## Dear Scott:

I'm keeping your KRS manuscript at this point, so if you telephone to discuss some of the following, I can refer to it.

Overall, the book is truly engaging. Three 2 a.m. nights proves that -thank goodness I can sleep late, no job, no kids (cats wait reasonably patiently).

*POINT #1* – Divide the book into Parts:

Part I - The Kensington Rune Stone: The Discovery; The Geology of the KRS; Language and Runes of the KRS.

Part II – Scandals in Scholarship; Willie Sarsland Letter [or, Sarsland + Arthur Ohman letter of same decade]; neglect of Winchell to Holand [p. 432], Winchell on Flom [p. 143]; Gran Tapes

Part III – The Ohman Documents including Who Owns the KRS?; Ohman Letters to Sweden Conclusion – Sum up these three compelling sets of evidence

Bibliography and References -check carefully, I couldn't find Parry, Stoylen, or Gardner **Appendices** - Tables: Historical Timeline for KRS; Historical Timeline for Scandinavia (take these out of the chapters).

My Experiences with the KRS [note: plural "experiences"]

KRS Biographies [make concise by using smaller font, not spacing so generously; these are for readers to check like endnotes]

Speculations on the Gotland Connection – History of Gotland [merge this timeline with Scandinavian timeline in Table], history of Cistercians and military knight orders. Speculation on apparent [apparent!] punches on KRS that **may** be coded date. You could add brief paragraph and picture on the Newport Tower as resembling medieval round churches including Cistercian buildings (this isn't necessary, if you think not).

POINT #2— It is absolutely crucial that you unequivocally separate "compelling evidence" in Part I from the wealth of supporting material from the voluminous Ohman letters. These make the Sarsland and Arthur Ohman letters indubitably compelling, but the main impact is exonerating Olof. NOTE that we all want fervently to exonerate Olof BUT the authenticity of the KRS is one hell of a lot more important than that good man's reputation: the KRS powerfully affects American history including "prehistory" (archaeological interpretations of American Indian data). THIS BOOK IS NOT "THETRIAL OF OLOF OHMAN"—BARRY DID THAT, AND IT'S VERY SWEET BUT NOT THE POINT! THE POINT IS THAT NORSE PRESUMABLY SEEKING TO ESTABLISH A FUR TRADE WERE IN MINNESOTA 3 CENTURIES BEFORE THE HUDSON'S BAY CO., 3 1/2 BEFORE LA VÉRENDRYE.

POINT #3— I can't emphasize too strongly that you'll kill the book and the KRS if there's a whiff of Da Vinci Code wafting out. Like it or not, Dan Brown and his forerunners (Lincoln, Gardner) are out there and read on every airplane. It would be a good idea for you to read one or two of the debunkers, also available on airport newsstands (or your library). Feminists have been looking into Mary Magdalene since the 1960s and the best scholars conclude that she was Jesus' right-hand person, den mother to the 12 men, and if you believe the Resurrection myth, indeed the Chosen above all men—but for Jesus to marry her and beget children is out of character: Jesus' power came from his thoroughgoing radicalism, in every detail opposite to "a good Jewish man" in his uplifting women (viz., Mary Magdalene), the despised (Samaritans), attacking moneyed interests and floating through life dependent on the kindness of others especially women, his no-holds-barred pacifism, AND HIS REFUSAL TO DO WHAT IS ENJOINED ON EVERY COVENANTED JEW: MARRY AND BE FERTILE.

as the mail carrier con't here yet to pick this up, I'll add that: > Warren Detter is considered pretty farout on the Barry Fell fringe, not reputable among archaeologists. Leave him and the photo of him out. The identified ogam in scriptions on the Milk Riven hoodoos, 9 don't know whether he may be right but do know those hoodoos + similar along the Red Deer River are fantastically shaped by erosion (ain & erosion, especially). I would omit the idea that the Kensington party was making land claims. The KRS conforms closely to The traditional Norse custom of erecting numestone menorials to comades killed on expeditions (as to Constantinople). That is a strong fact. They were working vis-á-vis the Hodson's Bay Co-claims. The Pincer Creek tablet -if found - is interesting but has no relevance to medieval Norse. sense to me as referring to an effort to Levelop a fur trade out of america by extending the Canadian Maritime arctic fur trade to inland sources. Helen Tanner agrees. -ala 138 July Day The same of the sa



BLOODLINE OF THE HOLY GRAIL The Hidden Lineage of Jesus Revealed By:By Laurence Gardner Reviewed by: Staff

This curious book by the internationally known chivaltic geneologist Laurence Gardner argues that France's Merovingian dynasty are descendent from Jesus and his brother. James Unshrouded, Gardner contends that Jesus did not die on the cross, but was taken down alive, revived and recovered to many Mary Magdelene and bear children, extending the Davidian line (Jesus was descended) to today's Merovingians. What happened then? James became leader of one branch of Christianity, sometimes known as the Gnostic branch, while another leader emerged, Saul of Tasarsis who spread a resurrection version of Jesus dying on the cross throughout Europe and the Roman Empire. Saul became St. Paul and the father of Christianity as practiced today by Roman Catholic and Protestant Christians slike. James was stoned to death. Mary Magdelene was purposely obscured by nartics on nown wherein Magdalene became someone else, and whenever refere.

as made to Mary they were as the mother of Jesus.

This approach persisted through the Dark Ages, the Middle Ages and into the Renaissance. Paulist Christianity prevailed and the Jamesian version went into carefully engineered obscurity. Those who want to pursue this line further can explore translations of the Dead Sea Scroits and the Nag Himmaldi parchments for more on James and Gnostic Christianity. It gets complex. But Bloodline of the Holy Grail makes interesting speculative reading for brethren interested in Masonic antiquity—particularly involving those chapters on the Knights Templar of the early Crusades. Legend has it that nine French knights in 1118 approached Baldwin II, King of Jerusalem (and a Merovingian) and seed permission to form an Order to guard the 30,000 or so Crusaders patrolling linear's between Jerusalem and Europe. Baldwin gave the Knights the ruine reground stables of Solomon's Temple as a headquarters wherein they dubbed is the Poor Knights of Christ and of the Temple of Solomon (hence Knights 7 e The r leader or Grand Master was Merovingian Hughes de Pavens.

Rather than guard Crusaders on the highways, Templars took to excavating the stables leaving them broom clean after several years, but rumored to have departed the Temple carrying "things". These "things" are been reported in varying ways—as the Holy Grail, The Ark of the Covenar and the reway to Rennes de Chartres in southern France, in one accountable to the St. Clair (Sinclair) family and cellings of the Chapel which tell stored from the Bible within an array Templar and Masonic symbols clearly put there before the beginning of modern Freemasonry.

Aug- 9'05 - 4:55 pm. TO SCOTT WOLTER From: alice Kehoe

The just been talking with David Kelley (he's in Calgary) about the Templars etc. SEND him the chapter on "Gotland and the Templars" + pp-225-234.

Dr. David H. Kelley 2432 Sovereign Cresunt Drive Calgary AB T3C 2MZ

Dave is very familiar with this material, including Easter Table and codes. (He says Laurence Gardner is "very untrustworthy.") - alle

TOTAL P.01

2014172414

TO: Scott Wolter -August 9 -2005

From: ALICE KEHOE

3014 N. SHEPARD AVE.. MILWAUKEE WI 53211-3436

414-962-5937 & FOR FAX - PLEASE TELEPHONE FIRST SO MACHINE CAN BE TURNED ON Message: Items I overlooked in letter about KRS ms.:

> p. 94, also 98 and 107 – "gunrunning"? Do you mean "supplying weapons" or actually the earliest guns? If you do mean actual gurs, then a line about the earliest guns (my pocket encyclopedia says 13th century) would be worthwhile (did the military orders of knights introduce guns in Europe? I don't know).

> p. 106 - As I said on the phone today, leave out the East Turkomens to Alaska and onward. There is no evidence on the American side (and you and Dick know I am open for evidence). For one thing, there are many miles between Mongolia and Alaska, crossing lands of the Yakut, etc., then managing the Arctic and formidable terrain in Alaska. Coasting boats are always a possibility but not for migrations of large numbers of people at once. I think Dick gets this from the writings of the late Ethel Stewart, a very bright but untrained Canadian woman who taught for a while in Athabascan-speaking territory (Dené) and was fascinated by resemblances between the Dené languages (Athabascan) and Asian languages --for one thing, tonal on both sides of the Pacific. But Stewart was a lonely figure who overreached the data. As I mentioned, I did talk to a professionally qualified linguist who asked me about Stewart, having seen some of her writing and thinking there is a baby down in the murky bathwater; but that does not mean Turkomens (specifically) in America. The Dené were very likely the last migrants into America from northern Asia, but likely always hunting-fishing people adapted to northern forests and barren grounds. One linguist recently suggested Ket is the closest of Siberian languages, other linguists are uncertain. > p. 113 - We anthropologists think the skull-and-crossbones pirate flag was taken from Maya, who have identical skull-&-crossbones design on many buildings and monuments, e.g., at Chichén Itzá. Do you mean another flag? The Maya are a good source because

pirates hid out in little Indian harbors. > pp. 231, 234 - Leave out Turkomens. Milk River was Blackfoot, not Cree. Out to open up new source for furs is plausible for our party of Norse, 1362; making land claims is much Novgorod that history of the wand less likely

> TOTAL P.01 PAGE.01