

## **Do we change our current methodology of allocating GOF/DOF to Students?**

### **In the current model we:**

- Allocate a % of Basic Services to Students
  - Excluding Administrative Business Systems & Telecom Infrastructure
- Apply GOF/DOF first to Students
- Balance of GOF/DOF then applied to Campus Employees
  - Medical Centers not eligible for GOF/DOF

\*By including students in the cost allocation process, we are providing a fair distribution of costs between the Medical Center and Non Medical Center areas.

### **Alternative model:**

- Allocate a % of Basic Services to Students
  - Excluding Administrative Business Systems & Telecom Infrastructure
- Apply GOF/DOF equally to Students and Employees
- Allocate Students to Academic Units based on ABB tuition allocation methodology

### **Discussion For:**

- Students are major cost drivers of IT
- Over-emphasis of GOF/DOF to instruction and under-emphasis to research
- In reviewing student costs – prior committees noted costs could not be allocated as ABB was not fully implemented
- With additional tuition revenue, Academic units should assume more responsibility for Students
  - ABB tuition allocation methodology provides way to allocate costs

### **Discussion Against:**

- Overemphasis on impact of students on technology
  - Underrepresents impact of research
  - Underrepresents impact of administrative units
- Assumes Students are responsibility of individual units rather than UW as an institution
- ABB, as currently implemented, may not be structured to support IT cost of students
  - Tuition distribution are taxed at 30% - Provost uses to support Admin units
  - ABB implementation is a multi-year process - currently provides both significant positive and negative supplements
  - Increase in tuition revenue is offset by new costs previously funded centrally, including: future salary increases, benefit adjustments, faculty promotions, and funding Emeritus appointments.
- Administrative complexity for cost allocations within school/colleges

## **Should Information Management be included in the TRF?**

**Information Management (IM) is currently not included in the Technology Recharge Fee model.**

### **Information Management Services**

- Finance Program (FIN, EIO, MyFD, BGT)
- HR/Payroll Program (HEPPS, OPAS, OWLS)
- Student Program (SDB)
- Enterprise Information and Integration Svcs (Data Warehouse)
- Facilities Services
- Alumni & Advancement
- Chemical Tracking System (EH&S)

### **Discussion For Including:**

- IM integrated into UW-IT after original TRF was created
- All units share in the benefit of the IM systems
- Allocation of cost would be consistent with other IT services in the TRF
- Less administrative complexity (reorganizations within UW-IT, overhead allocations, etc)

### **Discussion Against Including**

- Admin Business Systems are a “requirement” of the UW to operate
- Medical Centers utilize Admin Business Systems at different capacity than campus
- Under current model, Medical Centers already contribute their per capita share of 50% of Admin Business Systems
- Admin Business Systems do not meet the needs of the Medical Centers, which have had to add additional systems (McKesson GL, Kronos Timekeeping, and other systems to do meet their business & regulatory requirements)
- Difficult to identify specific unit drivers of cost from IM (large fixed base with incremental increase for additional activity)
- More appropriately identified as “University Support” (100% GOF/DOF funding)