

University of Washington
Faculty Council on Tri-Campus Policy
January 26th, 2017
9am – 10:30am
Odegaard Undergraduate Library 320

Meeting Synopsis:

1. Call to order
 2. Review of the minutes from December 8th, 2016
 3. Coordination with Tri-Campus Issues Task Force (Rovy Branon, Zoe Barsness)
 4. Update on Student Conduct Code (Jill Lee)
 5. Good of the order
 6. Adjourn
-

1) Call to order

Stein called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

2) Review of the minutes from December 8th, 2016

The minutes from December 8th, 2016 were approved as written.

3) Coordination with Tri-Campus Issues Task Force (Rovy Branon, Zoe Barsness)

Rovy Branon (Vice Provost, Continuum College) and Zoe Barsness (Faculty Senate Chair, Faculty Senate & Governance) were present to discuss the ongoing work of the Tri-Campus Issues Taskforce (of which they co-chair) and potential intersection of that body's work with the interests of the FCTCP.

Branon gave a brief overview of the Tri-Campus Issues Taskforce. The Taskforce's original charge from the provost was to identify best practices either for facilitating interaction/coordination among the three campuses or for dealing with issues. The body is addressing four main areas through this lens: co-curricular, student life, research, and teaching and learning. A report will be generated made up of the Taskforce's findings in spring of 2017.

Following some discussion, Barsness asked the council to consider the topic of using single or multiple transcripts among the three campuses as part of its intersection with the Taskforce's work. Stein explained this topic, along with the procedure of "tri-campus review," will be reviewed by the council with University Registrar, Helen Garrett, in the next meeting. Barsness noted Sarah Stroup and Patricia Kramer (longstanding members of the Faculty Council on Academic Standards) should also be asked to attend that meeting.

Cross-campus enrollments were noted as another potential topic the council might consider taking up. Specifically, the issue of degree programs barring entry to students from other UW campuses. It was noted an investigation might take place outlining the breadth and severity of the issue.

Feroz asked that the Taskforce share any draft reports/documentation with the council. Barsness noted the Taskforce would be happy to share these documents with the FCTCP, though the full report will not be available until late spring 2017.

Barsness noted the channels for communication between the decision-making bodies and offices across campuses is often vested in people via a grassroots model, and these processes should be made more concrete to ensure appropriate tri-campus feedback is there for every decision affecting multiple campuses. There was some discussion of the division of decision-making responsibilities at the university. Barsness spoke to the general oversight responsibilities of faculty, administration, and staff. These responsibilities included: curriculum, finances, and physical and strategic infrastructure. A member noted that if the council was to take on evaluation of the “tri-campus strategy,” that evaluation should focus on function in lieu of ideals or principles, and any recommendations should be based in improved functionality of the university.

Teaching and learning services (as well as other services) were noted as another resource that might be exchanged between campuses.

Stein noted the council should first take on its other items (assessment of tri-campus review process and potential for multiple campus transcripts), and then consider ways to continue addressing other issues. She thanked the guests for joining the meeting, and they left.

4) Update on Student Conduct Code (Jill Lee)

Jill Lee (Executive Director, Compliance Services) was present to update the council on the evolution of draft UW Student Conduct Code (SCC) changes. An instructional video was sent to council members in advance of the meeting explaining changes to the process as they stand currently. Lee explained a recent court decision impacted the Washington Administrative Procedures Act (APA), and has subsequently affected draft revisions to the WAC portion of student conduct code changes. Specifically, a “full-hearing requirement” has been added back into the WAC, which is triggered under certain circumstances (e.g. if there is potential for expulsion of a student following a conduct ruling). She explained despite this change, the goals of the revision process have stayed consistent.

Lee reminded the council that the WAC and SCC Title IX and non-Title IX Policies are being developed and approved separately from each other (via Class B legislation), with the WAC going through the legislative process first and the local university policies following.

There was a question about new administration in Washington DC deregulating existing Title IX procedures, specifically those that recommend universities adjudicate Title IX offenses in-house. Lee noted the UW wants to serve its students regardless of outside influences (e.g. federal politics), and the main purpose of the SCC revisions is to better serve UW students.

5) Good of the order

Stein noted the council will hear from various campus curriculum constituencies in its next meeting.

6) Adjourn

Stein adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m.

Minutes by Joey Burgess, jmbg@uw.edu, council support analyst

Present: **Faculty:** Kyle Crowder, Ehsan Feroz, Joseph Tennis, Marcy Stein (chair)
Ex-officio reps: Brent Lagesse, Lauren Montgomery, George Sandison, Annette Anderson
President's designees: Patricia Moy, Turan Kayaoglu
Guests: Zoe Barsness, Rovy Branon, Jill Lee

Absent: **Faculty:** Megan Eagen-Torkko
Ex-officio reps: Thaisa Way, JoAnn Taricani, Lauren Pressley, Lesley Zimmer, Sarah Loeffler