Ambient moisture causes methomyl residues on corn plants to rapidly lose toxicity to the pest slug, *Arion subfuscus*, Müller (Gastropoda, Stylommatophora)
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**ABSTRACT**

The carbamate insecticide methomyl is sometimes used to control slugs in field corn and soybean by foliar applications, but control outcomes in research trials and commercial operations have been mixed. In this study, laboratory bioassays were conducted on dusky slug, *Arion subfuscus* Müller, a common pest of corn and soybean in the Mid-Atlantic United States, to evaluate residual toxicity of Lannate LV (methomyl) at low and high concentrations corresponding to label recommended field rates, and if toxicity may be affected by ambient moisture or repellency to treated plants. Without wetting events, methomyl residues on corn plants caused 90–100% mortality of *A. subfuscus* for two days and 70–90% mortality for six days. When corn plants were briefly misted with ca. 0.3 cm of water 6 h after methomyl application, mortality was 36% 12 h after treatment, and 0 to 5% 24 h after treatment for both low and high rates. Repellency of *A. subfuscus* to corn plants treated with the high rate of methomyl was narrowly significant (*P* = 0.04) and low rate was not significant. These results suggest that high ambient moisture needed to elicit slug activity in the field also abates toxicity of methomyl residues, explaining why field control is usually poor despite high mortality in the lab.

**1. Introduction**

Terrestrial slugs and snails (Molluska, Gastropoda) are pests of many agricultural crops throughout the world, especially in wet climates and fields with crop residues and nutrient rich soils (Barker, 2002; Douglas and Tooker, 2012; Godan, 1983). Injury to developing field corn and soybean plants in the Mid-Atlantic United States has risen with adoption of reduced-tillage cultivation, which increases soil organic matter and debris for refugia (Douglas and Tooker, 2012; Gregory and Musick, 1976; Hammond and Stinner, 1987). Slug management often requires the use of pellet baits with molluscicides, metaldehyde or iron phosphate (Bailey, 2002); however, the cost of slug baits can be prohibitive for high acreage crops such as field corn and soybean (Douglas and Tooker, 2012). Methomyl, an IRAC group 1A (carbamate) insecticide, has been explored as a less expensive alternative for controlling pest mollusks in crops (Eshra, 2014). From 2010 to 2019, a “2(ee) Recommendation”, under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), was issued to allow use of Lannate LV (29% methomyl, Corteva Agriscience, Wilmington, DE) for controlling slugs in corn and soybean in numerous states in the Eastern U.S. A study led by Delaware Cooperative Extension from 2010 to 2013 examined the efficacy of Lannate LV against slugs in commercial corn and soybean fields. Results demonstrated that Lannate LV sprays were less effective than slug baits, and often provided no significant reduction in slug injury compared with untreated checks (Whalen et al., 2013). This agrees with a study by Judge and Kuhr (1972), finding methomyl to have high toxicity to slugs in lab bioassays but poor control in field trials. Although use of methomyl to control slugs is not currently permitted in the U.S. due to expiration of 2(ee) recommendations, regulations are subject to change.

The goals of our study were to determine if methomyl was toxic to slugs at rates specified on the Lannate LV label, and if so, identify factors preventing efficacy in the field. We conducted a series of laboratory experiments on the dusky slug, *Arion subfuscus* Müller, an introduced pest slug of corn and soybean in the Mid-Atlantic U.S. (Douglas and Tooker, 2012; Whalen et al., 2013). This medium to large slug (up to 15 cm long) can live for a year or longer and generally overwinters as immature (5–8 cm long), while adults are most common from late spring through summer (Beyer and Saari, 1978). Our experiments examined if...
methomyl toxicity to *A. subfuscus* may be compromised by exposure method (topical contact vs. residue contact), concentration, ambient moisture, or repellency.

2. Methods

**Slug collection and treatments.** Experiments occurred from June through August of 2016. *A. subfuscus* were collected on mornings of experiments from various weeds, primarily dandelion (Asteraceae, *Taraxacum*) and vetch (Fabaceae, *Vicia*), near Blacksburg, Virginia, U.S.A. We avoided young slugs from experiments by collecting *A. subfuscus* greater than 4 cm, extended, because there is evidence suggesting that larger slugs are more tolerant to toxicants ([Hammond et al., 1996](#)). Treatment solutions used for experiments were the following: 1. ‘Check solution’, 1.0 l water and 5.0 ml non-ionic surfactant (Bio Surf, Love-land Products, Inc.); 2. ‘Low rate solution’, 0.25 ml Lannate LV (0.07 g methomyl) in check solution; 3. ‘High rate solution’, 0.37 ml Lannate LV (0.11 g methomyl) in check solution. Low rate and high rate solutions correspond to 1.18 and 1.77 L Lannate LV per hectare (16 and 24 fl. oz. per acre), respectively. Experimental solution concentrations correspond with field concentrations for spray volumes of 75.6 L per hectare (20 gallons per acre). Experiments were conducted in a greenhouse at Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia. Temperatures in the greenhouse were permitted to fluctuate between 15.6 and 29.4 ◦C after 48 h in recovery cups. A slug was considered alive if it could right itself. For all treatments, mortality measurement, storage, and mortality rating were the same as the previous residue toxicity experiment.

The second wetting experiment incorporated a wetting event into two of four treatments: 1. Check solution without a wetting event (U); 2. Check solution followed by a wetting event (UW); 3. High rate methomyl solution without a wetting event (H); and 4. High rate methomyl solution followed by a wetting event (HW). All other experimental procedures were performed the same as described in the previous wetting experiment. This experiment was performed twice (two ‘runs’). Sprays were applied for the first run on 20 July and for the second on 26 July 2016. The first run examined mortality at post treatment intervals 12, 24, 48 and 96 HAT; the second at 12, 24, 48, 96, and 144 HAT.

**Repellency.** The final experiment tested if *A. subfuscus* was repelled by methomyl-treated corn plants. Arenas were constructed from rectangular plastic food storage containers, 24.9 × 18.3 cm opening and 18 cm tall, with 7 cm of soil and 20 live corn plants. Arenas were split in half so that 10 corn plants were concentrated on each end, leaving an 8 cm strip of bare soil in the center. Corn on one half of all arenas received the check solution, and corn on the other half was assigned an experimental treatment solution (check, low rate, or high rate). Treatments were: 1. ‘Check’, check solution vs. check solution; 2. ‘Low rate’ low rate solution vs. check solution; and 3. ‘High rate’, high rate solution vs. check solution. Solutions were applied with 750 ml aluminum spray bottles. To block solution drift outside the treatment zone, a metal sheet was held between the plants and center soil strip while sprays were applied. Two nearly identical experiments were conducted, with the only difference being that six *A. subfuscus* were used per arena for the first experiment (30 *A. subfuscus* per treatment) and five for the second (25 *A. subfuscus* per treatment). Slugs for the first experiment were collected on 13 Aug. 2016, and the second on 16 Aug. 2016. There were five replicates of each experimental treatment (15 arenas per experiment). Slugs were introduced into arenas 6 HAT on open Petri dishes set on the untreated soil strip in the center of each arena. Twenty-four h after release, *A. subfuscus* were counted on each side of arenas.

**Data Analysis.** Data were analyzed in SAS 9.4 ([SAS, 2020](#)). For all analyses, significant differences were accepted when *P* < 0.05. For experiments in which percentage mortality was the response variable, an arcsine square root transformation was used for analysis (results are displayed as percentage mortality). For exposure method and residual toxicity experiments, percentage mortality was the response variable. For the exposure method experiment, a General Linear Model was used via ‘Proc Glimmix’ to detect significant differences among exposure methods (independent variable), followed by a Tukey’s HSD to separate treatment averages. For residual toxicity experiments, independent variables were spray solution (check, high rate, low rate), time interval (HAT), and their interaction. Average mortality percentages among treatment were analyzed for differences using General Linear Mixed
treatments. Repellency was determined for individual treatments by conducting two tailed t tests via ‘Proc Ttest’ for each treatment was accepted if significantly different (P < 0.05) according to Tukey’s HSD.

Models via ‘Proc Glimmix’. The solution, post-spray interval, and interaction were fixed effects. For the residue decline experiments incorporating wetting into treatments, both runs were examined in a single analysis using ‘run’ as a blocking factor (random effect). Time and temperature mortality averages were separated using Tukey’s HSD. For the repellency experiments, both runs were performed as a single analysis. Percentages of A. subfuscus on experimental solution sides were the response variables. Repellency was determined for individual treatments by conducting two tailed t tests via ‘Proc Ttest’; H0 (repellency) for each treatment was accepted if significantly > 50% of slugs were found on the check solution side (Zar, 2010).

3. Results and discussion

A. subfuscus mortality resulting from contact with methomyl sprays and residues were significantly greater than the check (F2,10 = 136.4, P < 0.001), achieving 86.7 and 100 percent mortality, respectively (Fig. 1). There was no difference between the two methomyl exposure methods and, therefore, this is not likely the cause of poor commercial efficacy.

For the residue decline experiment without a post-spray wetting event, all fixed effects (post-treatment interval [HAT], solution [check, low rate, and high rate], and their interaction) significantly affected A. subfuscus mortality (F3,44 = 23.2, P < 0.001; F2,44 = 423.6, P < 0.001; F6,44 = 8.0, P < 0.001; respectively). Residues from high and low rates remained significantly toxic to A. subfuscus through 96 HAT, although at 96 HAT there was a significant decline in mortality in both (Fig. 2A). For the second residue decline experiment in which all arenas received a post-treatment wetting event, all fixed effects (post-treatment interval, solution, and their interaction) significantly affected A. subfuscus mortality (F1,20 = 27.0, P < 0.001; F2,20 = 9.2, P = 0.002; F2,20 = 7.07, P = 0.005; respectively). Average mortality from both high and low rates was 36% at 12 HAT, and decreased to 0% and 5% at 24 HAT for low and high rates, respectively. At 48 HAT, all solutions resulted in 0% mortality (Fig. 2B).

In the residue decline experiment with wetting as a treatment factor, all fixed effects, (post-treatment interval, methomyl/wetting, and their interaction) significantly affected A. subfuscus mortality (F3,127 = 15.1, P < 0.001; F3,127 = 431.0, P < 0.001; F9,127 = 7.89, P < 0.001; respectively). Addition of wetting to high rate methomyl (HW) reduced A. subfuscus mortality by ca. 50% at 12 HAT, ca. 71% at 24 HAT, and 100% at 48 HAT and beyond compared to the high rate methomyl treatment without wetting (Fig. 3). High rate without wetting (H) was at or near 100% mortality through 48 HAT and only dropped to 76% mortality at 96 HAT. In second experimental run, the high rate methomyl without wetting (H) remained significantly toxic to 144 HAT (F3,9 = 65.7, P < 0.001), achieving about 80% mortality, while all other treatments produced 0% mortality. Greater than 96 HAT was only examined in the second run.

Results from residue decline experiments demonstrated that methomyl can remain significantly toxic to A. subfuscus for up to 144 HAT in dry laboratory conditions; however, a minor wetting event resembling a heavy dew can cause a rapid decline in toxicity to A. subfuscus. Because slugs only emerge when ambient moisture is high, this is a likely factor reducing field efficacy of methomyl against slugs.

Pooled data from the two repellency experiments showed an average of 31.7% of A. subfuscus occupied high rate side in the high rate vs. check treatment arenas, which was significantly less (t = -2.38, df = 9, P = 0.04) than 50%, suggesting a repellent effect (Fig. 4). No differences in side occupancy occurred in low rate vs. check, or check vs. check treatments. These results suggested that plants treated with high rate concentrations of methomyl may cause minor repellency of slugs, which implies that repellency may be a minor factor affecting field efficacy of methomyl against slugs. However, this outcome was narrowly significant for only high rates, so repellency is likely a less important mechanism than ambient moisture.

In conclusion, our study provides a plausible explanation for
methomyl’s poor control of slugs in the field despite high efficacy in lab trials. Under low ambient moisture conditions, methomyl residues on corn plants remained stable and toxic to slugs for many days, but small accumulations of water on methomyl-treated plants triggered a rapid decline in toxicity. In the field, slugs will remain in protected moist areas until a wetting event occurs (Godan, 1983; Douglas and Tooker, 2012). Therefore, if ambient moisture is high enough to elicit slug activity, it will also detoxify methomyl residues so a lethal dose cannot occur. We did not examine the mechanism that causes moisture to detoxify methomyl, but past research demonstrated that slightly alkaline water (7.5–8.0 pH) rapidly degrades methomyl residues into less-toxic compounds dimethylamine, carbon dioxide and methomyl oxime through the process of hydrolysis (Miles and Oshiro, 1990).
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