



# 2016 Minerals Yearbook

---

**DIATOMITE [ADVANCE RELEASE]**

---

# DIATOMITE

By Robert D. Crangle, Jr.

Domestic survey data and tables were prepared by Kristi J. Simmons.

Production of diatomite in the United States decreased for the second year in a row. In 2016, production decreased by 18% to 686,000 metric tons (t), with a corresponding value of \$195 million free on board (f.o.b.) plant compared with 832,000 t valued at \$242 million f.o.b. plant in 2015 (table 1). The United States was the world's leading producer of diatomite in 2016, providing 23% of the estimated world production total of 2.99 million metric tons (Mt) (table 5). Other leading producers included Czechia and Denmark, each with 15%, China with 14%, Argentina with 7%, and Peru with 4%. Diatomite was produced in 28 countries in 2016.

Diatomite used for filtration represented 49% of consumption, followed by its use as a cement additive (31%), as a filler (16%), and as an absorbent (5%). Other diatomite applications, including abrasives, insecticides, and soil conditioner, accounted for the remainder, which totaled less than 1% (table 2). Major diatomite products were sold as various grades of calcined powders. Encroachment into diatomite markets by natural and synthetic substitute material remained minimal, particularly for beverage filtration.

Diatomite is a chalk-like, soft, friable, earthy, very-fine-grained, siliceous sedimentary rock consisting of fossilized diatom remains. Diatomite often has a light color (white if pure, commonly buff to gray in situ, and rarely black). It is extremely lightweight because of its low density and high porosity and is essentially chemically inert. Diatomaceous earth (often abbreviated as D.E.) is a common alternate name but is more appropriate for the unconsolidated or less lithified sediment. Diatomite is also known as kieselguhr (Germany), tripolite (after an occurrence near Tripoli, Libya), and moler (an impure Danish form). Alfred Nobel named his explosive invention "dynamite" following his discovery that nitroglycerin could be stabilized if first absorbed in diatomite (Nobel, 1868). A unique attribute of diatomite is found within its microstructure, which often contains thousands of individual holes. These hollows are typically present in three distinct sizes, from micron to submicron diameters. The number and sizes of holes vary with the species (Imerys Minerals Ltd., 2013).

Diatomite deposits form from an accumulation of amorphous hydrous silica cell walls of dead diatoms in oceanic and fresh waters. These microscopic single-cell aquatic plants (algae), also known as diatoms, contain an internal, elaborate siliceous skeleton consisting of two frustules (valves) that vary in size from less than 1 micrometer ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) to more than 1 millimeter in diameter but are typically 10 to 200  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter. The frustules have a broad variety of delicate, lacy, perforated shapes, including cylinders, discs, feathers, ladders, needles, and spheres. Additional information on the environmental and physical properties of diatoms can be found in Dolley and Moyle (2003) and Moyle and Dolley (2003). Given their unique structure and large species variety, diatoms are frequently

used in the interpretation of geologic paleoenvironmental studies, including a study of tidal environments in Oregon and Washington (Sawai and others, 2016). The oldest diatomite occurrences are thought to be of Cretaceous age, deposited about 66 million to 138 million years ago. Older diatomite occurrences may have been altered into other forms of silica, particularly chert, owing to diagenesis, burial, and exposure. Detailed information on the geology of diatomite can be found in Wallace (2003) and Moyle and Dolley (2003).

## Production

Domestic production data for diatomite were developed by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) from a voluntary annual survey of U.S. diatomite-producing sites and company operations. The USGS canvass for 2016 was sent to six diatomite-producing companies with 12 mining areas. All companies responded. All percentages in this report were calculated based on unrounded data.

In 2016, 686,000 t of diatomite was produced from 12 separate mining areas in California, Nevada, Oregon, and Washington. Major producers were Celite Corp. (a subsidiary of Imerys USA, Inc.) with mines and facilities in California, Nevada, and Washington and EP Minerals, LLC (a subsidiary of EaglePicher Corp.) with operations in Nevada and Oregon. California was the leading producing State, followed by Nevada. The combined output of these two States accounted for 83% of U.S. production.

Maryland was the site of the first U.S. production of diatomite in 1884. By the late 1880s, very pure, large deposits near Lompoc, CA, became the focus of interest and have continued to dominate world markets (Dolley and Moyle, 2003). Because U.S. diatomite occurrences are at or near Earth's surface, recovery from most deposits is achieved through low-cost, open pit mining. Outside the United States, however, underground mining is fairly common owing to deposit location and topographic constraints. Explosives are generally not required for surficial or subsurface mining because of the soft, friable nature of the deposits. In Iceland, dredging is used to recover lake-bottom diatomaceous mud deposits.

Diatomite is often processed near the mine to reduce transportation costs associated with the crude ore, which can contain up to 65% water. Processing typically involves a series of crushing, drying, size-reduction, and calcining operations, using heated air for conveying and classifying within the plant. Fine-sized diatomite grains, especially from baghouses, are used most often for filler-grade products, and coarser particles are employed for filtration purposes. In the latter processing stages, calcining is performed in rotary kilns to effect chemical and physical changes.

Diatomite production costs for the United States are estimated to average 60% to 70% for processing, 20% to 30% for packing

and shipping, and 10% for mining. Energy costs account for a large and growing portion (25% to 30%) of diatomite production costs, such as in the direct costs of mining and transportation as well as within the energy-intensive calcining process. Diatomite used for cement production does not normally require calcining; thus, processing costs are lower (Yilmaz and Ediz, 2008).

## Consumption

Domestic apparent consumption of diatomite was approximately 628,000 t in 2016, an 18% decrease from 765,000 t in 2015. The total quantity of filter-grade diatomite sold or used by U.S. producers was 333,000 t in 2016, a 32% decrease from 490,000 t in 2015, accounting for 49% of total diatomite sold or used. For use in absorbents, 35,000 t of diatomite was reported, an increase of 6% from 33,000 t in 2015. Use of diatomite as a filler was 108,000 t in 2016, an increase of 11% from 97,000 t in 2015 (table 2).

In antiquity, diatomite was used by the Greeks as an abrasive and in the production of lightweight building bricks and blocks. In the late 1800s, diatomite became of industrial interest in Western Europe when pulverized diatomite was the preferred absorbent and stabilizer of nitroglycerine used to make dynamite.

Commercial diatomite products provide fine-sized, irregular-shaped, porous noncaking particles that have a large surface area and high liquid-absorption capacity. The products are chemically inert, have a low refractive index, are mildly abrasive, have a low thermal conductivity with a relatively high fusion point, can be slightly pozzolanic, are very high in silica, and can be produced and delivered cost effectively for many customer applications. Sawn shapes, which continue to account for a significant part of world diatomite production, have long been used as lightweight building material, especially in China, and primarily for thermal insulation (especially the high-clay-content Danish moler). Dried natural products and calcined products are used in construction applications. The major use of diatomite continues to be as a filtration medium for beverages (especially beer and wine), sugar and sweetener liquors, oils and fats, petroleum and chemical processing (including reprocessing waste dry cleaning fluids), pharmaceuticals, and water (industrial process, potable, swimming pool, and waste). Other uses are as an absorbent for industrial spills (oil and toxic liquids) and in pet litter.

Another important, broad category of use is as a filler, often serving a dual purpose, such as an extender and flattening agent in paints and coatings; a bulking and anticaking agent in granular materials; and as a multieffect component in plastics (including preventing films from sticking). Other filler uses are as an extender and absorbent carrier for catalysts, nontoxic pesticides (as a desiccating agent), pharmaceuticals, and other chemicals.

Brightness, whiteness, and abrasive hardness are important for specialized diatomite applications. Free-crystalline silica content, although normally low, is required to be identified, particularly for calcined products. Calcining removes organics, increases filtration rate, oxidizes iron, increases specific gravity, increases particle hardness, and can lighten color. Flux-calcining significantly affects the physical and chemical properties and makes a white product. Most filter grades are calcined.

## Prices

The calculated weighted average unit value of diatomite sold or used by U.S. producers during 2016, using USGS survey data and estimates, was \$284 per metric ton f.o.b. plant, a slight decrease compared with about \$291 per ton in 2015 (table 3). The average unit value for diatomite used in filtration increased by 6% in 2016 to \$443 per ton from \$416 per ton in 2015. The value for diatomite used for absorbent purposes was \$38 per ton, a decrease of 6% from that in 2015. The unit value for material used as fillers decreased by 6% to \$407 in 2016 compared with \$433 per ton in 2015. The average value for specialized or other uses in 2016 remained unchanged at \$551 per ton.

## Foreign Trade

Export and import data presented here from the U.S. Census Bureau may be of limited accuracy with regard to diatomite because diatomite is included with other mineral commodities within several categories in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). Trade data were issued under heading 2512 of the HTS, described as applying to siliceous fossils, including kieselguhr, tripolite, diatomite, and similar siliceous earths of an apparent specific gravity of 1 or less. Industry sources, however, indicated that exports also included some flux-calcined material, which is included under HTS code 3802.90.2000, where it is not differentiated from activated clays. Similarly, heat-insulating mixtures and sawn and molded unfired shapes of diatomite are included under HTS code 6806.90.0090 and are not exclusively identified as diatomite. Lastly, fired, sawn, and molded shapes of diatomite are covered under heading 6901, which is not exclusively used for diatomite data.

According to U.S. Census Bureau data, diatomite and diatomite products were exported to 79 countries in 2016. Exports of diatomite from the United States in 2016 were approximately 66,000 t, a decrease of 11% from 74,000 t in 2015 (table 4). Exports accounted for about 10% of total domestic production sold or used. The main export markets were Canada (15,600 t), Germany (11,700 t), China (3,730 t), South Africa (2,630 t), and Vietnam (2,580 t). These five countries accounted for 55% of the total reported exports. Based on available U.S. Census Bureau data, the average unit value free alongside ship of exported diatomite was \$565 per ton in 2016 compared with \$552 per ton in 2015 (table 4). Import data for diatomite indicate that 8,000 t came from 11 countries in 2016. Canada was the leading source with 6,470 t (81%), followed by Mexico with 645 t (8%), Germany with 475 t (6%), Japan with 252 t (3%), and France with 93 t (1%). These five countries provided 99% of the imports to the United States in 2016.

## World Review

Estimated world production of diatomite in 2016 was 2.99 Mt (table 5), a 5% decrease from 3.14 Mt in 2015. World reserves are thought to be almost 1 billion metric tons (Gt), which represents approximately 500 times current annual world production. About 250 Mt, or 25% of the estimated 1 Gt of world reserves, is in the United States (Crangle, 2017). The world's leading producing district in terms of quantity is near Lompoc, CA. A resource assessment of this location indicated

that these deposits could supply all of the world's diatomite needs at current rates of consumption for hundreds of years. Compilations of reserve estimates are not comprehensive because some data are proprietary and not released by companies or countries. Very large deposits, on the order of at least 110 Mt of reserves, have been reported in China (Lu, 1998, p. 53).

In 2016, the United States was the leading producer of diatomite, accounting for 23% of total world production, followed by Czechia and Denmark, each with 15%, China with 14%, Argentina with 7%, and Peru with 4%. Smaller quantities of diatomite were mined in 22 additional countries (table 5).

## Outlook

With the exception of decreased production while the world was recovering from an economic downturn, annual U.S. production has been more than 600,000 t since 1994 with production exceeding 900,000 t in 2014. Adequate supplies of diatomite are likely to remain available for the foreseeable future. The economic stability of the diatomite industry was largely owing to its use as a filtration medium, where demand remains strong, particularly in the filtration of spirits, as well as human blood plasma and other biotechnical applications. Likewise, the substitution for diatomite by more advanced filtration products, including carbon membranes, ceramics, and polymers, was not a concern in 2016. The high costs associated with these alternatives and a cultural preference toward the use of diatomite in the brewing and wine industries indicate a strong likelihood for the continued widespread use of diatomite in filtration.

## References Cited

- Crangle, R.D., Jr., 2017, Diatomite: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral Commodity Summaries 2017, p. 58–59. (Accessed April, 6, 2017, at <https://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/commodity/diatomite/mcs-2017-diato.pdf>.)
- Dolley, T.P., and Moyle, P.R., 2003, History and overview of the U.S. diatomite mining industry, with emphasis on the Western United States, chap. E of Bliss, J.D., Moyle, P.R., and Long, K.R., eds., Contributions to industrial-minerals research: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2209, p. E1–E8.
- Imerys Minerals Ltd., 2013, Diatomite: Par, Cornwall, United Kingdom, Imerys Minerals Ltd., June 17. (Accessed April 12, 2017, at <http://www.imerys-perfmins.com/diatomite/eu/diatomaceous-earth.htm>.)
- Lu, Wen, 1998, Chinese industrial minerals: Surrey, United Kingdom, Industrial Minerals Information Ltd., 209 p.
- Moyle, P.R., and Dolley, T.P., 2003, With or without salt—A comparison of marine and continental-lacustrine diatomite deposits, chap. D of Bliss, J.D., Moyle, P.R., and Long, K.R., eds., Contributions to industrial-minerals research: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2209, p. D1–D11.
- Nobel, Alfred, 1868, U.S. patent letter for dynamite: U.S. Patent 78,317, assigned to Julius Bandmann, 3 p.
- Sawai, Yuki, Horton, B.P., Kemp, A.C., Hawkes, A.D., Nagumo, Tamotsu, and Nelson, A.R., 2016, Relationships between diatoms and tidal environments in Oregon and Washington, USA: Diatom Research, v. 31, no. 1, March 24, p. 17–38. (Accessed April 4, 2017, at <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0269249X.2015.1126359#.V02t2fkrLRY>.)
- Wallace, A.R., 2003, Regional geologic setting of late Cenozoic lacustrine diatomite deposits, Great Basin and surrounding region—Overview and plans for investigation, chap. B of Bliss, J.D., Moyle, P.R., and Long, K.R., eds., Contributions to industrial-minerals research: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 2209, p. B1–B12.
- Yilmaz, Bulent, and Ediz, Nezahat, 2008, The use of raw and calcined diatomite in cement production: Cement and Concrete Composites, v. 30, no. 3, March, p. 202–211.

## GENERAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION

### U.S. Geological Survey Publications

- Abrasives. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.
- Diatomite. Ch. in Mineral Commodity Summaries, annual.
- Diatomite. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.
- Historical Statistics for Mineral and Material Commodities in the United States. Data Series 140.
- Lightweight Aggregates. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.
- Pigments and Fillers. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.

### Other

- Diatomite. Ch. in Industrial Minerals and Rocks (7th ed.), Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration Inc., 2006.
- Diatomite. Ch. in Industrial Minerals Handbook II, Metal Bulletin plc, 1995.
- Diatomite. Ch. in Mineral Facts and Problems, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 675, 1985.
- Economics of Diatomite, The (8th ed.). Roskill Information Services Ltd., 2005.
- Mineral Products. Ch. in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, U.S. International Trade Commission, 2011.
- Oilfield Glossary. Schlumberger Ltd., 2008.

TABLE 1  
SALIENT DIATOMITE STATISTICS<sup>1</sup>

(Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars)

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
United States:					
Sold or used, by producers:					
Quantity	735	782	901	832	686
Value	210,000	229,000	269,000	242,000	195,000
Exports <sup>2</sup>	96	92	82	74	66
Imports for consumption <sup>2</sup>	3	1	4	7	8
Apparent consumption <sup>3</sup>	642	691	823	765	628
World, production	2,890 <sup>r</sup>	2,920 <sup>r</sup>	3,230 <sup>r</sup>	3,140 <sup>r</sup>	2,990 <sup>p</sup>

<sup>p</sup>Preliminary. <sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 23, 2017. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

<sup>2</sup>Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

<sup>3</sup>Production plus imports minus exports.

TABLE 2  
DIATOMITE SOLD OR USED, BY MAJOR USE<sup>1,2</sup>

(Thousand metric tons)

Use	2015	2016
Filtration	490	333
Other <sup>3</sup>	342 <sup>r</sup>	353
Total	832	686

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 23, 2017.

Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes exports.

<sup>3</sup>Includes abrasives, absorbents, cement, fillers, insulation, and unspecified uses.

TABLE 3  
AVERAGE VALUE PER METRIC TON OF DIATOMITE,  
BY MAJOR USE<sup>1,2</sup>

(Dollars per metric ton)

Use	2015	2016
Absorbents	40	38
Fillers	433	407
Filtration	416	443
Insulation	NA	NA
Other <sup>3</sup>	551	12
Weighted average	291	284

NA Not available.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 23, 2017. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

<sup>2</sup>Rounded estimates.

<sup>3</sup>Includes abrasives, lightweight aggregates, and unspecified uses.

TABLE 4  
U.S. EXPORTS OF DIATOMITE<sup>1,2</sup>

(Thousand metric tons and thousand dollars)

Year	Quantity	Value <sup>3</sup>
2015	74 <sup>r</sup>	40,800 <sup>r</sup>
2016	66	37,300

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 23, 2017. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

<sup>2</sup>Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) code 2512.00.0000, natural and straight-calcined grades, but in practice may include an undetermined quantity of flux-calcined product, which should be reported as HTS code 3802.90.2000.

<sup>3</sup>Free alongside ship value.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 5  
DIATOMITE: WORLD PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY <sup>1</sup>

(Thousand metric tons)

Country	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 <sup>p</sup>
Algeria	2	2	2	2	2
Argentina	278	209	200	200	200
Australia <sup>c</sup>	20	20	20	20	20
Brazil:					
Crude	3	2	5	5	5
Marketable	2	2	3 <sup>r</sup>	3 <sup>r</sup>	3
Chile	23	27	31	26 <sup>r</sup>	26
China <sup>c</sup>	420	420	420	420	420
Costa Rica <sup>c</sup>	4	4	4	4	4
Czechia	440 <sup>r</sup>	392 <sup>r</sup>	449 <sup>r</sup>	451 <sup>r</sup>	450
Denmark <sup>c,2</sup>	407 <sup>r</sup>	472 <sup>r</sup>	437 <sup>r</sup>	440	440
Ethiopia <sup>c</sup>	5	5	5	5	5
France <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>r</sup>	75	75	75	75
Hungary	1	(3)	1	1	1
Iran	--	10	10	10 <sup>e</sup>	10
Italy <sup>c</sup>	25	25	25	25	25
Japan <sup>c</sup>	100	90	90	100	100
Kenya <sup>c</sup>	2	1	(3) <sup>r</sup>	(3) <sup>r</sup>	(3)
Korea, Republic of, diatomaceous earth <sup>e</sup>	6	34	66	70	70
Mexico	85 <sup>e</sup>	87	88	90 <sup>r</sup>	90
Mozambique	1	1 <sup>e</sup>	1 <sup>e</sup>	1	1
New Zealand, diatomaceous earth	--	--	44	44	44
Peru	94	125 <sup>e</sup>	151	121 <sup>r</sup>	120
Poland <sup>c</sup>	1	1	1	1	1
Russia <sup>c</sup>	70	70	72	70	70
Spain <sup>4</sup>	61	54	54 <sup>e</sup>	50 <sup>e</sup>	50
Thailand	9	9 <sup>r, e</sup>	9 <sup>r, e</sup>	9 <sup>r</sup>	9
Turkey	86	-- <sup>r</sup>	62	60	60
United States <sup>5</sup>	735	782	901	832	686
Total	2,890 <sup>r</sup>	2,920 <sup>r</sup>	3,230 <sup>r</sup>	3,140 <sup>r</sup>	2,990

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 18, 2017. World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Data represent "extracted moler" (reported cubic meters times 2.3). Danish extracted moler figures, in thousand cubic meters, are as follows: 2012—177; 2013—205; 2014—190; 2015—190; and 2016—190 (estimated). Contains about 30% clay.

<sup>3</sup>Less than ½ unit.

<sup>4</sup>Includes tripoli.

<sup>5</sup>Sold or used by producers.