

NURP 503A CDM

Professional Issues Presentation

	Excellent: <i>Exceeds Expectations</i>	Satisfactory: <i>Meets Expectations</i>	Unsatisfactory: <i>Does Not Meet Expectations</i>
Introduction/ Problem Statement or Topic (20 points)	Contains purpose and significance of the problem. Provides context and background, existing gaps in the area and identifies how this project can contribute to the area.	Some discussion of significance, background and rationale. Does not include purpose and gaps in the literature or research in the area.	Purpose and/or significance not addressed. Minimal background, context or rationale.
Review of Literature (25 points)	Comprehensive, in-depth review of literature in the area. Utilized evidence-based sources. Organized synthesis of literature included.	Review of literature has some evidenced-based references. Some synthesis of literature included.	Minimal number of evidence-based references or use of non-acceptable references. Lacks organization and synthesis.
Implications for FNP practice (25 points)	Relevance and significance to FNP practice is clearly presented.	Some discussion about significance and relevance to FNP practice discussed.	No discussion on significance or relevance to FNP practice.
Presentation Quality (10 points)	Well -organized presentation. Respects allotted time frame. Presentation was prepared with PowerPoint, VoiceThread, Prezi, or similar program. Presentation style holds attention of audience. Slides enhanced presentation and did not replace in-depth discussion of topic.	Mostly organized presentation. Slightly over or under time frame. Presentation was prepared with PowerPoint, VoiceThread, Prezi, or similar program. Presentation style inconsistently holds attention of audience. Presenter heavily relied on slides to carry discussion of topic.	Presentation lacks clear organization and structure. Does not stay in time frame or was too short. Appropriate presentation platform was not used. Presentation style does not hold attention of audience. Presenter did not discuss topic beyond reading slides.

References (10 points)	Correct use of APA format for referencing. Includes at least 3-5 current (within 5 years) or classic references relevant to topic.	APA formatting was attempted but not always executed correctly. Less than 3 evidence-based references used and/or were outdated.	No APA formatting for referencing was used. Lacking appropriate references relevant to topic and/or majority of references were outdated.
	Excellent: <i>Exceeds Expectations</i>	Satisfactory: <i>Meets Expectations</i>	Unsatisfactory: <i>Does Not Meet Expectations</i>
Presentation Discussion and Questions (10 points)	Stimulates interactive peer discussion about topic and addresses peer questions with appropriate explanation.	Stimulates limited peer discussion about topic and addresses peer questions with limited explanation.	Little to no facilitation of discussion. Unable to answer peer questions related to topic.

