

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
FACULTY COUNCIL ON TRI-CAMPUS POLICY  
Friday, May 4, 2007, 8:00-9:30 a.m.  
142 Gerberding Hall

Chair Marcia Killien called the meeting to order at 8:07.

**Meeting Synopsis:**

1. Approval of the minutes of the March 28, 2007, meeting.
2. Update on Class A Legislation regarding “campuses”
3. Update on cross-campus majors (Bellamy)
4. FCTCP review of: Bachelor of Arts –SOCWF
5. Evaluation of three-campus review process
6. Other business

**1. Approval of the minutes of the March 28, 2007, meeting.**

Chair Marcia Killien reported that the minutes of the March 28 meeting had been approved via e-mail.

**2. Update on Class A Legislation regarding “campuses”**

Chair Killien reported that the Class A Legislation had been approved unanimously by the Faculty Senate at its second reading on April 26. It will now go to the faculty for a vote of final approval by mid-May. She has submitted a draft statement/rationale to the Secretary of the Faculty for his inclusion with the Class A bulletin to be distributed as ballots to all voting faculty. She asked Council members to encourage their colleagues to vote, and said she would make a similar appeal to Group representatives from the Senate Executive Committee to do the same.

**3. Update on cross-campus majors (Bellamy)**

Vice Chancellor Tom Bellamy reported that the cross-campus majors proposal had gone to FCAS for their review and that he had not yet heard back from them. He reminded Council members that this proposal:

- Removes restrictions
- Allows double majors cross campus boundaries, given appropriate academic standing.

This proposal hopes to address freshman dissatisfaction with the lack of choices of majors for students at Bothell and Tacoma, and with the lack of association with other students and activities at the Seattle campus. Bellamy will report back at the next Council meeting with FCAS feedback.

**4. FCTCP review of: Bachelor of Arts –SOCWF**

Chair Killien reported that the documentation appeared fine with one exception. The proposal had generated three comments, and responses were not entirely responsible to those comments. Killien reminded Council members that FCTCP review is primarily to make sure that there has been an opportunity for comments to be made and that those comments are considered and addressed in the report. The comment about curriculum leaves questions unresolved, and FCTCP is not equipped, nor is it mandated, to serve as a curriculum committee. Bellamy added that the issues raised were not tri-campus concerns but rather a local (FCAS) concern.

After substantial related conversation, the following motion was made and seconded: That Killien forward the proposal to the Provost, responding to the following points:

- Timelines were followed;
- Comments were received;
- Responses were made, *but they did not thoroughly address all of the issues raised;*
- Review process was otherwise adhered to.

It will then be the Provost's prerogative to decide whether FCAS needs to address the comments more thoroughly. The motion was passed by a unanimous vote of the members present.

## **5. Evaluation of three-campus review process**

Chair Killien reminded the Council that FCTCP had been following the three-campus review process for almost two years. Initially, there had been concerns that the process would be too cumbersome and would take too long. She suggested the following elements be considered for evaluation:

- Awareness of programs
- Timeliness of review process
- Effectiveness of cross- and inter-campus collaboration
- Effectiveness of the nature and number of comments
- Impact on program proposal as a result of having gone through the process

Bellamy proposed that something be included that evaluates how effectively the FCTCP process coordinates with administrative processes. Killien responded that this might be addressed adequately by ensuring that FCTCP reports to the Provost be copied to:

- Originating department
- Appropriate campus curriculum body
- Vice Chancellors
- Dean

Then each campus would then develop its own process for approval outside of the TCP process.

Killien then suggested that the elements for evaluation listed above be sent as a survey to:

- Those who had initiated programs that had gone through FCTCP review;
- Curriculum bodies on each campus (FCAS for Seattle as well as those on the Bothell and Tacoma campuses;

- Chancellors, Vice Chancellors, Provost, Executive Vice Provost and Registrar

Killien will draft a mock-up survey for the next meeting. It will be brief, and she will circulate the draft via e-mail to council members in time for review and revision at the last FCTCP meeting of the quarter. It will then be sent out in order to get feedback for the fall. If feedback is not sufficient, the survey will be sent again in the fall.

## 6. Other business

Robert Corbett reported that there are six more programs in the pipeline that will require FCTCP review. He anticipates that at least one of them may be ready by the last FCTCP meeting of the year. An FCTCP subgroup will be appointed to deal with other programs over the summer months. Stern Neill volunteered that he would be available to serve on such a group.

The next meeting's agenda will include:

- Preparation of the FCTCP review process survey
- FCTCP agenda building for 2007-2008
- Program reviews (per Corbett's announcement above)

One agenda item for the FCTCP agenda for next year:

- Discussion of Educational Outreach programs (including possible Masters Degree programs) at Tacoma and Bothell campus that do not generally fall within the purview of the FCTCP – including coordination with FCFA and FCEO, both of which will be looking at Educational Outreach issues next fall.

The meeting was adjourned at 9:28 a.m. *Minutes by Susan Folk, Assistant to the Secretary of the Faculty, [slfolk@u.washington.edu](mailto:slfolk@u.washington.edu), or 206-543-2637.*

### Present:

**Regular:** Harrington, Killien (Chair), Leppa, Neill

**Ex-Officio:** Luchtel, Stiber, Lovell

**President's Designees:** Bellamy, Cauce

**Members of Representative Groups:** Fleurdelys, Corbett

### Absent:

**Regular:** Collins (excused), Stein (excused)

**Ex-Officio:** Nicoletta (excused)

**President's Designees:** Wood (excused)

**Members of Representative Groups:** Fugate (excused), Weitkamp (excused)