Consensus Scoresheet
Accessories and Devices

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4	Eval 5	Eval 6	Eval 7	Eval 8	Average
											weighted
V's Accessories	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	7.0	6.0	5.0	4.0	161.3
dba Yes Of Course											
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	100.0
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	4.0	10.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	61.3
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	2.0	6.0	2.0	3.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	1.0	18.8
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					341.3
						Average score					376.3
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**	**Eval 5**	**Eval 6**	**Eval 7**	**Eval 8**	**Average**
											weighted
Once Source	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	210.0
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	142.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	10.0	4.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	77.5
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	36.9
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					466.9
						Average score					501.9

Consensus Scoresheet
Accessories and Devices

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4	Eval 5	Eval 6	Eval 7	Eval 8	Average
											weighted
Discount Cell	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	6.0	236.3
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	5.0	157.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	10.0	6.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	88.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	38.1
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	350.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					520.6
						Average score					870.6
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**	**Eval 5**	**Eval 6**	**Eval 7**	**Eval 8**	**Average**
											weighted
Tessco	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	9.0	6.0	7.0	5.0	183.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	8.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	4.0	135.0
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	50.0
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	8.0	4.0	8.0	4.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	2.0	28.1
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	350.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					396.9
						Average score					746.9

Consensus Scoresheet
Accessories and Devices

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4	Eval 5	Eval 6	Eval 7	Eval 8	Average
											weighted
LG Ericsson	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	6.0	3.0	9.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	6.0	5.0	165.0
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	1.0	4.0	9.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	7.0	87.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	5.0	4.0	9.0	5.0	8.0	4.0	3.0	5.0	53.8
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	6.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	8.0	4.0	4.0	10.0	29.4
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					335.6
						Average score					370.6
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**	**Eval 5**	**Eval 6**	**Eval 7**	**Eval 8**	**Average**
											weighted
Cellular One	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	7.0	5.0	4.0	183.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	7.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	9.0	7.0	4.0	4.0	127.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	8.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	5.0	65.0
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	8.0	5.0	8.0	4.0	8.0	8.0	4.0	6.0	31.9
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	70.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					408.1
						Average score					478.1

Consensus Scoresheet
Accessories and Devices

		Weight	Eval 1	Eval 2	Eval 3	Eval 4	Eval 5	Eval 6	Eval 7	Eval 8	Average
											weighted
Verizon	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	258.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	8.0	175.0
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	82.5
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	8.0	6.0	41.9
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	175.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					558.1
						Average score					733.1
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**	**Eval 5**	**Eval 6**	**Eval 7**	**Eval 8**	**Average**
											weighted
T-Mobile	1. Demonstrated Competence	30.0	8.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	258.8
	2. Experience in performance of comparable engagements	20.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	167.5
	3. Conformance with the terms of the RFP	10.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	7.0	4.0	72.5
	4. Expertise and availability of key personnel	5.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	9.0	6.0	41.9
	5. Reasonableness of Cost	35.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	175.0
		Pass/Fail									
	Financial Stability (pass/fail)										
						Technical Ave					540.6
						Average score					715.6
		Weight	**Eval 1**	**Eval 2**	**Eval 3**	**Eval 4**	**Eval 5**	**Eval 6**	**Eval 7**	**Eval 8**	**Average**