injection from the personnel performing the phenotype scoring.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MW (kDa)</th>
<th>protein</th>
<th>GenBank id</th>
<th>peptide coverage</th>
<th>unique peptides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>PCM-1</td>
<td>450277</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>BBS9</td>
<td>74355093</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>BBS7a</td>
<td>29029557</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>BBS2</td>
<td>13507379</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>BBS1</td>
<td>14042475</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>BBS4</td>
<td>20379692</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>BBS8</td>
<td>38146012</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>β-Tubulin</td>
<td>12846758</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>α-Tubulin</td>
<td>68402829</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>BBS5</td>
<td>46988521</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1: Summary of LC-MS/MS analysis of the LAPBBS4 eluate.
Proteins are listed in order of decreasing molecular weight with their GenBank id, the percentage of their amino acid sequence covered by peptides identified by MS and the number of peptides that match exclusively with this protein sequence. S-protein eluates were resolved on 4-12% gel and the gel was stained with colloidal Coomassie. Individual bands were excised and subjected to liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).
Table S2: Conservation of BBSome subunits, associated proteins and other BBS genes or BBS modifiers in a panel of evolutionarily diverse organisms.
Orthologues were identified by reciprocal Blast using the publicly available completed genome sequences. Note that Drosophila melanogaster, Giardia lamblia and Toxoplasma gondii have lost certain IFT subunits and have also lost several BBSome subunits while all organisms with a full complement of IFT subunits have retained a full complement of BBSome subunits. Surprisingly, the proposed BBS modifier gene CCDC28B (Badano et al., 2006) possesses a very different pattern of evolutionary conservation from all other BBS genes.
Figure S1: Motility of BBS4 inside the primary cilium.

(A) Kymograph assembled from movie S1. (B) Kymogram analysis. The rates of movement of each individual line are: R1 = 0.404 µm/s (retrograde); R2 = 0.303 µm/s (anterograde); R3 = 0.318. µm/s (anterograde).
Measured molecular weight: $M_a = 6\pi \eta \gamma R_s S/(1-\nu \rho) = 438$ kDa

Calculated BBSome molecular weight: $\Sigma MW[BBS_{1,2,4,5,7,8,9}] = 470$ kDa

**Figure S2:** The calculated and apparent molecular weights of the core BBSome are in close agreement.

(A) The native eluate of LAPBBS4 was fractionated by size exclusion chromatography and fractions were resolved on 4-12 % NuPAGE gels and silver stained. The Stokes radius of the core BBSome of 78 Å was estimated from a Porath correlation generated using the elution volumes of the standards (Siegel and Monty, 1966). (B) The apparent molecular weight of the core BBSome was calculated according to (Siegel and Monty, 1966). The sum of the predicted molecular weights of the individual BBSome subunits is indicated for comparison purposes.
Figure S3: Interactions among BBSome subunits. Tagged BBSome subunits were cotransfected into 293T cells and reciprocal immunoprecipitations were conducted to detect protein-protein interactions. (A) BBS9 forms a stoichiometric complex with BBS8 and binds to BBS1, BBS2 and BBS5 but not BBS7. (B) BBS2 and BBS7 form a stoichiometric complex. (C) Predicted BBSome topology from protein-protein interaction mapping. The apparent strength of each interaction is depicted by the thickness of the connector. The PCM-1/BBS4 and PCM-1/BBS8 interactions were previously published (Ansley et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2004).
**Figure S4**: Depletion of PCM-1 specifically affects centrin targeting to the centrosome.

(A) Centrin is mislocalized in cells treated with PCM-1 siRNA but not BBS1 siRNA. Cells were immunostained for GFP (green), centrin (red) and α-tubulin (pink). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 (blue).
Figure S4 (followed): (B) Pericentrin levels at the centrosome are reduced in PCM-1-depleted cells but not in BBS1-depleted cells. Cells were immunostained for GFP (green), pericentrin (pink) and α-tubulin (red). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 (blue). These images were not deconvolved and represent one focal plane of capture.
**Figure S5**: Depletion of BBS1 or PCM-1 does not affect cell cycle exit. (A) Protein extracts from cells visualized in Figure 3 and Figure S4 were immunoblotted for the proliferation marker phospho-Rb. Depletion of BBS1 or PCM-1 does not lead to elevated levels of phospho-Rb. (B) Extract from serum-starved cells (equivalent to control cells in panel A) and from proliferating cells were immunoblotted for phospho-Rb. Phospho-Rb is undetectable in quiescent cells at this exposure.
Figure S6: BBS4 is membrane-associated at the cilium but not at centriolar satellites. (A) Gallery of centrosome (leftmost panel) and cilia (three right panels) illustrating the emptying of the centriolar satellite pool of BBS4 upon ciliation. The arrow points to the centrosome or basal body. The presence of a pool of BBS4 spreading beyond the basal body can be appreciated on the three right panels. These images were not deconvolved and correspond to a single focal plane.
Figure S6 (followed): (B) Gallery of pre-extracted cilia illustrating the membrane association of BBS4 in the cilium. The arrows points to the basal bodies. Scale bar = 5 µm.
Figure S7: (A) Evolutionarily diverse sequence alignment of twenty-three BBS5 orthologues harvested from sequence databases by iterative PsiBLAST searches. Figure composed with ESPript (Gouet et al., 1999) with sequence conservation coloring by BLOSUM62 comparison matrix. The alignment comprises the double PH-like domains of human BBS5 (amino acids 1-283) described in Fig. 5A.
Figure S7 (followed): (B) Phylogenetic tree of BBS5 orthologs derived from the alignment by Neighbor-joining method within ClustalX (Thompson et al., 1997) and displayed using HyperTree (Bingham and Sudarsanam, 2000).
Figure S7 (followed): (C) Likely phosphoinositide-binding pockets of BBS5 PH-B1 and PH-B2 domains are indicated by basic (blue) electrostatic potential surface in an area similar to defined pocket of the GLUE domain (where a bound sulfate ion is labeled).
Figure S8: BBS5 and 3-phosphoinositides are necessary for ciliogenesis.

(A) BBS5 is required for ciliogenesis. RPE-[LAP-BBS4] cells depleted of BBS5 by siRNA or control depleted cells were allowed to grow a primary cilium and immunostained for GFP (LAP-BBS4, green), PCM-1 (red) and acetylated α-tubulin (violet). Nuclei were stained with F
Hoechst 33258 (blue). Acetylated α-tubulin is present in the primary cilium (top panel) and at the centrioles (lower panels). Scale bar= 5 µm. BBS5 message abundance was analyzed by qRT-PCR in (B) and cilia were counted in (C). 36.4% (59/161) of control siRNA treated cells were ciliated vs. 8.2% (17/198) of BBS5 siRNA treated cells. (D) Pharmacological inhibition of PI(3)kinase prevents cilium formation. Cells were treated with the PI(3)kinase inhibitor LY294002 or with carrier (DMSO) for 24 h while ciliogenesis was induced by serum deprivation. Cells were immunostained for GFP (green), PCM-1 (red) and acetylated a-tubulin (violet). Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 (blue). Scale bar= 5 µm. Cilia were counted in (E). 28.4% (102/356) of DMSO treated cells were ciliated vs. 0.9% (2/235) of LY294002 treated cells. (F) LY294002 treatment does not affect pericentrin localization. Cells were immunostained for pericentrin (red) and nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 (blue).
Figure S9: Rabin8 interacts with the BBSome.

(A) Schematic organization of Rabin8. The position of the peptides identified by LC-MS/MS of BBSome preparation is indicated on top. A summary of the domain mapping studies is indicated below. (B) Raw data from LC-MS/MS analysis of BBS4-associated proteins. For the upper panel, a band corresponding to a molecular weight range between 54 and 58 kDa was excised. For the lower panel, the entire eluate was analyzed as one sample. gi|10438974 corresponds to a Genebank entry identical to Rabin8/Rab3IP isoform α1. (C) The position of the peptides identified by LC-MS/MS is underlined and the Sec2 domain is highlighted in BOLD. (D) Rabin8 specifically interacts with BBS1. GFP-, FLAG-, and Myc-tagged BBSome subunits were co-transfected with GFP- and FLAG-tagged Rabin8-α1 into 293T cells and reciprocal immunoprecipitations were conducted to detect protein-protein interactions. While Rabin8-α1 binds to BBS1, Rabin8-α1[1-296] fails to interact with BBS1.
Figure S10: Rab8[T22N] does not enter the primary cilium.
One of the rare cells that grew a cilium despite expressing GFP-Rab8[T22N] is shown. 48h after transfection, cells were immunostained for GFP, PCM-1 and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar= 5 μm.
Figure S11: Rab8-positive cilium extensions are positive for α-tubulin despite being negative for acetylated α-tubulin. pEGFP-Rab8 transfected-cells were immunostained for GFP, α-tubulin and acetylated α-tubulin. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258. Scale bar= 5 µm.
Supplemental Methods

Fold recognition and structural modeling of BBS5

BBS5 orthologue sequences were harvested by iterative PsiBLAST runs (Altschul et al., 1997), and the resulting alignment was used to drive sensitive repeat detection by the HHrep program (Soding et al., 2006) and secondary structure prediction by PsiPRED (McGuffin et al., 2000). The Genesilico fold recognition metaserver (Kurowski and Bujnicki, 2003) and HHpred (Soding et al., 2005) confirmed the fold relationship of the BBS5 BOO modules with the MTMR2 PH-GRAM and Vps36 GLUE PH-like domains. Comparative models of each BOO domain were built with MODELLER (Sali and Blundell, 1993) using the superposition of the latter two template structures (PDB ID 1ZSQ and 2CAY, respectively) by the SSM program (Krissinel and Henrick, 2004). Structures were manipulated and visualized with Pymol (DeLano Scientific).

Co-immunoprecipitation assays.

Plasmids encoding BBSome subunits tagged with HA, Myc or FLAG were co-transfected into HEK293T cells. Cells were lysed 48 h post-transfection in PBS + 1% Triton-X100 with Complete® protease inhibitors (Roche) and lysates were spun at 14,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatants were incubated with Protein-G-Sepharose beads to reduce non-specific background. Cleared and pre-adsorbed lysates were incubated with an antibody against a given tag (HA, My, FLAG) for 4 h at 4°C, mixed with Protein-G-Sepharose beads for another 4 hrs and beads were washed three times with lysis buffer before elution in SDS sample buffer. Tagged proteins were detected by western blotting.

Live cell imaging.

RPE-[LAP-BBS4] cells were grown on glass-bottom Labtek chambers and serum starved to induce ciliation. Cells with a horizontal cilium were imaged with a spinning-disk confocal microscope with 200 ms exposures and 0.9 s intervals.
References for supplemental data:


