

IT Service Investment Board Meeting Notes

TRF and Investment Recommendation

December 12, 2012 Meeting

Participants in Attendance

Kellye Y. Testy, Dean, School of Law, **Chair**

David M. Anderson, Executive Director, Health Sciences Administration

Susan J. Astley, Professor, Epidemiology and Pediatrics and Chair, Senate Committee on Planning and Budgeting

Susan Camber, Associate Vice President, Financial Management

Walt Dryfoos, Associate Vice President, Advancement Services, University Advancement

Vikram Jandhyala, Chair of Electrical Engineering, College of Engineering

Mary Fran Joseph, Associate Dean for Administration and Finance, UW School of Medicine

Stephen J. Majeski, Associate Dean for Research Administration and Infrastructure, College of Arts & Sciences

Harlan F. Patterson, Vice Chancellor for Administrative Services, UW Tacoma

Liz Shirley, Enterprise Finance Officer, UW Medicine and Associate Vice President for Medical Affairs

Gary R. Quarfoth, Associate Vice Provost, Office of Planning & Budgeting

Kelli Trosvig, Vice President for UW Information Technology and CIO

Ex-Officio (non-voting)

Bill Ferris, Chief Financial Officer, UW Information Technology

Tom Sparks, Financial Administrator, College of Engineering

Meeting Summary

The IT Service Investment Board met on Wednesday, December 12, 2012 to review and recommend a methodology and rate for the FY 2014 Technology Recharge Fee (TRF). The Board also considered three proposals for investment opportunities.

TRF Recommendation

The Board endorsed the recommendation by the Technology Recharge Fee Advisory Committee to maintain the current methodology for the TRF with the following adjustments:

- Include services previously designated as University Support Services (Office of the Chief Information Security Officer, data centers, and student learning centers).
- Exempt UW Medicine from the costs of supporting the Student Database System (SDB).

As a result, the Board recommended the following TRF rates for FY 2014:

- \$54.50 per month per capita for all academic and administrative units
- \$50.00 per month per capita for the Medical Centers

The Board also proposed that this TRF methodology be implemented for a two-year period.

The Board's recommendations will now be submitted to the Provost for approval.

TRF Discussion

Prior to the decision, there was a good discussion about the three main issues:

- Whether student costs should be allocated to academic units based on ABB
- Whether to include Information Management in the model
- If Information Management were included in the model, whether some portion of GOF funding should be allocated to UW Medicine

The Board acknowledged that the current model of allocating student costs is not ideal. The Board asked that the entire issue of the TRF, including student costs, be included in future discussions of University policy regarding ABB, specifically whether costs now covered by the TRF should be included as part of ABB.

On the issue of whether to include Information Management (IM), the consensus was that IM should not be included in the TRF rate without an offsetting GOF allocation. However, the Board did not want to set a precedent by allocating a portion of GOF to UW Medicine. The consensus was therefore not to include Information Management at this time.

The Board supported either maintaining the current TRF methodology or including University Support Services in the model. Between these two choices, the Board had a slight preference for including University Support Services.

Investment Opportunity Recommendation

The Board reviewed three proposals for investment opportunities:

- Enhancing Information Security and Privacy
- 40G Campus Research and Science Network
- Cyber-Infrastructure Support

The Board agreed that all three initiatives were important, but did not consider it appropriate to increase the Technology Recharge Fee at this time to support them. Instead the Board endorsed moving forward with a request to the Provost for funding the proposals as part of UW Information Technology's annual budget discussion.

The Board also considered the relative priority of the three proposals, and reached consensus on the following priority order:

1. Enhancing Information Security and Privacy
2. 40G Campus Research and Science Network
3. Cyber-Infrastructure Support