

University of Washington
Faculty Council on University Facilities and Services
November 1, 2018
10:00am - 11:30am
Gerberding 142

Meeting Synopsis

1. Call to order
 2. Review and approval of the minutes from October 18, 2018
 3. Chair's remarks
 4. Discussion: FCUFS priorities 2018-19
 5. Good of the Order
 6. Adjourn
-

1. Call to order

The meeting was called to order at 10:00 a.m.

2. Review and approval of the minutes from October 18, 2018

The minutes from October 18, 2018 were approved as written.

3. Chair's remarks

Borys, the chair, attended the October 29 Architectural Commission meeting in the Life Sciences Building, which is substantially complete but only partially occupied. The chair of the Biology Department provided remarks at the commission meeting and expressed satisfaction with the building/space. Mike McCormick attended the commission meeting as well and spoke about some concerns (that were also discussed at the previous FCUFS meeting) with the proposed Health Sciences Building. He also indicated that the master plan for South Campus will have to be reexamined. Any changes should not affect the underlying design principles, but would adjust for changing conditions. Additionally, the project manager for the Population Health Building provided an update.

Lou Cariello, Vice President of Facilities, introduced himself and his role at the University.

4. Discussion: FCUFS priorities 2018-19

The chair shared the FCUFS charge letter from the Faculty Senate Chair, George Sandison, to the council (Exhibit 1). The chair then asked everyone in the council to introduce themselves and to identify where the council is most effective or how the council could be more effective. Comments from members included:

- ❖ The council's collaborative nature. It is important for FCUFS to see a building's construction in the beginning phases and to serve as a sounding board. The council has a greater chance (in initial stages) to make change (classrooms).
- ❖ ADA inclusion and accommodation (although there is still a long way to go). Accessibility for our community at large. Cariello commented that the University is committed to a comprehensive

study to identify gaps in accessibility. The chair also asked how the council could be more effective/proactive and less reactive for ADA inclusion and accommodations.

- ❖ Experience of teaching and learning on campus is a primary concern. Two prong attack: construction (classroom space, walking times, locations) and services (classroom assignments, scheduling) She would like to see the council hold the Provost accountable. However, does not see how the council has made progress on campus (curriculum scheduling). Would also like to ensure the UW is a health campus in terms of sustainability.
- ❖ Council is the voice of faculty as a whole, and should think of what is best for the whole faculty. Early review of projects is important. Increased transparency between the faculty and the administration (many stakeholders). Cariello asked how often the council reports out faculty concerns and represents the community. The council is largely responsible for outreach to and representation of the faculty.
- ❖ Teaching aspects – classroom space and walking time. Ideally the University would become an environment that accommodates those needs.
- ❖ Students perspective – do not necessarily understand the process of how facility space and usage is determined. The council is a way to bridge that gap.
- ❖ Planning, involvement of stakeholders can make or break the University. Council could be more effective if it expands and/or meets into the summer quarter.

The chair expanded on the initial discussion and asked for concrete suggestions to add to the draft charge letter. The chair asked the council if having an at-large member from this council to sit on Capital Planning and Development (CPD) committees/projects would be helpful. A member agreed and responded that the council could expressed preferred outcomes earlier. The chair noted that the council is often reactive, but the council could develop pointed questions or requests for CPD.

The chair also recalled that CPD responded well to the Class C, classroom resolution that was passed last year. The council could also consider transportation issues and/or other service issues.

A member suggested developing subcommittees for the council and also solicit experts from the broader UW community (true representation of the University). The chair agreed that subcommittees could help with problem identification.

The chair asked the council to suggest specific goals either in the meeting or over email. Suggested goals included:

- ❖ Achieve wide representation on the council and to have regular interactions office of development and capital projects
- ❖ Understanding Facility Services needs

5. Good of the Order

The chair asked for a representative from the council to serve on the Environmental Stewardship Committee. Anyone interested should contact the chair.

6. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 11:23 a.m.

Minutes by Lauren Hatchett, lehatch@uw.edu, council analyst

Present: **Faculty:** AnnMarie Borys (Chair), Rich Christie, Ashley Emery, Laura Little, Murray Maitland, Ann Mescher, Bill Rorabaugh
President’s designee: Lou Cariello
Ex-officio reps: Alena Wolotira, Angelia Miranda,
Guests: Mike McCormick

Absent: **Faculty:** Giovanni Migliaccio, Jan Whittington, Bill Erdly
Ex-officio reps: JoAnn Taricani, Bruce Balick

Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – fcufs_chargeletter_2018-19_draft.docx

September 16, 2018

Ann Marie Borys, Chair
Faculty Council on University Facilities and Services

Dear Professor Borys:

The Faculty Council on University Facilities and Services is charged with responsibility “for all matters of policy relating to university facilities and services such as building needs, space utilization, supplies and equipment, administrative services, and parking and traffic problems” (Faculty Code, Sec 42-39). Activities historically performed include monitoring existing and proposed capital projects, transportation updates, and classroom initiatives, by way of inviting key stakeholders and administrators to meetings for providing supplementary information, and for receiving council feedback and guidance on topics at-hand.

Our recommendation is that the council identify specific goals that can be accomplished by the end of the 2018-19 academic year.

The Senate office did a background review to help identify goals for your council. This included review of minutes from last year’s meetings, review of discussions at Faculty Senate meetings, and selected outreach for topics. Recommended goals and / or topics for discussion include:

- Monitor existing and proposed capital projects.
- Conduct annual classroom review.
- Receive annual transport services review.

After your first council meeting we will be available to discuss the goals your council identified. Thereafter, we will post your council’s goals on the Faculty Senate website to communicate the important work you are doing on their behalf.

Sincerely,

George Sandison
Chair, Faculty Senate

/jmb