


Slides

CLINICAL YEARS AT RISK

~~THESE DATA WERE OBTAINED FROM A STUDY OF THE EFFECTS OF CONTACT WITH A MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL ON THE RISK OF SUICIDE IN A SAMPLE OF 1,000 SUBJECTS~~

How can this go down if it is cumulative?

Figure 1



CATEGORY	TREATMENT	CONTACT	NO CONTACT	DEATH SUICIDE BEFORE D	DEATH SUICIDE BFR STAT	UNDETERM INED-CON	UNDETERM INED-NO	LOST AFT ER INQUI	REFUSED CONTACT	COMPLETE D CONTACT	ROW TOTAL
	1.001	2.001	3.001	5.001	6.001	7.001	8.001	9.001	10.001	11.001	
1	1872	139	441	0	1	7	47	16	11	34	2888
1	64.8	6.5	15.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.6	0.6	0.4	1.2	96.1
1	96.5	97.4	97.4	0.0	4.2	77.8	97.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	
1	62.3	6.3	14.7	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.6	0.5	0.4	1.1	
1	17	1	2	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	27
1	63.0	3.7	7.4	0.0	22.2	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
1	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.0	25.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1	0.6	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2	50	4	10	6	17	1	1	0	0	0	90
2	55.6	4.4	11.1	6.7	18.9	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
2	2.6	2.1	2.2	100.0	70.8	11.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2	1.7	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
TOTAL	1939	194	453	6	24	9	48	16	11	34	3005
	64.5	6.5	15.1	0.2	0.8	0.3	1.6	0.5	0.4	1.1	100.0

A
 L
 I
 V
 E
 NON
 SUIC
 DEATH
 2. S
 U
 I
 C

DEATH SUICIDE

DEATH SUICIDE

1

6

?

	COUNT	I	LOST AFT	LOST BEF	NO CHART	ROW TOTAL
OUT1	ROW PCT	IER CON	INQ			
	TOT PCT	I	20.001	21.001	22.001	
ALIVE	0.	I	162 I	101 I	7 I	2888
		I	5.6 I	3.5 I	0.2 I	96.1
		I	100.0 I	99.0 I	100.0 I	
		I	5.4 I	3.4 I	0.2 I	
DEATH	1.	I	0 I	0 I	0 I	27
NON-SUIC		I	0.0 I	0.0 I	0.0 I	0.9
		I	0.0 I	0.0 I	0.0 I	
		I	0.0 I	0.0 I	0.0 I	
SUICIDE	2.	I	0 I	1 I	0 I	90
		I	0.0 I	1.1 I	0.0 I	3.0
		I	0.0 I	1.0 I	0.0 I	
		I	0.0 I	0.0 I	0.0 I	
COLUMN TOTAL			162	102	7	3005
			5.4	3.4	0.2	100.0

[illegible]

CATEGORY																						
COUNT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17					
W PCT	TREATMEN	CONTACT	NO CONTA	SUICIDE	SUICIDE	UNDETERM	UNDETERM	LOST	AFT	REFUSED	COMPLETE	ROW										
L PCT	IT		CT	BEFORE D	BFR STAT	INED-CON	INED-NO	ER INQUI	CONTACT	D CONTAC	TOTAL											
T PCT	1	1.001	2.001	3.001	5.001	6.001	7.001	8.001	9.001	10.001	11.001											
0.	1	1872	1	189	1	441	1	0	1	1	7	1	47	1	16	1	11	1	34	1	2888	
	1	64.8	1	6.5	1	15.3	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.2	1	1.6	1	0.6	1	0.4	1	1.2	1	96.1
	1	96.5	1	97.4	1	97.4	1	0.0	1	4.2	1	77.8	1	97.9	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	1	
	1	62.3	1	6.3	1	14.7	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.2	1	1.6	1	0.5	1	0.4	1	1.1	1	
1.	1	17	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	6	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	27
-SUIC	1	63.0	1	3.7	1	7.4	1	0.0	1	22.2	1	3.7	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.9
	1	0.9	1	0.5	1	0.4	1	0.0	1	25.0	1	11.1	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	
	1	0.6	1	0.0	1	0.1	1	0.0	1	0.2	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	
2.	1	50	1	4	1	10	1	6	1	17	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	90
	1	55.6	1	4.4	1	11.1	1	6.7	1	18.9	1	1.1	1	1.1	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	3.0
	1	2.6	1	2.1	1	2.2	1	100.0	1	70.8	1	11.1	1	2.1	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	
	1	1.7	1	0.1	1	0.3	1	0.2	1	0.6	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	1	
COLUMN		1939		194		453		6		24		9		48		16		11		34		3005
TOTAL		64.5		6.5		15.1		0.2		0.8		0.3		1.6		0.5		0.4		1.1		100.0

Discussion

- a) Applicable to rx pts, too?
10) " " other chronic problems? acute (recurrent)

11) The 24/25 < 30 days p. directly deserve special study, too!!
Short-term issue!!

- * Freq. cont. ↓
- * ~~Contact~~ changes
- * ~~Suicide~~ chronic probs (also drug)

Kind of pt most responsive to contact procedure?

X Disc: Better known the contactor → better result.

* 2) Principle of growth of relationship over time

3) Great variability - some 4+ c. contact -

some neg.

{ ? = independent?

{ ? = angry?

{ ? = shy?

{ ? = lonely?

{ ? = passive?

{ ? = dependent?

4) Issue of "lost"

5) " " correlating no. of contacts & longevity? which makes which possible? Mutual causation?

6) Non prof can do the letter writing! Pro signs! Creativity!!

7) Acknowledge Davis help & statistics!

* 8) Next report will be the last one!!

9) Why Rx SR high?
* chronic probs?